IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE

IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE

PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE
OF THEIR
CULTURAL PHASES

BY

F. H. KING

Professor of Agricultural Physics in the University of Wisconsin;
Author of "The Soil"

EIGHTH EDITION

New York

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
LONDON: MACMILLAN & CO., LTD.

1918

PREFACE

MOST works on irrigation have been written from the legal or sociological standpoint, or from that of the engineer, rather than from the cultural phases of the subject. The effort is made here to present in a broad yet specific way the fundamental principles which underlie the methods of culture by irrigation and drainage. Distinctively engineering principles and problems, as such, have been avoided, and so have those of plant husbandry. The aim has been to deal with those relations of water to soils and to plants which must be grasped in order to permit a rational practice of applying, removing or conserving soil moisture in crop production. The immediately practical problems, from the farmer's, fruit-grower's and gardener's standpoints, with the principles which underlie them, are presented in as concrete and concise a manner as appears needful to build up a rational practice of irrigation culture and farm drainage ; and the effort has been to broaden the conceptions of general soil

management, even when neither irrigation nor drainage is practiced.

Great pains has been taken to personally inspect the irrigation practices of both humid and arid climates in this country and in Europe, to gain a broader view of essential details, and to secure suitable illustrations, which are presented largely as photo-engravings, in the hope of getting closer to the spirit of the subject.

Free use has been made of all available literature on the subject, and credit is given throughout the body of the text to various writers and works.

F H. KING.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN,
March, 1899.

CONTENTS

(vii)

PART I

IRRIGATION CULTURE

CHAPTER I

THE EXTENT AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE OF IRRIGATION
(pages 66–90)

Contents

CHAPTER II

The Conditions which Make Irrigation Imperative, Desirable, or Unnecessary (pages 91–116)

CHAPTER III

THE EXTENT TO WHICH TILLAGE MAY TAKE THE PLACE OF IRRIGATION (pages 117–170)

Contents

xiv   *Contents*

CHAPTER VI

FREQUENCY, AMOUNT AND MEASUREMENT OF WATER FOR SINGLE
IRRIGATIONS (page 222-247)

CHAPTER VII

CHARACTER OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION (pages 248-268)

Contents xv

CHAPTER IX

CHAPTER X

PART II

FARM DRAINAGE

CHAPTER XII

PRINCIPLES OF DRAINAGE (pages 415–466)

Contents

CHAPTER XIII

PRACTICAL DETAILS OF UNDERDRAINING (pages 467–492)

IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE

INTRODUCTION

GENERAL REMARKS ON THE IMPORTANCE OF WATER

THE watering of land, which is irrigation, and the withdrawal of such part of that water as does not evaporate, which is land drainage, are two methods, one the opposite of the other; but, looked at in the broadest sense, both are natural, and each is as old as the time when the rains descended upon the first lands which rose above the ocean's level. The periodic watering and draining of the earliest rock fragments which covered the earliest lands, and which came to be the earliest soils, constituted at once the most primitive, the most profound, and the most persistent environment to which all forms of land-life have been forced to adapt themselves.

Since the very earliest forms of life probably came into being in the water, and were composed in large measure of it, it is not strange that we yet know of no forms which can live without water, and to which, indeed, water is not the most fundamentally important substance and food. It is so, not more because it makes up so large a part by weight of all living and

A   (1)

growing parts of plant life, than because it is the
medium in which the transformation of the crude
materials into assimilable food-products takes place,
and through and by means of which these products
are transported to their destinations at the various
points of growth. It is only when we fully appreciate
the important role played by water in crop production,
that we are in position to see how necessary to large
yields is the right amount of water at the right time,
and thus be led to insure to our crops a sufficient
irrigation and an adequate drainage.

Since the falling of rain upon soils has always
been intermittent in its character, and during the in-
tervals of fair weather a part of the water so given
to the soil has been lost by drainage, land vegetation,
during its evolutionary stages, has become fitted to do
its best work when the soil is watered once in about
so often, and when that soil retains a certain amount
of the rain which falls. But the intervals between
rains in almost all countries are irregular in length,
and the amount of rain which falls at one time also
varies between very wide limits, so that in many if
not in the majority of climates, those seasons are rare
indeed when a crop can be carried to maturity with
the soil containing at all times the best amount of
moisture. This being true, there will occur times with
almost all soils when they would give larger yields if
they could be artificially irrigated or artificially drained,
according as the period is one of deficient or of exces-
sive rain.

But not all soils are alike in their capacity for re-

taining moisture and of permitting it to drain away, and this being true, under one and the same conditions of rainfall one field might be benefited by irrigation while another one would profit by better drainage.

It is this fact of varying capacity of soils to store water for given periods of time that, in the long struggle for existence and of fitting and refitting among plants, has led to the evolution of certain species which can thrive best in a soil of coarse texture, retaining but small amounts of water for any length of time, while other species have become adapted to the soils of finer texture and higher water capacity. This is a fact of fundamental importance, not only in deciding what crops may be grown in a given soil, but whether or not it will be desirable to irrigate such lands beyond the natural rainfall.

A soil of fine texture is spoken of as the best grass land, for example; but this has reference, in a very large degree, to a certain amount and frequency of rainfall, which chances to be such as to maintain for the grasses the amount of water in the soil under which they have become accustomed to grow best. If there were another soil in the same locality, of similar composition but of coarser texture, and so of smaller water capacity, it is most probable that this soil would be converted into equally good grass land, giving just as large or even larger yields per acre, if only the natural rainfall were supplemented by artificial irrigation, so as to hold the water of the soil up to that quantity which the grass has become accustomed, by long breeding, to use.

Then, again, on the other hand, the soil which for a given climate is so close-grained that it does not drain sufficiently between rains to leave it dry enough for those crops which have become accustomed to the smaller water capacity of the coarser soils, may be all right for the dry-soil crop, provided it occurs in a locality of smaller or less frequent rainfall. Or, again, in the region of heavier rainfall, this soil may be fitted for the dry-soil crop by thorough under-draining, when the lines of tile are placed close enough to draw down the water to a sufficiently low point to leave the soil with the amount of moisture which is suited to the crop in question.

Another soil may be very deep and exceptionally well aërated, on account of its peculiar texture, so that the roots of cultivated crops easily penetrate it to much greater depths than is possible in the closer, more compact, non-aërated subsoils of other localities. When this is the case, as appears often to be true in arid and semi-arid climates, notably in parts of the San Joaquin Valley, in California, the smaller rainfall of the winter season penetrates the soil so deeply, and returns to the surface by capillarity so slowly, that fair and even large crops are often raised on these soils without artificial irrigation, yet not a drop of rain may fall upon the land from May first to September. So different are the conditions in humid soils, like those of the eastern United States, that even a period of ten days without rain, especially if it occurs in the height of the growing season, is sure to bring marked distress even to field crops like maize.

One of the most striking features of the arid sections of the United States, which attracted the writer's attention during his travels through the West, was this apparently greater service of water in crop production than is realized in the more humid climate of the eastern section of this country. Reasoning from general principles, one is naturally led to anticipate that in an exceptionally dry atmosphere and under a clear sky, such as we have in the western United States, the rate of evaporation, both from soil and vegetation, would be exceptionally rapid, and hence that enormous quantities of water would be required in crop production, when compared with the demands of crops under more humid conditions.

Such, however, does not appear to be the case, and it is this fortunate relation which makes it possible for larger areas to be placed under irrigation with the limited amounts of water than would be possible were the conditions of the soil more like those of humid climates.

It is not easy to assign a thoroughly satisfactory set of reasons for this marked difference without a more detailed study of the field conditions than has yet been made. It seems quite probable, however, that prominent among the reasons to be assigned for these differences is the one to which reference has already been made : namely, the texture of the soil, which allows the water to distribute itself evenly and relatively deep in the soil, and it does not return readily and rapidly by capillarity to the surface to be lost.

In passing south from San Francisco, through Lath-
rop, Merced and Fresno, to Bakersfield, in California,
we pass across a long stretch of country where there
is at present relatively very little irrigation, and yet
through all of the country north of Merced wheat has
been extensively grown, and during the early years,
when the soil was new, large yields per acre have been
realized without irrigation, the crop depending upon
the rain which falls during the rainy season of winter
and sinks into the soil, to be later used by the deeper
feeding roots. In discussing the matter with Professor
Hilgard, he informed me that the roots of crops
penetrate these soils much more deeply than is normal
to them under other conditions, and that some plants,
when brought here, really change their habits of root
growth through a dying off of the normal surface
feeders on account of an insufficiency of moisture in
the upper layers.

Professor Hilgard further informed me that over
much of the state of California the rains only wet
down a relatively short distance, and that beneath this
zone of moistened soil the balance is often almost
air-dry, extending, in certain cases which have come
under his observation, to depths as great as forty feet.
Where such conditions as these exist there is, of
course, no possibility of crops deriving a supply of
moisture through natural sub-irrigation from waters
from the foothills or higher mountain masses which
rise above the plains.

My own observations on the soils of humid cli-
mates convince me that the zone of dry soil to which

reference has been made must act as a powerful ad-
junct in the retardation of both capillary and gravi-
tational movements of water below the reach of deep
root feeding; and if this is true, practically all loss of
water by downward percolation is prevented, and the
whole rainfall not lost by surface evaporation becomes
available for crop production.

There is another condition, brought about by the
presence of the layer of air - dry soil beneath the
moisture-bearing zone, which in humid regions only
exists in exceptional localities, and which may have an
important influence in making a larger part of each
year's rainfall available for crop production. I refer
to the possibility of the large amount of air stored in
the air-dry soil beneath the moist layer contributing
to deep soil breathing. By slow diffusion upward, and
by movements induced by changes in atmospheric pres-
sure, the roots may be supplied with oxygen from be-
low as well as from above, and thus have their feed-
ing depth lowered on this account beyond what is
usual in humid soils. So, too, it appears to be quite
possible that nitrification and other biologic processes
may be permitted to go forward under these condi-
tions, when in humid soils they are largely prohibited
for lack of sufficient aëration.

These suggestions, however, do not appear to offer
an adequate explanation of the ability of crops to
reach maturity in the arid soils of the West without
irrigation, when there is no rain for such long inter-
vals; for, as we approached Merced from the north, a
very sandy belt of land was passed which was white

and glistening in the sun, and which drifted as badly
as much of apparently similar land in Wisconsin, and
yet on these coarse sands wheat was being harvested
which would give larger yields than would be expected
on such lands in Wisconsin with a summer rainfall
of not less than ten inches. But here the crop had
stood and matured from early May until the end of
July without irrigation and without rain. One is led
to question whether it may not be true that, under
the stress of such arid conditions of both atmosphere
and soil, plants of some kinds may develop a texture
of a closer nature, with fewer and smaller breathing
pores, and thus reduce the loss of moisture through
their surfaces much below what is normal to the same
species under more humid conditions of soil and atmos-
phere. Such a question could, of course, readily be
settled by a proper comparative study of tissues de-
veloped under the two conditions ; but, so far as we
know, it has not yet been done. It should be said,
however, in this connection, that the seemingly greater
service of water to which reference is here made may
be more apparent than real. The climate of the region
being warm, and wheat being sown from the begin-
ning of the rainy season in November until the end
of January, there is much time for the crop to germi-
nate, and to get its root system thoroughly established
in the ground, and to have made a very considerable
growth, before the close of the rainy season early in
May. There are left, then, only the months of May
and June during which the crop must complete its
growth without rain. It is true that this is a long

period, and in humid climates, where the growth of vegetation can only begin in March or April, even though the rainfall were the same as in the San Joaquin Valley, crops like wheat could not be matured ; and it is quite possible that this would also be true of the country in question did it have an ice-bound winter.

In the vicinity of Fresno, California, where a large acreage of raisin grapes are grown on a sandy loam, generally without irrigation, it is the belief of many of the growers that their vineyards derive not a little moisture through a seepage from the canals and ditches of the district, whose waters are more generally used in the irrigation of alfalfa ; but, as many of these vineyards are considerable distances from both canals and ditches, it is, perhaps, more probable that the grapes survive through extremely deep and wide root-feeding and, perhaps, small foliage evaporation. It is the naturally small water capacity of the Fresno soils, and those referred to near Merced, which makes it so difficult to understand how, even with very wide and deep root-feeding, moisture enough could be gathered to maintain growth and carry a crop to maturity without rain during the summer season, and without irrigation.

ADVANTAGES OF AN ABUNDANT SUPPLY OF SOIL MOISTURE

While there are such cases as those cited above, in which plants appear to thrive and to produce fair yields with relatively small amounts of water, yet it

is a matter of universal experience in humid climates
that on clayey soils heavy protracted spring rains con-
tribute more to the production of large crops of grass
than all the manure which farmers can put upon their
lands, and that with dry springs fertilizers, of what-
ever sort and however applied, are of but little avail.
So, too, four weeks of copious, timely, warm rains fall-
ing upon fields of potatoes after the tubers begin to
set, and of corn after the tassels and silk begin to
form, are certain to be followed by enormous yields,
even when the soil is not rich, unless frost or disease
intervenes. On the other hand, let the tuber and grain-
forming period of these crops be one of drought, and
it is only those soils which are most retentive of mois-
ture, and which have been most skillfully handled, that
are able to mature even moderate yields, though the
land be very rich.

What, then, do warm spring and summer rains and
warm, sweet irrigation waters do in the soil which con-
tributes so much to plant growth? In the first place,
it is only through the soil, where very extensive absorb-
ing surfaces of root hairs are developed, that plants
are able to obtain the very large amounts of water
they need for food and for the maintenance and carry-
ing forward of the physiological processes which are
associated with plant growth.

But it is not alone for the crop which is being grown
upon the ground that water is needed in the soil; for
it must never be forgotten that there are living within
the dark recesses of the soil organisms of various kinds
upon whose normal and vigorous activity depends, in

a high degree, the magnitude of the specific crop which is to be harvested. The germs which react upon the dead organic matter in the soil, converting it into ammonia, the germs which change the ammonia into nitrous acid, and the germs which transform the nitrous acid into nitric acid,— which is the real nitrogen supply of most of the higher plants,— each and all are dependent for their proper activity upon the right amount of moisture in the soil. Then, there are those symbiotic forms of lowly organisms whose great ·mission it is to take the free nitrogen from the air and compound it into such forms as shall leave it available for the higher plants, and which, like all other forms of life, must have water and to spare if they are to perform their work. Let the water content of any soil be reduced below a certain amount, and all of these vital processes are inevitably slowed down ; let it be reduced to a still lower degree, and the whole line is at a complete standstill.

Now, in humid regions, where the subsoils are much of the time water-logged, and where, as a consequence of this, there is but little soil ventilation, the plant-food builders to which reference has just been made are all of them forced into a thin zone close to the surface of the ground, where their work must all be done ; but if this surface zone is allowed to become dry, then the nitrogen-supplying processes must come to a standstill, and the crop which is growing above the ground must have its growth checked, even though it has put its roots down into the subsoil where moisture for its own purposes may be had. Indeed, we may

well believe that one of the chief causes which has led
the higher plants to send their roots foraging so deeply
into the ground is this great need of water in the sur-·
face layer, where the nitrogen suppliers dwell, and for
the express purpose of not drawing upon this supply
too extensively, and thus leaving the surface soil to
become too dry. It is true that when heavy rains
come, or when irrigation waters are applied which lead
to the percolation of water downward, the nitrates
which have been formed at and near the surface are
dissolved and more or less completely washed more
deeply into the ground, where the deep-running roots
are in position to take advantage of them and prevent
their being lost ; and thus a double gain is secured.

Let us call attention to another important principle.
In the soils which have been highly manured, or which
are naturally well supplied with organic matter ready
for decay, large amounts of nitrates are rapidly formed.
Under such conditions the moisture which invests the
soil grains rapidly approaches saturation, and finally
reaches a point when it is carrying so many salts in
solution that the water is no longer suitable for the
use of the germs which have given rise to the salts,
and their activities are on this account brought to a
standstill. But let a rain come which produces perco-
lation, or let the field be irrigated sufficiently to pro-
duce the same effect, and at once the salts which have
been inhibiting the nitrate-forming process are washed
out and a fresh supply of water is left, which at once
becomes a stimulus for increased activity, while the
ready-formed salts containing nitric acid are carried

to a lower level, where they may be taken up by the deeper-feeding roots. Here, then, we are led to see one of the ways in which water, applied at the surface at opportune times, acts as a wonderful stimulus to plant growth.

If, now, we turn from the irrigation to the drainage side of the same problem, we shall see in another way how fundamentally important this principle is. Let a soil be inadequately drained, and the roots of the plants will be forced to occupy the surface soil, for they cannot abide in the water-logged region. Then, if heavy rains come and percolation results, all of the unused nitrates which may have been in the soil at the time are at once washed below the roots, and perhaps entirely lost to the crop. But, on the other hand, if the soil had been properly drained, so that the roots of the crop could have been two, three or four feet below the surface, then, as has been pointed out, the nitrates would have been washed to the roots, where they would have become at once available. Then, too, when a dry period comes, with all the life processes going on in the soil confined close to the surface, the great demand for water from the roots forces them at once to so completely dry out the section they occupy that a violent check is at once put both upon the plant itself and upon all the food-forming processes in the soil; for, under these conditions, it is usually impossible for capillarity to keep pace with the loss of water from above, and the soil quickly becomes too dry.

So far we have been speaking of the importance of

water in the soil to the direct vital processes which
are going on there whenever steady growth is taking
place. But there are other processes which are purely
physical, to which attention needs to be called before
we have brought into view the full line of operations
to which this great agent, water, leads.

Other plant-foods,—those which contain the phos-
phoric acid, potash, lime, magnesia, iron and sulfur,—
must be taken from the inert solid form in the soil
into solution in water before they can be of any service
in plant growth, and this is another of the important
roles which water has to play in the life processes of
the soil. Then, too, all water used in irrigation, and
even rain water, contains larger or smaller quantities
of plant-food, either directly in solution or borne in
suspension, which adds so much to the fertility of the
soil itself.

So, too, all waters which have been exposed to the
atmosphere have become charged with oxygen, carbonic
acid and nitrogen, which they carry with them into
the soil, and these always aid, in one way or another,
both the physical and the life processes which make
for fertility of the land. And, again, when a large
volume of warm water falls upon or is applied to the
soil, and it sinks deeply into it, it carries with it not
only its own warmth, but also the heat which it may
have absorbed from the surface of the ground; and
this warmth, carried deeply into the ground, makes
the root action stronger and at the same time increases
the rate of solution of plant-food from the soil grains.
When we have made this brief survey of what warm

rains and sweet irrigation waters do in the soil, we may not be surprised to see the large yields of grass or of potatoes or corn it is capable of helping the soil and the sunshine to bring forth as the product of a summer's work.

WATER ONLY ONE OF THE NECESSARY PLANT-FOODS

In view of the facts which have just been presented, it is not at all strange that the ancient Egyptian and Grecian philosophers, with their lack of exact knowledge and under their arid climatic conditions, should have come to believe that water is the sole food of plants ; nor that this opinion should have been held until nearly the beginning of the eighteenth century. As a matter of fact, water does contribute more than half of the materials which make up the dry matter of plants, and, as water, it constitutes from three-fourths to more than nine-tenths of their green weight.

But while these are the facts, and while it is true that abundant and timely rains do make comparatively poor soils produce large yields, it must not be inferred that, with ample and timely supplies of water applied to the soil, all else may be neglected and the hope entertained that any agricultural soil will thus be held up to a high state of productiveness for an indefinite term of years.

It is a matter of universal experience that sewage waters, not contaminated with poisonous compounds and not too highly concentrated, cause lands to give

much larger returns in grass than do river, lake or well waters. The writer learned, while visiting the celebrated Craigentinny meadows near Edinburgh, that the purchasers of the grass from those lands are very particular to specify, as a condition of their purchase, that their grass shall be watered with the day sewage, which contains a higher per cent of soluble and suspended organic matter than that of the night; and they are also particular to stipulate that they shall have the first rather than the second or third use of the water, knowing that water which has passed over a cultivated field or meadow has lost something of its fertilizing value.

It is asserted, also, by the owners and renters of water meadows in the south of England, where the irrigation is directly from the streams, that that land which receives the water first is most benefited by it. It is true that there are those who contend that on their lands the second and third waters are as good as the first, but this is quite likely to be due to the presence in those particular soils of an abundance of the substances carried by the waters.

It is, however, impossible to overestimate the importance of water as a plant-food. It is indispensable and is used more than any other substance. It must be borne in mind, however, that irrigation waters are seldom, if ever, a complete plant-food.

THE AMOUNT OF WATER USED BY PLANTS

The amount of water which is required to mature crops of various kinds under field conditions varies between wide limits ;

but just what are the precise factors, and what their quantitative relations, is not yet so definitely known as it needs to be. The problem is manifestly a complex one, and many of the factors are obscure, and will only be made known in their quantitative relations after much patient critical work has been done having for its prime object the solution of this problem.

It has already been pointed out that there appears to be relatively less water consumed in the production of a pound of dry matter under some of the conditions which exist in arid America than is required in the more humid sections of this country, and that it appears probable that a part of this difference is to be sought, possibly, in adaptive functions in the plant itself and a part in the differences of soil conditions.

Under the natural conditions of the field, it would be expected that very much will depend upon the character of the season ; that is, whether the season is humid or dry, whether the temperatures are high or low, whether the wind velocities are strong or light, and whether the amount of sunshine is more or less. Very much, too, will depend upon the soil and the character of the rainfall, whether the soil is open and the rains are frequent and heavy, so that considerable amounts of water are lost to the crop by percolation and under-drainage, or whether the soil has a retentive texture, and the rainfall is so proportioned that relatively small amounts are lost, nearly all being used in the production of the crop. Then, too, the manner in which the crop is disposed on the field, whether it covers the surface closely, as do the grasses and small grains, or whether considerable areas of the field are exposed to the direct action of wind and sun, as in many of the hoed crops and in orchards, must have a marked influence in determining the actual amount of water which will disappear or will need to be applied during a season, in order to maintain the best moisture conditions for the particular crop.

Then, again, the treatment of the soil itself will have much to do with the quantity of water which disappears at once from the surface without in any way benefiting the crop, and also the quantity which drops at once entirely through the root zone, con-

B

tributing nothing to the physiological processes which are involved in the production of the harvest sought.

Irrigation and land drainage are, each of them, methods of treatment of field conditions which aim to modify and control the quantitative relations of the water which the soil shall contain, and hence it becomes a matter of importance to know how much water is necessarily involved in the production of a given amount of a given crop. Much work has been done by various investigators bearing upon this problem, but in all of those cases the work has been by methods and appliances which have placed the plants experimented with under such conditions that the roots were forced to develop in a volume of soil which was much smaller than field conditions usually afford. In the writer's work, however, he has aimed to give the plants more nearly the normal amount of root room ; and in one series has aimed, also, to so place the experiment that the plants should be growing as nearly as possibly under the meteorological conditions of the field crop.

The apparatus used for this work is illustrated in Fig. 1, where, for the first trials, 50-gallon vinegar casks were used for pots in which to place the soil. But after the first year's work these were abandoned, and there were substituted for them, for the field work, galvanized iron cylinders 18 inches in diameter and 42 inches deep. These were placed in pits in the ground in the field, as illustrated in Fig. 1, so that the tops of the cylinders were at the level of the top of the field soil, and so that the cylinders in which the experimental plants were growing stood in the field surrounded by the crop of the same kind growing under field conditions. The object of placing the experiment in this manner was to secure for the plants, as nearly as possible, the meteorological conditions of the field, and these conditions were quite closely realized in all particulars except the one of soil temperature. In this particular the cylinders, being necessarily isolated from the body of the field soil in order that they might be weighed at any time, allowed the soil to take more nearly the temperature of the atmosphere than was true of the deeper layers of soil in the field, and also to be subject to wider diurnal changes in the lower por-

Fig. 1. Method used to measure the amount of water required to produce a pound of dry matter.

tions of the cylinders than could have occurred in the corresponding depths in the field soil. Just how these differences of temperature conditions have modified the results we are not yet in a position to say, but it is not likely that they have caused very

wide departures from what would have been observed had it been
possible to have measured as accurately the water consumed by
the surrounding plants of the same kind which were growing at
the same time in the field under every way normal field condi-
tions.

In all of these pot experiments, the effort has been to hold
the amount of moisture in the soil at a constant quantity equal
to that which was possessed by the field soil in the spring of
the year, when it was in good working condition ; and this
was done by weighing the cylinders periodically, usually as
often as once a week, and then adding water in sufficient quan-
tity to bring the weight of the cylinder back to the original
amount. The cylinders were, of course, water-tight, so that the
only loss was through evaporation from the surface of the soil in
the cylinders and from the plants themselves. No effort has been
made in these experiments to distinguish between the amount of
water which actually passed through the plant and was evaporated
from its surface, and that which escaped from the surface of the
soil in which the plants were growing, as to do this would
necessitate the covering of the soil in which the plants were grow-
ing so as to prevent evaporation from it. To do this effectively
would interfere with the normal aëration of the soil, and thus viti-
ate the results by producing abnormal conditions. During the
work of the first year, when the wooden casks were used, there
was probably some loss of water through the walls of the casks,
due to capillarity in the wood and evaporation from it ; but
the amount was probably . small, because they were all well
painted.

The first year's trials were with oats, barley and corn. With
the oats and barley the surface of the soil was not disturbed after
seeding, but in the case of the corn the ground was stirred after
each watering, so as to develop a soil mulch after the manner
of field culture. In each case the work was done in dupli-
cate. In the table which follows are given the results of these
trials :

Table showing the amount of water evaporated from plant and soil in producing a pound of dry matter in Wisconsin in 1891

	Water used	Dry matter produced	Water per lb. of dry matter	Water as inches of rain
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	INCHES
Barley 1.............	158.3	.3966	399 14 ⎫	13.19
Barley 2.............	141.03	.3488	404.33 ⎭	
Oats 1.............	224.25	.4405	509.31 ⎫	19.6
Oats 2.............	220.7	.4471	493 63 ⎭	
Corn 1.............	300.45	1.0152	295.95 ⎫	26.39
Corn 2.............	298.65	.9727	307.03 ⎭	

It will be seen from an inspection of the table that the several experiments agree among themselves as closely as could be expected, and that the barley used 13.19 inches of water in coming to maturity, the oats 19.6 inches, and the corn 26.39 inches.

During the same season an effort was made to measure the water required for a crop of corn under perfectly normal field conditions. To do this two plots of ground, each 48 feet long and 42 feet wide, were planted to a local form of Pride of the North dent corn, in rows 3.5 feet apart and in hills 16 inches apart in the rows, the corn being thinned to two stalks in a hill after it had come up and was well established. At the time of planting, samples of soil were taken in 1-foot sections to a depth of 4 feet from six different places on each plot, and the water in the soil determined. This was also done when the corn was cut, in order to get a measure of the change in the water content of the soil, which it was proposed to add to the measured rainfall of the growing season, to give the amount of water used.

At the time of maturity, the whole of the corn of each plot was cut and dried in a large dry-house, in order to get an exact measure of the amount of dry matter produced. There is given below the water content of the soil in the two plots at the time of planting and at the time of harvest:

*Eighth Annual Report Wisconsin Experiment Station, p. 126.

*Table showing the changes in the water content of the soil upon which corn had been grown in 1890 under field conditions

Dry weight of soil per cubic foot...........	First foot 77.25 lbs.		Second foot 79.79 lbs.		Third foot 94.13 lbs.		Fourth foot 98.07 lbs.	
	PER CT.	LBS.	PER CT.	LBS.	PER CT.	LBS.	PER CT.	LBS.
PLOT I { June 7 ....22.66		17.5	19.77	15.77	18.16	17.09	19.16	18.79
Sept. 16.......15.75		12.17	11.8	9.42	9.91	9.33	10.77	10.56
Loss 6.91		5.33	7.97	6.35	8.25	7.76	8.39	8.23
PLOT II { June 7 ..,......24.93		19.26	24.32	19.4	20.08	18.9	19.37	19
Sept. 16.......18.43		14.24	15.03	11.99	12.62	11.88	9.8	9.61
Loss 6.5		5.02	9.29	7.41	7.46	7.02	9.57	9.39

From this table it appears that each volume of soil four feet long and one square foot in section lost the amounts of water which follow:

	Plot I LBS.	Plot II LBS.
Loss of water in soil	27.67	28.84
Rainfall from June 7 to Sept. 16........................	64.72	64.72
Total loss	92.39	93.56
	17.76 inches	17.99 inches

The amount of dry matter produced in these cases was, for Plot I, 450.18 pounds; Plot II, 455.36 pounds, making a yield per acre of 9,727 pounds and 9,840 pounds for the two plots respectively.

Were it admissible to assume that the percolation of rain-water below the zone of root action had been exactly equaled by the rise of water into it by capillarity from the subsoil below, it would follow, from the observed losses of water and yields of dry matter, that the amount of water used for a pound of dry matter under these field conditions was 413.7 pounds for Plot I, and 414.2 pounds for Plot II.

The results of a trial similar to the one just described, and with the same variety of corn, for the year 1891, gave 309 pounds of water for one pound of dry matter, on ground which had been given a dressing of farmyard manure, and 333 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter on land which had not been manured. Here we have two trials by pot culture, where everything was under

*Eighth Annual Report Wisconsin Experiment Station, p. 123.

control, and there could be no percolation, which gave an average of 301.49 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter. We also have four field trials, where there is the uncertainty of some loss of water by percolation and of some gain by capillarity from below, which gave a mean of 413.95 pounds for 1890, and in 1891 321 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter. The amount of percolation during the season of 1890 was certainly greater than it was during the season of 1891, and this may or may not be an explanation of the difference in the amounts of water used per pound of dry matter in the two seasons.

In the case of oats grown under field conditions and studied in the same manner as that described for the corn, the results showed 519 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter in the one case, and 534 pounds in another case, while the average of the two pot experiments was 501.47 pounds of water for one pound of dry matter.

So, too, in the case of field studies with barley, we had an observed loss of 537 pounds of water in one case on ground which had been fallow, but 719 pounds on ground which had not been fallow, for each pound of dry matter produced ; while the pot culture gave a mean loss of only 401.74 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter.

If we count the rainfall during the growing season and the difference between the amounts of water in the soil at the time of planting and at harvest, in the several field cases, as the amounts of water used by the crop, including surface evaporation, and then compare these amounts per square foot with those added to the several pots in the pot trials, we shall have results which are given below:

Table showing number of pounds of water consumed per square foot

	In pots	In field	Difference
	Oats		
Mean amount of water per sq. ft.—lbs	101.98	72.98	29
	Barley		
Mean amount of water per sq. ft.—lbs	79.11	58.65	20.46
	Corn		
Mean amount of water per sq. ft.—lbs	137.3	63.8	73.5

From these figures it appears that while more water was lost in the field, for each pound of dry matter produced, than in the pot experiments, the amount of water used per square foot in the pots was in every case much greater than it was in the field. So, too, were the yields of dry matter, when expressed in units of equal areas, much greater in the pots than they were in the field. These relations are very suggestive, though, of course, not at all demonstrative, that the larger amount of water used per unit area in the pot experiments is to be credited with the larger amount of dry matter produced per unit area. The differences are certainly in the direction we should expect if water plays the important part we have attributed to it, and if in the field experiments the several crops did not have all of the water they might have used to advantage.

In 1892 pot experiments similar to those described were conducted with barley, oats, corn, clover, and field peas, using galvanized iron cylinders 18 inches in diameter and 42 inches deep, placed in the field, surrounded by the field crop, and each experiment being in duplicate. The results of these trials are given in the table below:

Table showing the amount of water used in producing a pound of dry matter
in Wisconsin in 1892

	Water used	Dry matter produced	Water per lb. of dry matter	Computed yield per acre	Water used
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	INCHES
Barley 1......	216.12	.576	375.21	14,196	23.52
Barley 2......	206.12				
Oats 1......	174.6	.3522	525.59	8,180	19
Oats 2......	167.58				
Corn 1......	235.96	.9905	238.22	19,184	25
Corn 2......	225.24	.5657	398.15		
Clover 1......	337.36	.5977	564.43	12,486	29.73
Clover 2......	348 66				
Peas 1......	155.24	.3252	477.37	8,017	16.80
Peas 2......	139.17				

If, now, we express the relation between the amount of dry matter produced and the number of inches of water used in these trials and in those of 1891, it will be seen that the yields of dry

matter per acre are measurably proportional to the amount of water used by the crop in producing it. These relations are expressed in the following table:

	In the field		In cylinders	
	Dry matter	Water used	Dry matter	Water used
	LBS. PER ACRE	INCHES	LBS. PER ACRE	INCHES
Oats in 1891................	6.083	13.93	8,861	19.69
Oats in 1892................			8,189	19
Barley in 1891..............	4,157	11.27	7,441	13.19
Barley in 1892..............			14,196	23.52
Corn in 1891................	8,190.5	12.26	19,845	26.39
Corn in 1892................	7,045.3	11.34	19,184	25.09
Clover in 1892..............			12,496	29.73
Peas in 1892................			8,017	16.89

Now, here, in the case of the oats, the average yield of dry matter per acre in the cylinders was 4.26 tons, while in the field it was 3.04 tons. But the soil put into the cylinders in the spring was the same as that in the field and contained the same per cent of soil moisture, but there was given to the soil in the cylinders 1.39 times the amount of water which fell as rain upon the surrounding fields, plus the amount of water by which the soil was dryer at harvest than at seed-time ; and we had a yield 1.4 times as large.

In the experiment with barley, we had an average yield of 5.41 tons of dry matter per acre in the cylinders, but only 2.08 tons in the field. There were added to the cylinders 1.63 times the amount of water which fell upon the field, plus the amount of water by which the soil was dryer at harvest than at seed-time, and we realized a yield of dry matter 2.6 times as large. There was in the field a yield of 40 bushels of grain per acre, but in the cylinders 104 bushels, and yet so far as we can see, the only advantage the barley in the cylinders had over that in the field was the increased amount of water added to the soil.

In the case of corn, the yield of dry matter per acre in the cylinders was nearly 2.6 times as large as that in the field, and there was added to the soil in which this corn grew a little less

than 2.2 times the amount of water which was available for the field crop.

In 1893, oats used water at the rate of 595 pounds per pound of dry matter on a sandy soil where the yield was 1.196 pounds on 7.069 sq. ft., making a yield of 7,370 pounds of dry matter per acre. But in this case the pot was a galvanized iron cylinder 6 feet deep, standing above the ground, so that the evaporation would necessarily be large, as the figures show it was. Expressed in inches, the water used was equal to 19.37 inches of rain.

Clover, too, was grown in the usual form of cylinder in the ground in the field, and two crops cut from each of two cylinders, producing the yield and using the amounts of water stated below:

	⌐First crop⌐		⌐Second crop⌐	
	No. 1	No. 2	No. 1	No. 2
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.
Dry matter per acre......................	7,000	9,353	5,734	7,886
Water per pound of dry matter	423.14	370.92	983.7	730.9

It will be seen that in these cases the first crops, which were cut July 1, were much more economical of water used than were the second crops, when measured by the standard of the number of pounds of water per pound of dry matter produced. Expressing the water used in inches over the surface covered by the crop, the results stand:

	⌐First crop⌐		⌐Second crop⌐	
	No. 1	No. 2	No. 1	No. 2
	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES
Inches of water used....................	13.06	15.28	24.89	25.44

It is thus seen that the two crops of clover, averaging for the four cases a yield of 7.493 tons of dry matter per acre, and equivalent to 8.815 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water, used for the season a mean of 39.33 inches of water, an amount which considerably exceeds the total annual rainfall of the year for this locality.

Side by side with the clover trials of 1893, four cylinders were treated in the same manner for corn, all of them growing a flint variety. In these cases, too, one cylinder of each pair had its

soil enriched with farmyard manure, to determine if a rich soil affected in any notable way the rate at which water was used in crop production.

The results of these trials may be stated as given below:

	Flint corn		Flint corn	
	Manured	Not man'd	Manured	Not man'd
	1	2	3	4
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.
Dry matter per acre..............	34,730	33,620	22,540	9,505
Water used per lb. of dry matter	223.3	232	257.4	223
Water expressed in inches	34.23	34.42	25.56	13.06

The difference in yield between cylinders 3 and 4 and 1 and 2 appears to have been due to the condition of the soil at the time the cylinders were fitted, the soil being more moist in 3 and 4, which stood upon ground lower and too wet for conditions of best growth. The field yield of corn surrounding the cylinders, and with the same kind of soil, was 4.4 tons of dry matter, yielding 66.95 bushels of kiln-dried shelled corn per acre, which is large for field conditions with the normal rainfall. But the mean yield in cylinders 1 and 2 was 17.09 tons of dry matter per acre, or almost four times as much, while the average of the four cylinders was 2.83 times as large, but using 2.2 times the amount of water which fell upon the surrounding fields as rain during the growing season for this corn.

It does not, of course, follow from these experiments that well tilled field soil, if irrigated properly, will produce such yields as these which have been recorded ; neither does it follow, necessarily, that these large yields owe their excess over normal crops only to the extra supply of water added at the proper times. It does, however, follow from these experiments, we think, that were our water supply under better control and larger at certain times than it is in Wisconsin, our field yields would be much increased, if not actually doubled. It does follow, also, from these experiments, that well drained lands in Wisconsin and in other countries having similar climatic conditions are not supplied naturally with as much water during the growing season as most

crops are capable of utilizing, and, hence, that all methods of till-
age which are wasteful of soil moisture detract by so much from
the yields per acre. Indeed, what we call good average yields
per acre are determined, in a large measure, by the amount of
soil moisture which the land is capable of turning over to the
crops growing upon it.

In 1894, work similar to that described was done with pota-
toes, eight cylinders being used, two of which were placed in the

Fig. 2. Potatoes grown in cylinders to determine the amount of water
used in producing a crop.

field, as already described, and six others were kept standing upon
the surface of the ground, shaded on the south side from the sun
in the manner represented in Fig. 2, which shows the potatoes as
they appeared when growing. In the same year, oats were again
grown in four other cylinders surrounded by field grain of the
same kind, and in pots with their tops flush with the top of the
ground. A statement of the results of these several trials is
here given.

We give, in the first place, in illustration of the rate at which
potato plants use water in the various stages of their growth, a

table showing the times of watering and the amounts of water given through the whole growing season for the crop :

Table showing the times of watering potatoes, and the amounts of water given

	┌─In field─┐		┌──────Cylinders above ground──────┐					
	No. 1	No. 2	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6
	LBS.	LPS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.
Weights at start......	504	506.7	581	576.5	579.6	579.7	582	579.5
May 15, water added..	....	....	19.8	18.4	18.2	17.8	17.9	18.3
June 4, " " ..	10	10	....	....	....	....	....	....
June 13, " " ..	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
June 21, " " ..	13	13	10	10	10	10	10	10
June 25, " " ..	10	10	....	....	....	....	....	....
June 30, " " ..	10	10	....	....	....	....	....	....
July 2, " " ..	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
July 5, " " ..	15	15	10	10	10	10	10	10
July 9, " " ..	20	20	10	10	10	10	10	10
July 12, " " ..	20	20	12	12	12	12	12	12
July 16, " " ..	15	15	10	10	10	10	10	10
July 20, " " ..	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
July 24, " " ..	10	10	8.9	7.1	5.2	10.6	12	6
July 28, " " ..	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Aug. 2, " " ..	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Aug. 10, " " ..	15	20	9.8	22.7	18	18.3	15.1	21.7
Aug. 16, " " ..	....	....	10	10	10	10	10	10
Aug. 25, ' " ..	....	....	8.1	21.4	20.9	16.9	10.3	22.1
Weights at close......	481.7	492	554	527.8	531.6	528.8	545.5	521.4
Total water added....	198	203	168.6	191.6	184.3	185.6	177.9	190.1
Soil water used.......	22.3	14.7	27	48.7	48	50.9	36.5	58.1
Dry matter	.5	.5	.3	.5	.5	.5	.4	.5
Total water...........	220.8	218.2	195.9	240.8	232.8	237	214.8	248.7
Water used, in inches.	24.02	23.74	21.31	26.2	25.83	25.78	23.27	27.06

The potatoes in the two field cylinders matured first, and were dug on Aug. 25, while the others stood until Sept. 21. It should be stated in this connection that all of the potatoes, including those in the field, were affected by the hot weather blight, so that in no case were the plants in full vigor and presenting the normal amount of foliage to the atmosphere.

The yields of tubers in the several cases, and the computed yields per acre, figured as proportional to the surface and vol-

ume of soil in which the crop grew, are given in the table below:

<div align="center">CYLINDERS IN THE GROUND</div>

	Weight of tubers			Yield per acre		
	Merchantable tubers	Small	Total	Merchantable tubers	Small	Total
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	BU.	BU.	BU.
No. 1....................	1.308	.386	1.694	537.3	158.5	695.8
No. 2....................	.817	.775	1.593	335.6	318.3	653.9

<div align="center">CYLINDERS ABOVE GROUND</div>

No. 1....................	.452	.539	.991	185.6	221.5	407.1
No. 2....................	.379	.792	1.171	155.7	325.5	481.2
No. 3....................	.322	.875	1.197	132.4	359.2	491.6
No. 4......	1.024	.314	1.338	420.6	128.9	549.5
No. 5....................	.709	.282	1.091	291.2	156.9	448.1
No. 6....................	.681	.435	1.116	279.9	178.8	458.7

It will be seen from the relation between the weights of small and merchantable tubers that the blight referred to had exerted a very appreciable influence on the crop in all of the cases, so that the relations which exist between the water used and the dry matter produced cannot be regarded as normal. These relations, as they were found to stand, are given below:

Table showing the pounds of water used by potatoes in producing a pound of dry matter in tuber and vine in Wisconsin during the season of 1894

	Dry matter	Water per lb. of dry matter	Computed yield of dry matter per acre	Water used
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	INCHES
No. 1........	.513	430.4	12,650	24.02
No. 2........	.5258	415	12,960	23.74
No. 1.... ..	.3338	586 9	8,248	21.31
No. 2........	.5007	480.9	12,340	26.2
No. 3........	.4505	516.8	11,110	25.33
No. 4........	.5020	472.1	12,370	25.78
No. 5........	.3596	497.3	8,865	23.37
No. 6........	.5425	458.4	13,370	27.06

It is evident from this table, whatever may be said in regard to the yields, that the potatoes did use a very large amount

of water, although it was unquestionably less than it would have been had the plants not been affected by the blight. As it was, the plants received an average of 24.6 inches, which is three times the amount of rainfall during their season of growth.

It should be said further, in regard to the amount of water used this season, that the whole of the watering was from the bottom, so that the surface of the ground was kept dry throughout the time. In order to introduce the water at the bottom, a layer of sand was first placed in each cylinder before the soil was filled in, and then a column of 3-inch drain tile was set up against one side, reaching from the bottom to the top of the cylinders, and in adding the water it was poured into these tiles.

In the case of the cylinders of oats which were grown in 1894, they were watered in the same manner, so that in these cases nearly all of the water used did actually pass through the plants.

The results with the oats are given below:

	No. 1 LBS.	No. 2 LBS.	No. 3 LBS.	No. 4 LBS.
Amount of water used	282.8	280.2	283.3	285.6
" " dry matter produced..	.5232	.5163	.4198	.4663
" " water per lb. of dry matter	540.6	542.7	674.9	614.7
" " dry matter per acre...	12,900	12,730	10,350	11,500
	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.
Total water used, in inches........	30.77	30.48	30.82	31.18

If reference is made to the yields of 1891 and 1892, which have been given on a preceding page, it will be seen that the yields for 1894 have been decidedly larger than they were in the former cases, but so were the amounts of water used by the plants. The mean of the three earlier trials gives a yield of 8,525 pounds of dry matter per acre, using 19.345 inches of water to produce it; but in these last cases the mean yield of dry matter was 11,870 pounds per acre, and the water used to produce it was 31.08 inches. The yields of 1894 average 1.39 times the earlier ones, and the amount of water used in producing this greater yield was 1.06 times the amount required for the smaller.

In 1895, and again in 1896, similar experiments were carried on with potatoes, barley and clover, both upon very sandy soils and upon good clay loam. The first experiments described were with potatoes on very sandy soil taken from the pine barrens in Douglas county, Wis., and which was ouite coarse-grained and deficient in organic matter.

On June 3, 1895, the three cylinders in the right of the photograph, Fig. 2, were filled with the soil in question. Some 2,000 pounds of this soil had been procured from the surface down to a depth of three feet. The first, second and third feet of the soil were placed in them in their natural order in the field, the third foot being at the bottom and the surface foot at the top, so as to reproduce the natural conditions as closely as possible.

In cylinder 1, on the right, the soil was left in its virgin condition ; to No. 2 there was applied two pounds of well-rotted farmyard manure, and to No. 3 there were given four pounds. The remaining three cylinders, 4, 5 and 6, were used as checks, and were filled to within 5 inches of the top with good surface soil of a light clay loam character. In order that the tubers of the potatoes might develop under as closely similar conditions as possible, and that the surface evaporation from the soil might not be very different, there was placed upon the surface of cylinder 4 five inches of the surface soil from the pine barrens, on cylinder 5 five inches of the second foot, and upon 6 five inches of the third foot.

In planting, one tuber of the Alexander Prolific potato was cut in halves and the two pieces planted, so as to give two hills in each cylinder. The cylinders were weighed and watered once each week, water enough being given to maintain a constant weight.

In 1896, the cylinders were again planted in the same manner with Rural New-Yorker potatoes. No fertilizers were used, but the plants were watered twice each week, 5 pounds of water being given to each cylinder every Monday morning and enough more on every Thursday, when the cylinders were weighed, to bring them to a constant weight. This change was made because it appeared possible that the texture of the soil was too coarse to

permit a single watering every seven days to meet the needs of the plants.

The results of the two years are given in the following table:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.
Yield per acre, 1896..........	513.5	862.6	801	1,089	1,119	883.2
" " " 1895..........	74	450	284	279	416	152
Difference....................	449.5	412.6	517	810	703	731.2
	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.
Inches of water used, 1896 ..	25.85	27.91	29.07	34.08	32.63	27.51
" " " " 1895 ..	10.76	20.02	17.65	16.27	20.65	12.96
Difference....................	15.09	7.89	11.42	17.81	11.98	14.55

It will be seen from this table that both the yield of potatoes and the amount of water used are much larger in 1896 than they are in 1895, the average yield in 1896 being 878.1 and in 1895 only 275.8 bushels, the former being 3.18 times the latter. The average amount of water used was 29.51 inches in 1896, and 16.385 inches in 1895, the former being 1.8 times the latter.

As a further check upon these experiments, two cylinders 7 feet deep and 4.33 feet in diameter were filled with a local yellow sand, and to one of the cylinders farmyard manure was applied at the rate of 50 tons per acre, and to the other at the rate of 25 tons per acre. These were planted in 1895 with Alexander Prolific potatoes, seven pieces in each cylinder. The watering in 1895 was once each week, and twice each week in 1896. In the latter year no fertilizers of any kind were applied, and Rural New-Yorker potatoes were planted instead of the Alexander Prolific. In 1895, 20.05 inches of water gave a yield of 605.5 bushels on the heavily manured cylinder and 563.5 bushels per acre on the other. But in 1896, when the potatoes were watered twice each week at the rate of 75 pounds for the lightly manured case and 50 pounds for the other, the yield per acre on the lightly manured cylinder was only 312 bushels, and yet 40.61 inches of water were used; while the other cylinder gave a yield of 344.5 bushels per acre and used 31.92 inches of water.

C

In this case it will be seen that a decidedly smaller yield is associated with a much larger amount of water applied at shorter intervals, but why this should be does not appear, unless the manure had become exhausted and the plants were not properly fed. The vines in all cases were abnormally small, and looked starved.

In the experiments with both barley and clover, the small cylinders were used set into the ground in the field. Two cylinders were used for the barley and four for the clover, one-half of them filled with the yellowish sand referred to, well manured, and the other filled with good soil. All the cylinders were weighed and watered once each week, holding them at a constant weight, and the results are given in the table below:

	Barley, 1895 Sand	Soil
Yield of dry matter in tons per acre	5.02	6.32
Bushels of grain per acre	30.47	38.14
Inches of water	25.84	31.24

	Clover, 1895 First crop Sand	Soil	Second crop Sand	Soil	Both crops Sand Water used INCHES	Soil
Tons dry matter per acre, No. 1..	2.88	3.48	2.36	3.28	29.36	38.18
" " " " " No. 2..	2.91	3.25	3.19	2.77	37.15	39.91
	Clover, 1896					
Tons dry matter per acre, No. 1..	1.86	2.45	4.32	3.63	22.09	19.78
" " " " " No. 2..	2.09	2.9	3.62	3.29	20.87	20 48
Mean for two years	2.435	3.02	3.372	3.242	27.37	29.59

The mean annual yield of clover on the sand for the two years was 5.807 tons of dry matter per acre, using 27.37 inches of water, and the mean product for both crops on the good soil for the two years was 6.262 tons of dry matter per acre, using an average of 29.59 inches of water to produce it.

In addition to the field results which have now been presented, measuring the water used in the production of crops in Wisconsin, we have obtained some results in essentially the same manner, except that the cylinders were made deep enough to contain four

feet of soil, and all were placed in the plant-house, arranged in tne manner shown in Fig. 3.

In these trials, two sizes of cylinders have been used : one 18 inches in diameter and 51 inches deep, and the other 36 inches

Fig. 3.   Method of growing plants in plant-house to determine the amount of water used.

in diameter and the same depth. Tho large cylinders this year have been filled with a black marsb soil, and the small ones with a virgin soil of medium clay loam variety, taken from a second-growth black oak grove.

First, the results obtained from four of the large cylinders sowed to oats Dec. 12, 1896, and harvested July 1, 1897, after a period of 200 days. The oats were sown thick, and grew very rank, lodging quite badly.

The total dry matter and the total water used by the crop of the four cylinders was as given below:

No. of cylinders	13	14	23	24
Dry matter produced—lbs.	4	3.16	4.93	4.32
Total water used—lbs.	1,808	1,668	2,061.5	1.782.5

Dividing the amount of water used on the four cylinders by the dry matter produced, we get, as the mean of the four trials, under the conditions of the plant-house, 446.1 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter, and a yield of dry matter per acre amounting to 12.645 tons, which is very large, indeed. The water used by this crop expressed as rainfall was, as a mean of the four trials, 49.76 .inches. Here is a depth of water used from this soil which is a little greater than the soil itself ; but the rate at which the water was used, it will be observed, is less per pound of dry matter produced than that for the out-of-door experiments.

In the case of the clover on these black marsh soils, there were eight of the large cylinders used, in four of which medium clover grew, and on the other four alsike clover. These were sown without a nurse crop, and at the same time as the oats, but were cut July 8, so that the period of growth was 207 days. The results obtained here with medium clover were as stated below :

No. of cylinders	15	16	21	22
Dry matter produced—gms.	507	608	620	573
Water used—lbs.	673.5	795.5	819	678

Dividing the total amount of water used on the four cylinders by the total dry matter produced, we get 582.9 pounds of water as the amount used per pound of dry matter. In this case the yield of dry matter per acre was 3.92 tons, equal to 4.61 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water. The amount of water used, expressed in inches, was 20.16.

The alsike clover gave yields and results as follows:

No. of cylinders	17	18	19	20
Dry matter produced—gms.	628	616	576	634
Water used—lbs.	809	758	774	804.5

In this case, the mean amount of water for a pound of dry matter was 581.5 pounds, and the yield of dry matter per acre

was 4.168 tons, equal to 4.9 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water. The water used, expressed in inches, was 21.43.

In the trials of clover on the virgin soil in the plant-house, 14 cylinders of the smaller size were used, and these were seeded Dec. 12, 1896, and cut July 8, 1897. The yield of dry matter in these cases per unit area was much heavier than on the black soil, the amounts standing as below:

No. of cylinders	71	72	73	74	75	76	77
Dry matter—gms.	312.5	315.5	252.4	230	212.5	244.5	222.5
Water used—lbs.	373.5	350	206	297	292.5	318	295.5

No. of cylinders	78	79	80	81	82	83	84
Dry matter—gms.	303.5	223.5	284.5	292.6	284.2	277.5	266.5
Water used—lbs.	351.5	300.5	311.5	290	326.5	336	347.5

The total amount of water-free dry matter produced on all the cylinders was 3,724.2 gms., or 8.215 pounds., using 4,496 pounds of water, or at the rate of 547.3 pounds for one pound of dry matter. The average yield, of water-free dry matter per acre was 7.23 tons, equal to 8.51 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water. The water used during the 207 days from seed-time to cutting of the first crop was 34.93 inches.

Side by side with the cases now cited, six other cylinders were planted to Rural New-Yorker potatoes on the same date. These were dug July 2, and the photo-engraving, Fig. 4, shows the crop produced. Although the potatoes were planted Dec. 12, they did not come up until into February, apparently for no other reason than that the tubers needed a certain period in which to develop the conditions for growth, which at the time of planting they had not had. When the plants did come up they grew very rapidly. Below are given the results of these trials:

No. of cylinders	65	66	67	68	69	70
Weight of tubers—gms.	1,288.7	808.1	1,376	1,313.4	1,275.4	1,204.8
Bushels per acre	1,168	732	1,249	1.189	1,155	1,091.5
Total dry matter—gms.	342.6	263.6	332.5	334	312.2	328.8
Water per lb. of dry matter	275.4	347.6	281.7	272.3	307.3	306.3
Water used by crop—lbs.	208	202	206.5	200.5	211.5	222
Inches of water	22.63	21.98	22.47	21.81	23.01	24.15

Here, again, if we figure the yield of dry matter per acre on the basis of the amount of ground occupied, we shall have the large crop of 8.67 tons of dry matter per acre, using in its production 22.67 inches of water.

In twenty other 18-inch cylinders in the plant-house, a variety of white dent corn was grown, four plants in a cylinder. These

Fig. 4. Crop of potatoes using from 272-347 pounds of water for 1 pound of dry matter.

were planted May 22 and harvested Aug. 23, and on the twenty cylinders, aggregating 35.34 square feet of soil, 18.1 pounds of dry matter were produced, which used 5,685 pounds of water in coming to maturity, or at the rate of 314.1 pounds of water for one pound of dry matter, and a depth of water, when expressed as rainfall, of 30.93 inches, the yield per acre being 22,310 pounds of water-free matter.

VARIATIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF WATER
BY PLANTS

It is a matter of very fundamental importance to know what factors or conditions may cause a variation in the amount of water which is necessary to produce a ton of dry matter, because it is only by knowing these that it will be possible to lay down any general principles for determining the amount of water which will be required to produce a given yield.

If we examine the data which have been presented, it will be observed that not only is there a rather wide variation in the amount of water used by different crops, but, also, that there is, further, a wide difference recorded as occurring with the same species or variety, sometimes with the same species in the same year, and sometimes for different years, and it is important to know to what these differences are due.

In the case of corn, for example, where we have grown it under the cylinder conditions in the field, the following variations have been noted:

In 1891, Pride of the North dent corn used in one case 295.95 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter, and in the other 307.03 pounds. But in the first case more dry matter was produced by the individual plants, the first producing 4.369 per cent more than the other did, but in doing this only .602 per cent more water was taken ; that is, the most vigorous plants have produced the most dry matter when measured by the amount of water used. Indeed, it may be laid down as a general rule, that the more favorable all conditions are for plant growth, the more effective will be the water supplied to the crop. Good management, therefore, will look closely to all details, even to the minor ones, for everything counts in plant feeding just as it does in animal feeding.

Not all varieties of the same species of plant use water in the production of dry matter with the same degree of effectiveness. In our work with dent and flint corn, for example, we have found, as a mean of four trials, that Pride of the North dent

corn used water at the rate of 309.84 pounds of water per pound of dry matter produced, and 25.74 inches of water when measured in depth on the area occupied. But four trials with a variety of flint corn gave a mean of 233.9 pounds of water per pound of dry matter, which is 75.94 pounds or 32.5 per cent less than in the case of the dent variety. This is not because actually less water was used per unit area, for the flint corn in these four trials did use a mean of 26.82 inches against 25.74 for the dent corn.

It seems not improbable that this more economical use of water by the flint corn may be in part due to its lower habit of growth and the greater abundance of foliage closer to the ground, for it may be expected that the lower position of the leaves, and their crowding as well, would tend to lessen the amount of evaporation in a given time. But to whatever the difference may be due, it is plain that on light soils and wherever the water supply is limited, larger returns may be secured by paying attention to the variety of plant grown.

The amount of water used by a particular crop might be expected to vary with the humidity of the season and the amount of wind movement during the period of growth of the crop ; but the data obtained do not appear to show so marked a relation as would seem should exist. The mean relative humidity of the air at Madison at 2 P. M., in 1891, for June, July and August, was 63.66 per cent, while in 1892, for the same time of day and period, the mean was 68 per cent ; and the total wind movement for Madison, these years, for the three months, as given by the records of the Washburn Observatory, was 20,712 miles in 1891 and 18,870 in 1892. But in 1891, 26.39 inches of water gave a yield of dry matter per acre of 19,845 pounds, and in 1892, 25.09 inches gave a yield of 19,184 pounds of dry matter per acre of corn in the plant cylinders in the field. The differences in the amounts of water used during the two years, it will be seen, is very small, especially when it is recognized that in 1892 the dry matter produced, and presumably the evaporation surface also, was less than in 1891.

So, too, in the case of oats for these two years, 19.60 inches of water gave 8,861 pounds of dry matter per acre in 1891, and in

1892, 19 inches gave 8,189 pounds, leaving the rate of evaporation from the plant surface very nearly the same for the two seasons, in spite of the differences of humidity and of wind velocities.

In the case of barley for these two years, there was a wide difference in the amount of water used per unit area, 13.19 inches being used in 1891 and 23.52 inches in 1892. But the yields of dry matter per unit area were also widely different, being 7,441 pounds of dry matter per acre in 1891 and 14,196 pounds in 1892. The barley in 1891 used 3.54 inches of water per ton of dry matter, and in 1892, 3.31, or only .23 inches less, which is small.

Even when the conditions are as different as those in the plant-house and the open field, the differences are not as marked as we were led to expect, as the table which follows will show:

	No. of trials	In field — Acre-inches of water per ton of dry matter		No. of trials	In plant-house — Acre-inches of water per ton of dry matter
Maize....	8		2.433	44	2.386
Oats.....	8		5.011	12	4.535
Clover...	24		5.345	22	5.005
Total	40	Mean	4.263	Total 78 Mean	3.975

If the results are expressed in pounds of water used per pound of dry matter, then they stand as follows :

	No. of trials	Pounds of water per pound of dry matter		No. of trials	Pounds of water per pound of dry matter
Maize....	8		275.6	44	270.3
Oats.....	8		567.8	12	490.6
Clover...	24		605.5	22	567.1
Total	40	Mean	483	Total 78 Mean	442.3

The tables show that in the case of these crops—maize, oats and clover—they have used in the field .288 acre-inches of water more per ton of dry matter produced than in the plant-house ; or, when expressed in the other way, 40.7 pounds of water per pound of dry matter more in the field cylinders than in the cylinders in the plant-house. Expressed in percentages, the field conditions demanded 9.2 per cent more water when the cylinders stood out-

of-doors, with the plants surrounded by the field crop and under the out-of-door meteorological conditions, than they did in the house.

This difference, however, shows larger than it really is, for it has been shown that the use of water is usually more economical in those cases in which the yields are largest, and in these cases there has been a larger yield of dry matter per unit area in the plant-house cylinders than were secured from the cylinders in the field. The total mean yield per acre for the oats, maize and clover in the field cylinders was 6.312 tons and in the plant-house 7.397 tons of dry matter per acre, making the latter yields on the average 17.19 per cent larger; and to this difference in yield must certainly be ascribed a part of the difference in the amount of water given off from the plants and from the soil during the periods of growth. It is quite plain, for example, that the loss of water from the soil surface would tend to be relatively larger, and probably, also, absolutely larger from the cylinders bearing the smallest crop of a given kind. The absolute loss would certainly be largest from the cylinders where the crop had the thinnest stand on the ground, and some of the cases of larger yield per unit area in the plant-house are due to the fact that more plants occupied the same area.

While, therefore, from the general principles governing the rate of evaporation, we are led to expect that more moisture must be lost from vegetation growing in a dry atmosphere than under more humid conditions, we are not able to point to our data as bearing out such a view in any emphatic manner. The rate of air movement in the plant-house has certainly been less than it was in the field, but the higher temperature in the plant-house has probably left the air relatively dryer during both day and night than in the field.

The conditions which did exist, both in the plant-house and in a field of maize, were noted on July 27, 28 and 29. The relative humidity of the air was measured with a wet-and-dry bulb thermometer, and the rate of evaporation was also measured under the two conditions with a form of Piche evaporometer. Two of these instruments were hung among the corn plants in the plant-

house and two others in the field, one pair on irrigated ground and the other on ground not irrigated.

The table below shows the variations in the rate of evaporation observed in the three localities :

| | Plant house | | Irrigated field | | Field not irrigated | |
	No. 1	No. 2	No. 1	No. 2	No. 1	No. 2
	c. c.	c. c.	c. c.	c. c.	c. c.	c. c.
July 27	7	5.8	6.3	4.03	6.86	4.2
July 28	5.75	4.35	2.95	3.13	4.87	3.06
July 29	5.46	5.6	5.96	5.7	6.1	5.76
Mean	6.035	5.25	4.98	4.287	5.94	4.34

These rates of evaporation took place upon a surface of 27 square inches of wet filter paper.

The relative humidity observations were as here given:

| | Plant-house | Irrigated field | | Field not irrigated | |
	PER CENT	PER CENT		PER CENT	
July 27......................	38	45	51	49	55
July 28......................	39.5	54	55	57	62
July 29......................	41	49	52	48.5	49
Mean	39.5	49.3	52.7	51.5	55.3

So far as these figures may be relied upon, it would appear that the rate of evaporation in the plant-house may even have exceeded that in the field, and if this was true during the time the dry matter of the plant-house experiments was being produced, then the indications are still less marked pointing toward an increase in the amount of water being required for a pound of dry matter in a dry, rapidly changing atmosphere, than is required under stiller and more humid conditions.

It may be true that in the dry air a more rapid loss of moisture from the plant does take place, and that this loss stimulates a proportional increase of dry matter. This is merely a supposition, however, with no experimental evidence to bear it out, but such a tendency would give relations approaching those recorded above. So, too, if the rate of evaporation is automatic·

ally controlled by changes in the transpiring surfaces of plants, and if this control is sensitive, then there would also be a tendency to cause the amount of water necessary to produce a pound of dry matter in a given species of plant to remain nearly constant under wide ranges of climatic conditions. That most land plants are provided with organs which modify the rate of transpiration has been long established ; but how narrow the limits of control are remains to be demonstrated. It is fundamentally very important that such facts as these should be established, for they are needed in order that we may know how much land under a given crop a given quantity of water will irrigate.

We have, at this writing, just completed a set of observations bearing upon this fundamental problem, and although they are not sufficiently extended to be demonstrative, they are yet very suggestive, and will be of interest here.

If it is true that plants lose little moisture except through their breathing pores, and if these are closed during those times when there is not sufficient light to allow carbonic acid gas to be decomposed by the plant, then during the night, and perhaps, also, during cloudy weather, plants should lose but little moisture through their surfaces. To test this question, one of the small cylinders in the plant-house, containing four fully grown stalks of maize, was hung upon the scales, to be weighed hourly during the day ; and by the side of it was set a Piche evaporometer having an evaporation surface of 27 square inches, also to be read hourly. Below are given the results of these observations :

During the day, from 8:15 A. M. until 6:15 P. M., it was somewhat cloudy most of the time, but the clouds were not heavy, and there was a little sunshine through a haze from 11:15 A. M. until 2:15 P. M. From 8:15 A. M. until 6:15 P. M. the corn and soil lost 3 pounds of water, and there was evaporated from the evaporometer 31.5 c. c. or 1.2 cu. in. From 6:15 P. M. until 6:45 A. M. the next morning, the corn had not lost enough to show on the scales, which are sensitive to one-half pound ; and the evaporometer showed a loss of 2.3 c. c., equal to .14 cu. in. The next day was bright and sunny the whole time, and from 6:45 A. M.

until 6:15 P. M. the maize lost 7.5 pounds of water and the evaporometer lost 67.5 c. c., or 4.12 cu. in.; but during the night again the loss from the maize was too small to be measured, while the evaporometer showed a loss of 4.6 c. c., equal to .28 cu. in.

On the next day, Aug. 9, all of the cylinders in the plant-house were weighed during the forenoon, which was cloudy, but in the afternoon it cleared and the sun shone brightly. During the whole of the afternoon and until 9 P. M. we forced steam from the boiler, under a pressure of 7 to 15 pounds, into the plant-house through an inch pipe wide open, and kept the house closed through the experiment. Steam filled the whole plant-house and condensed upon the glass and walls, dripping in many places from the roof.

On the following morning, Aug. 10, a number of the cylinders were again weighed, to see if there had been any loss of water from the plants, and it was found that three of the small clover cylinders had lost an average of 2 pounds each, while their mean loss during the seven preceding days had been at the rate of 2⅞ pounds. Eight stalks of maize in a large cylinder lost 7 pounds, while its mean loss per day had been 6⅞ pounds. Six small cylinders, each containing 4 stalks of maize, lost an average of 4⅝ pounds each, while the mean loss for the week had been 4¼ pounds.

It thus appears that during the night and cloudy weather plants lose but little moisture, but that when the sun shines brightly, even in an atmosphere nearly saturated with moisture, there is a very marked loss of water from the growing plants, and it would appear that the amount is nearly or quite as large in a damp as in a dry air. These observations seem strange, and need to be confirmed ; but they are in harmony with our observations regarding the amount of water required for a pound of dry matter.

If we bring together all of the observations made in Wisconsin on the amount of water used in the production of dry matter by plants, they will stand as in the table which follows :

Table showing the mean amount of water used by various plants in Wisconsin in producing a ton of dry matter

	No. of trials	Water required to produce 1 lb. of dry matter LBS.	Water used INCHES	Dry matter produced TONS	Acre-inches of water per ton of dry matter
Barley....	5	464.1	20.69	5.05	4.096
Oats......	20	503.9	39.53	8.89	4.447
Maize.....	52	270.9	15.76	6.59	2.391
Clover	46	576.6	22.34	4.39	5.089
Peas......	1	477.2	16.89	4.009	4.212
Potatoes..	14	385.1	23.78	6.995	3.399
Total	138	Average 446.3	23.165	5.987	3.939

In computing the results in this table, the combined area of all cylinders, the combined weights of dry matter produced, and the combined amounts of water used, have been divided by the number of trials with each kind of crop and the average results used in making the calculations.

In considering these results, it should be kept in mind that the water used by the several crops is made to include that which was lost through the soil by surface evaporation, because it was not easy to measure this separately or to prevent it without introducing abnormal conditions. It is quite certain, however, that during all of these trials the rate of loss from the soil has been somewhat less than would have occurred under the best possible management with field conditions.

Attention should be called to the fact, also, that the large amount of water used, averaging for the 138 trials 23.165 inches, is greater than field conditions would demand, if nothing were lost by percolation, for the reason that we have planted so as to utilize less surface area than is the practice in the field ; and it is to this fact, also, that the very large average yields, when computed per acre, are due, rather than to the growth of plants of abnormal size.

THE MECHANISM AND METHOD OF TRANSPIRATION IN PLANTS

Since water plays so large a part in the life and development of land plants, and since such large quantities of it are

used by them, it will be very helpful to know in what manner this water is moved through and from the plant, and just what part it plays in plant life.

We may understand the essentials of this complex process best if we compare it with our own breathing ; for transpiration and respiration of land plants have much in common with the breathing of animals. Both the plant and animal breathe air, and while breathing it, both give off large quantities of water from the organs of respiration. If you hold a cold, clean mirror in front of a person breathing, its surface becomes at once clouded with the moisture from the breath. So, too, if you hold the same cold mirror close to the foliage of a growing plant, the moisture escaping from that will also cloud the mirror.

Now, the primary object of the lungs in our case is not to remove water from the system, but to provide a means for oxygen to enter the blood from the air, and for the carbonic acid gas to escape from the blood into the air. This can take place rapidly, however, only when the delicate lining of the air cells in the lungs is kept moist ; and so the chief function of the water escaping from the lungs is to maintain their inner surface continually wet. Let the lung lining once become dry, and the rate at which oxygen could enter and carbonic acid gas escape from the blood would be so slow that life could not be maintained ; and in order that this fatal accident shall not occur, the lung surface is placed on the inside of the chest, where the rate of evaporation is very greatly impeded.

When we turn to the breathing of plants, we find that they, too, are only able to accomplish that very important work as rapidly as it needs to be done by having a very broad surface against which the air may come, but so placed that it shall be kept always wet ; and, just as in our case, it would never do to have this surface exposed to the open air, so the real breathing surface of plants is spread out on the inside of their structure, where hot, strong winds can never reach it.

In Fig. 5 is represented a piece of a barley leaf, partly dissected and much magnified, which shows the breathing surface of this plant, and how it is protected from excessive evaporation.

In the upper part of the figure, the under surface of the leaf is shown covered by its skin or epidermis, through which there can but little evaporation take place except through the opening which is shown at *sp* and the seven others like it ; and even these openings or breathing pores are so made that they may be automatically opened wide or almost completely closed when the needs of the plant call for much or little air.

In the lower part of the figure, the skin has been removed from the leaf, so as to show the actual breathing surface of the barley plant, consisting of the cells marked *m*, and which are filled with the green coloring matter of the leaf, or chlorophyll. The open spaces, marked *i*, between the breathing cells, are the breathing or respiratory chambers, which communicate with one another all through the leaf, but under the cover of its

Fig. 5. Structure of barley leaf. (After Sorauer.) *sp* is a breathing-pore; *m*, chlorophyll cells; *i*, respiratory chambers.

skin or epidermis, which in various ways, by a varnish, a wax or a close mat of hairs, is rendered less pervious to water and to air. In the case of tall plants, like shrubs and forest trees, rising a hundred and more feet into the air, nature has made still greater efforts to avert the danger of plants being destroyed by the action of drying winds. Here we find the trunks and all the larger limbs thoroughly protected by a thick bark, through which there can but little water escape as it slowly ascends from the roots to the leaves ; indeed, the more detailed we make the study of the structure and the function of parts in the plant, the more plain it becomes that in most land plants the

greatest economy is everywhere practiced in regard to the use of water.

If it were true that no water need be used by plants except that which is assimilated during their growth and reproduction, and in keeping the cells distended and turgid, so that wilting shall not occur, then there would be little need for irrigation anywhere except in the most arid of arid regions, for then even the hygroscopic moisture of a dry soil would be sufficient in quantity to supply the demands of almost any land plant.

The facts are, however, that during the hours of sunshine all growing plants which feed directly upon soil and air must have their assimilating chlorophyll-bearing cells continually in contact with a changing volume of air, in order that the carbon, which makes up so large a part of their dry weight, may be obtained in sufficient quantity from the carbonic acid gas in the atmosphere. But the more recent analyses of air show that on the average it contains but one part of carbonic acid by weight in 2,000 parts. Now, how much air must a field of clover breath in order that it may produce two tons of hay per acre ? Let us see.

Boussingault found by analysis that 4,500 pounds of clover hay harvested from an acre of ground contained no less than 1,680 pounds of carbon, and as this was derived almost wholly from the carbonic acid of the air, it must have decomposed 6,160 pounds of carbonic acid in order to procure it. But as there is only one pound of carbonic acid in 2,000 of air, it follows that 12,320,000 pounds of air must have yielded up the whole of its carbonic acid gas in order to supply the needed amount of carbon. Now, one cubic foot of air at a pressure of 29.922 inches and at a temperature of 62° F. weighs .080728 pounds, and this being true, not less than 152,600,000 cubic feet of air must have been required to meet the demands of this clover field for carbonic acid. This amount of air would cover the acre to a depth of 3,503 feet, having a uniform normal density.

Of course, not all of the carbonic acid in the air which passes across a clover field can be secured, nor indeed all of that which enters the intercellular air passages of the green parts of the plant, and hence it follows that very much larger

D

volumes of air than have been stated must be brought into close contact with the growing clover in order to meet its needs. This air, however, cannot come into intimate relations with the green chlorophyll-bearing cells of the clover in the field without of necessity permitting the evaporation of large quantities of water from the plants ; and this brings us to realize how imperative is the demand for water by rapidly growing crops.

The writer has found, for example, by direct measurement, that the air passing three feet above a clover field, and at a moderate rate, even as early as May 30 in Wisconsin, when the air temperature is only 52.48° F., may have its relative humidity increased from 44 to 48 per cent by the moisture taken from the field ; and this means that 3,510 pounds of water are required to make even the observed change of humidity in a volume of 152,- 600,000 cu. ft. of air, which is the amount required to carry to the clover crop its carbon, supposing all the carbon which the air contained to be utilized. It is quite likely, however, that the volume of air which did contribute its carbon to Boussingault's crop of clover not only exceeded fourfold the amount stated above, but that it also had its relative humidity raised at least to 94 per cent. If these suppositions are true, then the amount of water borne away from the plants in question must have exceeded 176,100 pounds, or at the rate of about 40 pounds of water for a pound of dry matter ; but it has been shown on a preceding page that, as a mean of 46 trials, the clover crop did lose from its tissues and from the soil in which it grew 576.6 pounds of water per pound of dry matter produced, so that, large as are the figures stated above, they fall far below the actual ones.

With these estimates and considerations before us, we can readily understand that one of the chief functions of water in plant life is to keep the tissues moist and in a suitable condition to carry on the process of breathing, whose primary object is to get the plant its carbon from the air.

In order that the plant may utilize the carbon of the carbonic acid in the air, it is necessary that this should come to the chlorophyll-bearing cells when there is sunshine enough to decompose it; and since the carbonic acid would be useless at

other times, and since the continual ingress and egress of the air which brings it would entail a steady drain of moisture from the plant by evaporation, the breathing pores in the leaves are usually provided with a pair of guard cells, which are so constituted that they may be opened and closed, and thus exclude nearly all the air from the interior of the plant ; or, by partly closing them, to vary the amount of air which may. be admitted in a given time.

In order that the escape of moisture from the plant may be as little as possible when the breathing pores must be open to admit air, the great majority of them are placed on the under or shaded side of the leaf. Thus Goodale, quoting from Weiss, gives in a table the number of breathing pores observed per square millimeter of surface on both the under and the upper surfaces of the leaves of forty species of plants, from which it is computed that, on the average in these cases, there are 209 breathing pores on the lower side of the leaf for every 51 on the upper side. How numerous and how minute these openings are may be appreciated when it is said that in the forty cases cited there are, on the average, 209,000 stomata on each area the size of the square in Fig. 6, on the under sides of the leaves of these species. Taking a specific case, that of corn, *Zea Mays*, it is stated that the breathing pores number, on the under side of the leaf, 158, and on the upper side 94, or in all 252 for each square millimeter of leaf, and that the combined area of these openings is .2124 of a square millimeter, so that 21 per cent of the leaf surface of corn is made up of doorways through which air may reach the interior of the plant, and out of which moisture must escape whenever they are open.

It is not strange, therefore, that large amounts of moisture do escape from plants while they are growing, nor that there has been provided a means of checking this loss as far as possible.

The opening and closing of the guard cells is brought about by changes in the quantity of material which they contain, causing them to open when the cells become distended and to close when they again become limp. Unlike the other cells in the

epidermis of the leaf, these guard cells of the breathing pores contain chlorophyll grains, and are thus able, in the sunshine, to decompose carbonic acid and carry on the processes of building plant-food ; but the very fact that food is being elaborated in these cells causes the sap in them to become more dense, and this, in its turn, causes water from the direction of the roots to enter these cells more rapidly than the elaborated materials escape, and so to distend them, and open wide the breathing pores just at the time when air should be admitted to the interior of the leaf. But just as soon as the stimulating effect of sunlight becomes too feeble to allow work to be done in them, then both on account of the elastic tension of these cell walls and because of the diminished osmotic pressure toward the guard cells, more fluid escapes from them than enters them in a given time ; they become limp, and their concave faces flatten and approach each other, thus shutting off the entrance of air to the interior of the leaf and at the same time reducing the loss of water to the mininum.

Again, if the soil moisture becomes insufficient to meet the demands of the plant, or if hot, drying winds take away the moisture from the leaves faster than osmotic pressure can supply it from the roots, then these guard cells are in the very position to be most and first affected by the shortage of water, and hence are where they will collapse and check the loss from the leaf surface. But just as assimilation cannot go on in the absence of sunlight, so it cannot go on properly in the presence of sunshine if there is a great deficiency of water; and hence we see that the guard cells are so conditioned that they will shut off the air from the interior of the plant at just those times when, if it could be changing, it would be doing an injury by wasting moisture, which is so indispensable to growth, and which it is usually really difficult for plants to get enough of to insure their most rapid and complete development.

The mechanical principle upon which the guard cells are opened and closed may be readily understood from Fig. 6. For simplicity in illustrating the principles, let A, B, C, D represent four views of a pair of guard cells, A being the pair with the

mouth open, but with their two ends abutting against each other and pressing firmly with their backs against the surrounding tissue of the leaf, 3–4 ; B is a cross-section of these cells along the

Fig. 6. Diagram showing the mechanical action of guard cells in opening and closing breathing pores. The square shows the area of under side of leaf containing an average of 209,000 breathing pores or stomata.

line 1 2 ; while C and D are corresponding views with the breathing pore closed. It will readily be seen that if the water holding the two cells in A and B rigid and distended partially escapes from them, their thin walls will then fall down and take the positions shown in C and D, where, as no displacement can take place in the directions away from the opening on account of the surrounding tissue, the walls must advance toward each other, more or less completely closing the aperture between them, as shown at C and D. Then, too, when the cells again become distended and turgid, the pressure will tend to force them to take the circular outline shown in section at B, and as the back wall of the two is fixed to the tissue so as not to be able to move, nearly all of the motion takes place upward and downward, and this pulls the two faces which are not fixed away from each other and widens the stoma or pore. It must, of course, be kept in mind that the shape of the actual guard cells varies in detail in many ways from the diagram given, and that we have here only intended to illustrate the mechanical principle involved in their opening and closing.

We see, then, that not only is water a very important sub-

stance in the economy of plant life, and large quantities of it are used, but that it is so difficult to always procure enough that nature has provided in the organization of the plant that none be wasted unnecessarily. It must be very evident, also, that whatever we may do, in our methods for growing crops, to keep the plants so fully supplied with moisture that they shall be able to utilize all the sunlight,—by keeping their breathing pores wide open, so that all air which can be used will be supplied,— must tend to give us larger yields.

THE MECHANISM BY WHICH LAND PLANTS SUPPLY THEMSELVES WITH MOISTURE

So long as plants maintained a simple, or relatively few-celled structure, and especially so long as they lived wholly or largely immersed in water, it was an easy matter for them to be supplied with as much water as they needed by simple diffusion and osmosis, just as the dry bean, when put to soak, swells and becomes turgid by the water which has been driven into its cellular structure under the ceaseless hammering impulses of heat. But when the time came for plants to abandon the water and to occupy the land with their varied forms, and especially when that race began for free air and direct sunshine which led on from herb to shrub, and through arborescent forms to the giant forest trees, then it became necessary for that complex and wonderful system of water-works which, with its intakes in the form of roots, spread out in a comparatively dry, well-drained soil, is able to gather from off the damp surfaces of soil grains and send to a height of a hundred feet a stream which, when divided between ten thousand leaves, shall yet have volume and pressure enough to keep them turgid in a strong, drying wind and a hot sun. Man, with his mechanical skill and inventive genius, has been able to install pumping plants which can lift more water to a greater height in a shorter time ; but to do this he has been forced to station himself by a running stream, or to import his energy at a great cost ; while the land plant, independent of wind

and water and coal, stations itself in any fertile soil, and does its work with the warmth of a summer day.

In all our problems of land drainage and irrigation, we are searching to better understand, and through this better understanding to better meet, the conditions under which a system of roots can best do its work. But the foundation of such an understanding should be a knowledge of the root itself, and how it places itself in the soil in order that it may do its work. Let us attempt, then, to present in a brief form what has been learned regarding the essential features of root structure and root action.

Roots have three distinct functions to perform in land plants having green leaves : first, to absorb moisture and the salts held in solution ; second, to convey and deliver into the stem of the plant the water which has been absorbed : and third, to act as a support to the plant and hold it upright in the air and sunshine, whenever it is not trailing or climbing in habit, or is without stems.

It appears to be the general conviction among plant physiologists that only the very tip ends of the roots are particularly serviceable as absorbing agents, and that even these are serviceable for a short time only. Farther than this, it is the root-hairs which branch out in great numbers from them, rather than the fine roots, which are the real absorbing surfaces. These root-hairs are extremely delicate, thin-walled tubes, usually not more than one-eighth of an inch long and a hundredth of an inch or less in diameter, which stand out on the root surfaces like the pile on velvet. These absorbing root-hairs never form at the very tip end of a new advancing root, and as, according to Sachs, they die off after a few days, they form a brush-like covering on the root for a distance of half an inch to two or three inches, dying off behind and forming anew as the advance is made into new soil. In Fig. 7 are shown the roots of two seedling white mustard plants, A with the particles of soil still adhering to the

B A
Fig.7. Root-hairs of mustard plants,—A with soil adhering, B with soil removed. (After Sachs.)

root-hairs and held in a body about the young root, while B is intended to show the appearance of the plant with the soil grains washed away. So, too, in Fig. 8 is shown the root of wheat soon after germination, and again four weeks later, after the root has advanced into new soil, and the root-hairs have died away behind and new ones formed.

The soil grains of a good soil are very small, the majority of them even much less than $\frac{1}{100}$ of an inch in diameter. Indeed, in a heavy clay soil one-half of the dry weight may be made up of soil grains as small as $\frac{1}{25000}$ of an inch in diameter. Now, the fine root-hairs make their way in between these minute soil grains, and even change their shape to fit themselves closely upon their surfaces in many cases.

The soil particles are themselves invested with a thin layer of water, even in the condition which we know as air-dry, and as these minute root-hairs apply themselves closely to the surfaces

A   B

Fig. 8. Root-hairs of wheat,—A when very young, B four weeks later. (After Sachs.)

of the soil grains, they are brought into immediate contact with the soil moisture. Indeed, capillarity has the same tendency to invest the root-hairs with a film of moisture that it has the soil grains, and we may suppose, in the absence of direct observation, that the root-hairs all the time carry a film of moisture equal in thickness to that which invests the soil grains of like diameters, except in so far as the film of water is thinned out by the flow through the walls of the root-hairs and away through the root to meet the demands in the green parts of the plants. Such a thinning out of the film of water on the root-hairs does take place

so long as they are in action, and it is this very process of thinning which furnishes the conditions needed in order to keep them supplied with water from the surfaces of the soil grains.

The effect of surface tension, as it acts upon the water of a well-drained soil, is to bring about a certain regularity of distribution of soil moisture over the surfaces of the soil grains, which is determined by the sizes of the grains and by the dimensions of the open spaces between them. This condition of things may be represented by what is shown in Fig. 9 for a particular soil, in which two root-hairs have found their way in among the soil grains.

To explain the action of the root, let us suppose that for some reason there has been no movement of soil moisture and no root action, so that everything has come to a condition of rest, and we have what answers to the condition of water standing in a tank where everything is still and the surface has become level. We may now suppose that morning has come, with the sun shining brightly, so that the breathing pores in the green parts of the plant have opened wide, making it possible for both assimilation and evaporation to go on rapidly. Under these conditions the sap in the tissues of the leaves, stem and root will gradually become more dense than that which is contained

Fig. 9. Distribution of water on the surfaces of soil grains and of root-hairs. *e*, main root: 1, air-space; 2, soil grain; 3, film of water; *hh*, root-hairs. (After Sachs.)

in the root-hairs, which are encased in the film of soil moisture. But no sooner is this condition of things established than water in the root-hairs will begin to move toward the root, stem and leaves more rapidly than the denser sap enters them.

Just as soon as this happens, however, the balance between the motion inside of the root-hairs and that outside of them will be destroyed, and then more water will enter the root-hair from the soil than has been escaping from it into the soil in a unit of time. This will thin out the film of water which surrounds the root-hairs, and then water which has been surrounding the soil grains, impelled by surface tension, must advance upon the root-hairs to make good that which has been lost ; and just so long as the water continues to enter the roots from the root-hairs faster than osmotic pressure can restore it, just so long will surface tension force the water from the soil grains upon the walls of the root-hairs.

Not only will the water which surrounds the soil grains move toward and upon the root-hairs so long as evaporation is going on from the plant and assimilation is taking place in its cells, but with it will go the salts containing potash, nitrogen, phosphorus, and other ash ingredients of plants, which have been dissolved by the moisture surrounding the grains.

In the figure the root-hair, *h*, *h*, leading out from the main root, *e*, is represented, for the sake of clearness, nearly full width throughout its course, and, as if it had either found or had made for itself, by setting the soil grains aside, an unobstructed path in which to develop. As a matter of fact, these root-hairs are obliged to work their way as best they can between the angles formed by the meeting of the soil grains, changing both their direction and their form in order to do so, and sometimes the spaces are so narrow or the turns so abrupt that the root-hair seems to have applied itself to the soil, and to have adapted its shape so as to more completely come in contact with the surface of the grain itself.

As the water surrounding the soil grains, and which is also drawn out upon the root-hairs, becomes more and more exhausted, the film finally becomes so thin that the rate at which the water can be moved out upon the root-hairs is so slow that it is no longer able to meet the needs of the plant, and it wilts, and finally ceases to grow altogether.

Attention should be called to the fact that fresh growing

roots usually have an acid reaction, and so much so that if they are allowed to develop in contact with blue litmus paper, it is changed to red along the lines of contact with the root. Further than this, if the roots of a plant are allowed to develop in contact with a polished surface of marble, the lines of root contact with it will be plainly etched into its surface. Such observations as these lead to the belief that the delicate root-hairs, at their innumerable places of contact, hasten the solution of plant-food from the difficultly soluble ingredients of the soil by the acids which permeate their walls being exuded upon the soil grains, and there, in conjunction with the water, being able to dissolve materials much more rapidly than water alone could do.

When we reflect upon the many wide leaves with which most land plants are provided, we are impressed with the great extent of surface through which the sunshine and the air may come into touch with the plant. But broad as these leaf surfaces are, they only in the smallest way express the real magnitude of the surface of contact, for the air actually enters the leaf and passes around and between and in contact with the millions of loosely packed cells in every leaf, and the number of times the extent of the internal surface of the leaf exceeds that of its outer surface is more than one would dare to express. Then, too, to increase the contact surface for sunlight, the chlorophyll grains which are scattered through the interior of the cells around which the air can pass provide an enormous surface for the absorption of light.

In the root system under ground, the extremely numerous root-hairs, small as they are, yet provide a surface for the contact of soil and moisture with the plant which is quite commensurate with that furnished by the leaf.

That we may the more clearly appreciate the great need there is for the vast extent of root surface spread out by agricultural crops, and how important it is that there shall be a deep, well-drained soil in which the roots may expand, let me give the measured amounts of water used by four stalks of corn, and withdrawn by their roots from the soil, between July 29 and August 11. Two of the maize plants were growing in each of

two cylinders filled with soil, having a depth of 42 inches and a
diameter of 18 inches. These four stalks of corn, as they were
coming into tassel and their ears were beginning to form, used
during 13 days 150.6 pounds of water, or at the mean rate daily
of 2.896 pounds for each stalk. Had an acre of ground been
planted to corn in rows 3 feet 8 inches each way and four stalks
in a hill, then, with an average consumption of water at the ob-

Fig. 10. Total root of four stalks of maize, and of oats, clover and barley.
(From "The Soil.")

served rate given above, there would have been withdrawn from
that acre an amount of water, during those 13 days, equal to 244
tons, or 2.42 acre-inches ; and when it is observed that this water
was withdrawn from a soil so dry that no amount of pressure
could express a drop of water from it, it is not strange that such
a mass of roots as those shown in Fig. 10 should be required to
carry away from the soil the water absorbed by the root-hairs as

rapidly as it was needed. In reflecting upon the extent of root surface indicated by the photo-engraving, let it be remembered that no root-hairs contribute to the mass of the bundle, and that only a part of the roots proper are there, for many of the smaller fibers were unavoidably broken off during the operation of washing away the soil.

Referring, now, to Fig. 11, it will be seen how completely the

Fig. 11. Distribution of corn roots in field soil. (From "The Soil.")

whole soil of the field is threaded with roots; for in both cases two hills of corn, standing opposite each other in adjacent rows, are shown, and the roots meet and pass one another between the hills, and in the younger stage these had already exceeded a depth of two feet; while in the second case, taken just as the corn was coming into tassel, the roots had descended until at this time the whole upper three feet of the field soil was so fully

occupied with corn roots that not a cube of earth one inch on a side existed in the three feet of depth which was not penetrated by more than one fiber of threadlike size. In many parts of the soil the roots were much closer together than this.

At the distance apart of planting in the field from which these roots were taken, there were, in the surface three feet, 40⅓ cubic feet of soil available for each four stalks, so that by multiplying the 1,728 cubic inches in one cubic foot by 40⅓, the number of cubic feet of soil occupied, we get a total of 69,696 cubic inches. If, then, each cubic inch of this soil contained not less than one linear inch of thread-like root, their aggregate length could not be less than one-twelfth of 69,696, or 5,808 feet, which is 1.1 miles. But this extent of root-surface does not even express the amount of that to which the root-hairs, which are the real absorbing surfaces, are attached ; and hence we must understand that the actual area of surface of root-hairs for a full-grown hill of corn is very much greater than would be indicated by the figures given above.

Let the reader bear in mind that the corn roots here under consideration grew in the field under perfectly natural conditions, and that the cage of wire shown in the engraving was simply slipped over the block of soil which contained the roots there shown, after the corn had reached that stage of maturity. It should also be understood that the four stalks of corn which absorbed from the soil the 150.6 pounds of water in 13 days did it at the stage of growth represented by the oldest plants in Fig. 11; and further, that these were only good average plants, such as would make a yield of 4.5 tons of dry matter per acre.

It may be difficult for some persons to realize how it is possible for the delicate roots of plants to force their way through the soil to depths such as are indicated by the engravings above, especially when the subsoil is a stiff, heavy clay, as it was in this case. Nature's method of overcoming the difficulty, however, is simple enough when we come to understand it, and it is as effective as it is simple.

The first fact which we need to understand when we wish to learn how a root advances through the soil, is that the soil grains

in the upper four to six feet are never everywhere in close contact with one another. There are great numbers of empty spaces all through the surface layers of earth, and we get a very forcible illustration of this fact in setting fence posts. Here we dig a moderate sized post hole, 2 or 2½ feet deep, place a 6-inch post in the hole, and then scrape and ram into the same hole all of the dirt which was removed from it, and if the job is well done we have a scant supply to fill it. It is the existence of these unoccupied cavities in the soil which enables roots to make their way through it by wedging it aside. In a thoroughly puddled soil it is impossible for roots to develop, not simply for lack of air, but because there is no room into which it is possible to set the soil aside to make place for the root. When a fine-grained soil is thoroughly puddled, all of the small clusters of grains which in a soil in good tilth hold together, are completely broken down, and the smallest particles are packed in between the larger ones until its cavities are so completely obliterated that even water will not penetrate it ; and when this is true there is not even room for the root-hairs to make their way between the angles formed by the soil-grains, for the finest silt and clay particles have been forced into these to fill them up.

The second fact needed to understand how the root advances itself in the soil is, that it makes use of osmotic pressure to set the soil grains aside. Most of us know with what force dry wood will expand when it becomes wet and is allowed to swell. Iron hoops are burst by the pressure developed. A primitive method of blasting rock was to drive dry blocks of wood into the holes and then wet them. Another method of blasting is to fill the drill holes with unslaked lime and then add water to slake it. In all of these cases, the work is done by osmotic pressure, and the results illustrate how very great this force is when it is restrained, and how thoroughly adequate it would be for the purposes of the root in setting aside the soil particles if it could make use of it.

The method by which the root uses osmotic pressure in making its way through the soil may be explained with the aid of Fig. 12, which represents diagrammatically the tip of an advancing

root in the soil.   It has been found that a short way back from the tip end of a growing root, there is at 1 a center of growth, where new cells are developed by repeated enlargements and divisions. On the forward or advancing side of this center the new cells form the root-cap, which in the figure is represented by the cells with heavier lines ; while those forming on the rear side of the center are finally transformed into the various structures which constitute the body of the root proper.

The root-cap is a sort of shield or thimble, under the protection of which the root advances to set aside the soil grains, and the method of advance is this :

At the center of growth, new cells are forming and enlarging out of the assimilated products which are being brought down

Fig. 12.   Method by which root-hairs advance through the soil. (Adapted from Sachs.)

from the geeen parts of the plants by osmotic pressure.   But when this strong pressure drives the sap into the forming cells, they must enlarge just as the dry wood swells, and in doing so something must give way.   As the body of the root is larger than the tip, and as it is already anchored to the soil by the root-hairs and any branches which may have formed, the direction of least resistance is forward, and the cells which are in the interior of the base of the root-cap are crowded forward and the walls of the cap are wedged outward so that the soil grains on all sides are displaced, making room for the end of the root proper to be built into it.   The root-cap does not slide forward through the soil, shoving past the soil grains, but its outer and rear cells hold firmly against the earth as the root builds past them, and as fast as they have performed their function they die and new ones are

formed in advance. The root-cap, then, is a sort of point through which the root advances, and which is being continually replaced by a new growth.

The increase of the root in diameter throughout its length is produced by the addition of new cells wholly within those which lie in contact with the soil, and the same osmotic pressure is the power which is exerted outward on all sides to move the earth away and give room for the increase in size.

Since this osmotic pressure in the roots of plants may be very great, certainly more than 100 pounds to the square inch, and presumably several times this amount, and since during the growth of the root the pressure is increased slowly, and acts gradually to set the soil aside, it is not difficult to see that the plant has chosen a method of making its way through the soil which is not only effective, but one which utilizes the energy and the materials present in a soil during the growing season with which to accomplish its purpose. The molecules of soil moisture are at once the hammer and the wedge, which are driven by soil temperature into the growing cells to expand them and set the soil aside.

F.

PART I

IRRIGATION CULTURE

CHAPTER I

THE EXTENT AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE OF
IRRIGATION

WHILE there is no reason to suppose that the rais-
ing of crops by irrigation on an extended scale is as
old as agriculture itself, the methods have, nevertheless,
been so long practiced as to far antedate authentic his-
tory. We are told that "the numerous remains of
huge tanks, dams, canals, aqueducts, pipes and pumps
in Egypt, Assyria, Mesopotamia, India, Ceylon, Phœ-
nicia, and Italy, prove that the ancients had a far
more perfect knowledge of hydraulic science than most
people are inclined to credit them with."

In a paper read before the Royal Society of New
South Wales in 1887, Mr. Frederick S. Gipps states
that the first artificial lake or reservoir of which we
have authentic record was Lake Maeris, constructed,
some historians affirm, by King Maeris, and others by
King Amenemhet III, in the twelfth dynasty, 2084
B. C. Its object, it is thought. was the regulation of

the inundations of the Nile, with which it communi-
cated through a canal 12 miles long and 50 feet broad.
When the river rose to a height of 24 feet, and was
likely to be disastrous to crops, the sluices were opened
and the river relieved by sending the flood into this
lake, which modern travelers give a circumference of
50 miles; but at times of low water, when drought
was threatened, the gates could be opened and the
volume of the stream reinforced by the water stored
in this reservoir.

Sesostris, who reigned in Egypt in 1491 B. C., is
said to have had a great number of canals cut for the
purposes of trade and irrigation, and to have designed
the first canal to connect the Red Sea with the Medi-
terranean, which was continued by Darius but aban-
doned by him, and ultimately completed under the
Ptolemies. So numerous are the irrigation canals of
Egypt that it is estimated that not more than one-
tenth of the water which enters Egypt by the Nile
finds its way into the Mediterranean Sea.   Fig. 13
shows Lower Egypt, with its extended system of canals
as they exist to-day.

The Assyrians appear to have been equally re-
nowned with the Egyptians, from very ancient times,
for their skill and ingenuity in developing extended
irrigation systems, which converted the naturally ster-
ile valleys of the Euphrates and Tigris into the most
fertile of fields.   We are told that the country below
Hit, on the Euphrates, and Samarra, on the Tigris,
was at one time intersected with numerous canals, one
of the most ancient of which was the Nahr Malikah,

connecting the Euphrates with the Tigris. The an-
cient city of Babylon seems to have been protected
from the floods of June, July and August by high

Fig. 13.   Egyptian system of irrigation canals at the present time. (Willcocks.)

cemented brick embankments on both banks of the
Euphrates, and, to supplement the protection of these,
and to store water for irrigation, a large reservoir was
excavated 42 miles in circumference and 35 feet deep,
into which the whole river might be turned through .
an artificial canal.   There were five principal canals
supplied by the Euphrates — the Nahr Malikah, the
Nah-raga, the Nahr Sares, the Kutha, and the Palla-
copus ; while the Tigris furnished water for the great

Nahrawan and Dyiel, besides several smaller ones. Along the banks of the former of these canals fed by the Tigris are now found the ruins of numerous towns and cities on both sides, which are silent witnesses of the great importance it held, and the great antiquity of the work. It started on the right bank of the river, where it comes from the Hamrine Hills, and was led away at a distance of six or seven miles from the stream toward Samarra, where it joined a second canal. Another feeder was received 10 miles farther on its course to Bagdad, a few miles beyond which its waters fell into the river Shirwan, and were again taken out over a wier and led on through Kurzistan. It absorbed all the streams from the Sour and Buckharee Mountains, and finally discharged into Kerkha River, but only after having attained a length exceeding 400 miles, with a width varying from 250 to 400 feet. This great canal, with its numerous branches on either side, leading water to broad irrigated fields, while it bore along its main waterway the commerce of those far distant days, stands out as a piece of bold engineering hardly equaled by anything of its kind in modern times.

The Phœnicians, in the time of their zenith, were celebrated for their canals, used both for irrigation and city purposes ; and at the time of the invasion of Africa the Syracusan General Agathocles wrote that "the African shore was covered with gardens and large plantations everywhere abounding in canals, by means of which they were plentifully watered ;" and 50 years later, when the Romans invaded the Carthaginian do-

minions, their historian, Polybius, drew a similar pic-
ture of the high state of cultivation of this country.

In the early days of both Grecian and Roman his-
tory, great progress had already been made by these
peoples in handling and conveying water by gravity
over long distances for domestic purposes. At Patara
the Greeks, according to Herodotus, carried an aque-
duct across a ravine 200 feet wide and 250 feet deep,
constructing a pipe line by drilling 13-inch holes
through cubic blocks 3 feet in diameter, fitting these
blocks together with curved necks and recesses, whose
joints were laid in cement and held secure by means
of iron bands run with lead. This was an inverted
syphon, now so often used to cross a ravine or cañon
in the west, but made from stone instead of steel
or redwood hooped with steel, so commonly used to-
day.

Rome was supplied with water in Nero's time by
nine separate aqueducts aggregating a length of 255
miles, and which delivered daily 173,000,000 gallons
of water, which was later increased to 312,500,000 gal-
lons. The Aqua Martia conduit, which brought the
drinking water for the city, had a diameter of 16 feet,
and was 40 miles long.

When the Romans invaded France, they constructed
great systems of water works for cities in various
places — at Lyons, Souy, Nismes, Frejus, and Metz.
The Nismes conduit was constructed at the time of
Augustus, 19 B.C., and delivered 14,000,000 gallons
per day. It is noted for the great Pont du Gard,
which carried it across a ravine, and which is spoken

of by Humble as one of the grandest monuments the Romans left in France.

China, like Egypt, dates its early enterprises of irrigation and transportation by water far back in antiquity, for she has numerous canals, some of them the most stupendous works of the kind ever undertaken. The Great Imperial Canal has a length of 650 miles, and connects the Hoang-Ho with the Yang-tse-Kiang. It has a depth seldom exceeding 5 to 6 feet, and in it the water moves at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles per hour. In its path there are several large lakes, and across these the canal is carried on the crest of enormous dykes.

If we leave the Old World and come to the New for records of an early development of the cultivation of land by irrigation, we shall not be disappointed, for traces of an early civilization in Colorado, New Mexico and Arizona, and extending through Mexico and Central America on into Peru, are found in the ruins of ancient towns and irrigating canals in many places. When the Spaniards invaded Mexico, Central America and Peru, they were greatly surprised to find in these countries, and particularly in Peru, the land of the Incas, very elaborate and extensive irrigation systems, laid out and in actual general use by these people.

Prescott, in his "Conquest of Peru," speaking of the use of water for irrigation, writes that water "was conveyed by means of canals and subterraneous aqueducts executed on a noble scale. They consisted of large slabs of freestone nicely fitted together without cement, and discharged a volume of water sufficient,

by means of latent ducts or sluices, to moisten the
lands in the lower levels through which they passed.
Some of these aqueducts were of great length. One,
that traversed the district of Condesuyos, measured
between 400 and 500 miles. They were brought from
some lake or natural reservoir in the heart of the
mountains, and were fed at intervals by other basins
which lay in their route along the slopes of the Sierra.
In their descent a passage was sometimes opened
through rocks, and this without the aid of iron tools ;
impracticable mountains were to be turned, rivers and
marshes to be crossed — in short, the same obstacles
were to be encountered as in the construction of their
mighty roads."

THE EXTENT OF IRRIGATION

From what has been said regarding the antiquity of irriga-
tion, we shall not be surprised to find that its practice has found
a geographic range which is commensurate with its distribution
in time. We look first to European countries, and begin with
Italy, where irrigation certainly had a very early development,
and has ever since been yearly practiced in rural life.

In the valley of the Po, naturally very fertile, but made more
so by thorough and systematic irrigation, water is extensively
applied to almost all crops. To convey some idea of the general
practice of irrigation in the Po valley, it may be stated that on
August 7, 1895, while riding by rail from Turin to Milan, between
Chivasso and Santhia, a distance of 18.5 miles, the writer saw
water being applied to 100 different fields of maize by as many
different parties, and the fields ranged in size all the way from 4
to 20 acres. Wheat, barley, hemp, rye-grass, clover. rice, and
maize are among the field crops generally and extensively irri-
gated in this part of Italy. So, too, very extensive mulberry

orchards are grown for the feeding of silk worms, and these are
set along the main and distributing canals, while the space be-
tween them is occupied by various kinds of farm crops.

In Sicily and throughout southern Italy, nearly all fruit cul-
ture is carried on by irrigation, the ratio of irrigated to non-
irrigated orchards being as 15 to 1, and it is said that 100 10-year-
old lemon trees, when irrigated, have yielded, on the average,
15,000 lemons, while similar orchards under similar conditions,
but not watered, yield, on the average, but 10,000, or one-third
less per annum. In Lombardy, there were under irrigation, in
1878, 2,034,000 acres; in Piedmont, 1,329,000 acres; in Venetia,
Emilia, and other provinces, enough to make a total of 4,715,000
acres.

In Spain, irrigation is widely practiced, and has been at least
since Roman and Moorish times, and the total acreage has been
variously estimated at from 700,000 to 6,000,000, the first figure
referring to cereals, vegetables and fruits, and the latter to forage
plants and grass lands also. In the last edition of the Encyclo-
pedia Britannica, the area under irrigation is placed at 2,840,-
160 acres.

In France, irrigation began at an early date, and in recent
years new interest has been taken in the subject, so much so that
in Consul-General Rathbone's "Report on Canals and Irrigation,
1891," it is stated that during the past ten years in the Depart-
ments Drôme, Alpes Maritimes, Aude and Hérault, Vaucluse,
Basses-Alpes, Hautes-Alpes, and Loire, 41,460,000 francs were
expended on no less than 13 different canals for waterways and
irrigation.

The Forez Canal,* supplied by the Loire River, and irrigating,
it is said, 65,000 acres, was begun in 1863, and the national gov-
ernment granted $122,200 for it, loaning the balance needed to the
department at 4 per cent. In 1886 there were 23,000 acres served
with 115 miles of ditches, at a cost of $9.50 per acre. The water
is distributed periodically, through pipes carrying it to points
most convenient for a group of farms, where it is delivered to the

---

* "Report on Irrigation," to Senate. Ex. Doc. 41, Part I, 1892.

farm laterals. The water is served once each week, on the same day and hour, the amount received being regulated by the amount purchased. The delivery commences on land farthest from the main canal, and each proprietor turns off the water from his lateral when he has received the amount paid for, and the next in order is then served. The assessment is made out by November 1, and each irrigator is notified of the days and hours when water will be applied to his land. This irrigation is used almost wholly on meadows, and it is stated that the value of land has increased

Fig. 14.   Alpine water-meadows on the south side of the
Simplon Pass, Switzerland.

from $44 to $300 per acre since the development of the irrigation facilities.

In Switzerland, the mountain streams and rills are used in very many places on meadows, and this has been done so long and continuously on some meadows that very decided ridges have been formed from the sediment moved by the water; and we were surprised to find that, even so high up as the south side of the Simplon Pass, meadows are regularly irrigated, even by the waters

which have come down from the perennial snow fields of still higher altitudes, as shown in Fig. 14.

In Belgium there is a network of canals known as de la Campine, which have an aggregate length of 350 miles, constructed both for navigation and irrigation purposes, at a cost placed at $5,000,000. This water is generally used in the irrigation of meadow lands, and the soil of the section is very sandy. It is even said to have been wholly unproductive until it was reclaimed by irrigation.

The figures given by E. Laveleye will show the effect of irrigation on this land. An area of 5,636 acres of barren soil, producing absolutely nothing before irrigation, now yields an average of 1.32 tons of hay per acre for the first crop, and the aftermath is counted worth a third as much, making the total equivalent to a crop of 1.76 tons per acre.

In Denmark, too, an extensive system of 145 canals, carrying, in 1890, 22,000 second-feet of water, has been provided, whose object is to reclaim some of the sandy heath lands in Jutland : and it is said that the 21,000 acres of land which has been brought under cultivation has increased in value at the rate of nearly $80 per acre.

In Austria-Hungary, irrigation, largely meadow, is practiced in the Mattig valley, in upper Austria ; in lower Austria ; near Klagenfurth, in Carinthia ; in certain of the upper and central valleys in Tyrol ; in the Bistritz valley, and in the valley of the Elbe, in Bohemia. In these countries the water is usually taken from rivers, creeks, springs, and ponds, or reservoirs constructed to impound that which is running to waste, and is led directly upon the land by gravity, being taken from the natural channels by damming the stream until head enough has been secured to cause the water to discharge into the distributing canal or ditch.

For the irrigation of small meadows, water wheels are found along the streams in many places, for lifting the water out of the channels where it runs too low to be led out in the usual manner. These wheels, provided with buckets, according to Consul-General Goldschmidt, are found in great numbers on the Eisack River, in Tyrol, above Bozen. About the large cities, small gardens are

irrigated by pumps, worked usually by horse-power, taking water from wells or cisterns. In the mountainous portions of the Tyrol, meadow irrigation is said to be both very extensive and very ancient, and in recent times many of the old works have been reconstructed and new ones introduced.

So, too, in parts of Bavaria, meadow irrigation is common, and at Baiersdorf, on the river Regnitz, the writer counted, in

Fig. 15. Wheel for lifting water, at Baiersdorf, Bavaria.

1895, no less than 20 of the wheels represented in Fig. 15 in a distance of $1\frac{1}{4}$ miles, all of them used in lifting water for meadow irrigation, the grass being cut and fed to the cows green.

Even in England, there are numerous water-meadows which have been irrigated so long that the time at which they were laid out, and the canals and ditches dug, is unknown. It is thought that some of the English water-meadows were constructed under the direction of Roman engineering skill, while others have sup-

posed that they were introduced from the Netherlands; but the fact that the character of the works bears a much closer resemblance to the Italian construction, and that extensive tracts of irrigated land are found in the vicinity of ancient Roman stations, as at Cirencester, lends support to the former view.

This water-meadow irrigation of England is largely confined to the southern parts of the island, as in Berkshire, along the Kennet ; in Derbyshire, in the valley of the Dove ; in Dorset ; in Gloucestershire, along the Churn, Severn, Avon, Lidden, and other streams ; on the Avon, Itchen, and Test, in Hampshire ; in Wiltshire ; in Worcestershire and in Devonshire, where catch meadows

Fig. 16. River and canal for water-meadow irrigation, at Salisbury, England.

are laid out in the valleys of many rivers and brooks. In Figs. 16 and 17 are shown two views of water-meadow construction at Salisbury, in England.

If we pass to the continent of Asia, we shall find irrigation practiced over a wide extent of territory in many countries, but nowhere on so large a scale as in the ancient and modern developments in India. How wide the extent of irrigation is in India may be most easily comprehended from the map, Fig. 18, where, from Lahore, in the northwest, to Calcutta, in the southeast, a distance of nearly 1,400 miles, and covering a mean width not less than 100 miles, a large share of the land is under irrigation. Other modern irrigation works are to be found at Cuttack, on the

Mahanadi River, and farther south, at various points in the Madras
Presidency. On the western side of the peninsula, too, back from
Bombay, both at Poona, in the valley of the Mutha River, and at

Fig. 17.   Ridged surface of a water-meadow, Salisbury, England.

Bhutan, where there is a great dam 4,067 feet long and 130 feet
high, which forms a reservoir for the supply of the Nira canals,
are other extensive modern irrigation systems. The Vir weir, at
the head of the Nira canal, is 2,340 feet long, with a maximum
height above the river bed of 40 feet, and over this weir, at maxi-
mum flood, there pours 160,000 cubic feet of water per second, in
a sheet 8 feet deep over the crest.

The number of wells used for irrigation in the Madras Presi-
dency has been estimated at not less than 400,000, while the area
they serve is placed at 2,000,000 acres. It is further estimated for
the whole Indian peninsula, British and native, that not less than
300,000 shallow wells are in use, while they serve certainly more
than 6,000,000 acres of land.

Referring, now, more particularly to the extent of irrigation
enterprises in India, we learn from Richard J. Hinton's report to
the Senate that in the Madras Presidency, with a population of

LEGEND.
1 Bari Doab Canals 9 Betwa Canals
2 Sirhind   10 Soane
3 Western Jumna 11 Midnapore
4 Eastern   12 Orissa
5 Ganges   13 Mutha System
6 Agra   14 Nira
7 Lower Ganges 15 Ekruk Tank
8 Dun   16 Ashti

IRRIGATION CANALS

SCALE

Fig. 18. Canal systems in India. (U. S. Geol. Survey.)

over 31,000,000, the irrigation works, up to 1890, involved an invested sum amounting to $32,488,000, and the acreage watered in 1889-90 is placed at 6,000,000. In lower Bengal, the same year, 560,000 acres were under cultivation by irrigation ; while in the Soane Circle system, 2,611,000 acres were served, 1,305,000 of which produced rice.

The Ganges system is among the greatest in India. The Upper Ganges has 890 miles of main canals, with 3,700 distributaries and 17 great dams, and serves 1,205,000 acres, the system costing $14,644,000. The lower Ganges embraces 531 miles of main canal and 1,854 distributaries, serving 620,000 acres, and costing $7,000,000.

In the Bombay Presidency, in 1889–90, 839,000 acres were irrigated, and 915,000 acres were under the public canals, whose total cost is placed at $10,792,000.

In the Punjab and Sind, many ancient works dating from the twelfth and thirteenth centuries are still in partial operation, but the great famine years of 1831–32 have brought about many changes and great improvements. The West Jumna canal had cost, up to 1890, $8,000,000, and it embraces 84 miles of main canal and 1,110 miles of distributaries, or 1,194 in all. This, with the East Jumna canal, controlled 2,000,000 acres, and brought the Indian Government in 1889-90 a revenue or land tax of $96,000,000. To this same system belongs the Doab canal, running parallel with the Jumna river through 450 miles, and with its 1,112 miles of distributaries and 130 miles of main canals, serving 580,000 acres of land which can be cultivated. It is said that the total expenditure in these provinces for irrigation purposes is represented by $36,400,000, covering about 6,000,000 acres, one-half of which is under irrigation each year. It is further represented that for 60 years these investments of capital have realized an annual return of 8 per cent.

It is stated that the total expenditure under British direction in the Punjab, Swat, Sirhind, Sind, and the sub-Himalayan region, has been not less than $64,000,000, with about 2,500 miles of canals in operation in 1890. But, besides these, there are in the same districts many private canals and a very large num-

ber of wells, which supply from 4,000 to 6,000 gallons each 24 hours.

In the Indus valley, there are many small canals, ranging from 8 to 16 miles in length, having a sum total of 709 miles, which supply water to 214,000 acres. Three other important systems supply 411,000 acres, with a total length of channel amounting to 1,479 miles. The Lahore branch of the Bari-Doab canal irrigates 523,000 acres, besides supplying the water needed by 1,352 villages. The cost of these works in 1889-90 had reached $7,872,000, while the year's net proceeds of the water supply was $873,000, with an associated expenditure of $288,000.

In the province of Orissa, with an area of 24,000 square miles and a population of 4,250,000, there were, in 1889-90, 511,000 acres of land under the canal systems, ready for irrigation.

Aside from these Anglo-Indian enterprises to which reference has been made, Hinton states that the native or independent states of India comprise two-thirds of the peninsula, and that their peoples are extensive irrigators. The most advanced of these states, viewed from the standpoint of agriculture and irrigation, is Jaipur, with an area of 14,463 square miles and a population of 2,500,000. It has 108 separate systems of irrigation works, with 364 miles of main canals and 422 miles of distributaries. In the native state of Mysore, there are 1,000 miles of irrigation canals and 20,000 village tanks.

In the island of Ceylon, a decided effort has been and is being made to restore and to extend the ancient irrigation systems, which have been allowed to fall into ruin. The British authorities in 1891 had already restored 2,250 of the small and 59 of the large tanks or reservoirs ; they have constructed 245 wiers and 700 miles of canals. There are now over 5,000 ancient reservoirs in the island, and one king, in the twelfth century, is credited with having had constructed 4,770 tanks and 543 great canals.

In Australia, work seems to be largely prospective as yet, with but few results actually attained. But there are some 500,000 acres in Victoria to be served by irrigation works which are in progress. In New South Wales, the amount of land in 1891

F

actually irrigated is said not to exceed 3,000 acres, but provision
is being made under government aid for the irrigation of 38,000
acres. In South Australia, there are about 5,000 acres now under
irrigation, and a company has been organized for the develop-
ment of an irrigation system on the Murray River, to place under
ditch 200,000 acres. Up to June, 1891, the government had sunk
15 artesian wells, 8 of which are flowing and yielding from 8,228
to 3,000,000 gallons in 24 hours. These are in Queensland, and
in the same region there are 86 private artesian flowing wells.

In China, irrigation has a very extended and general distri-
bution. The great canal systems are laid out primarily for
transportation, but are used jointly and generally for irrigation
as well. It is said the most scrupulous care is taken to save and
utilize every source of water in cultivation ; and in southern and
central China it is estimated than an acre of land is made to sup-
port from three to five persons.

In the provinces of Ningpo, Fo-Kien and Shanghai, the water
is generally taken from small ditches led out from the streams or
larger canals, or they are fed from springs in the hilly country.
It is said that in very many parts almost every farm is supplied
from canals or shallow laterals, which are 2 or 3 miles long
and from 10 to 30 feet wide, leading out at right angles from the
main canals, often from 200 to 400 feet apart. It seems, from the
written accounts, that a large part of the water used by the gar-
deners, and even on the small but numerous rice fields, is raised
out of the canals and streams or ponds by a species of chain
or rope pump, worked either by hand or by oxen, and in the
irrigation season, when water is needed, they are run at night
as well as day. It is even said that water for irrigating is carried
considerable distances at times and places, in buckets on a yoke
placed on the shoulders of men.

In the province of Fo-Kien, where the rainfall is both quite
large and well distributed, irrigation is still practiced, but as a
means of insuring larger yields rather than a necessity.

In Japan, as well as in China, irrigation is, and has been from
time immemorial, extensively practiced, and it is estimated that not
less than two-thirds of the 12,500,000 acres of land under culti-

vation, supporting 41,000,000 people, is under irrigation ; that is to say, water is artificially applied to not less than 8,000,000 acres of land in Japan.

On the island of Lew Chew, belonging to Japan, the greatest care is exercised to utilize the water of all the short streams, wherever they are found. On the slopes and in the narrow valleys, the lands are carefully leveled by terracing, to avoid washing and to cause the water to spread evenly over the surface of the ground, and thus become most effective. On the margins of the terraces are slight ridges, which are given permanency of form by being covered with grass ; these are boundaries and foot-ways, as well as barriers against land washing. It is said that dams are not used upon the streams, but in times of high water the terracing has been such that the water can be at once spread out over the cultivated areas, and gently let down to the lower levels and back into the main channels, after having done its work of saturating and fertilizing the fields. In order that nothing shall be lost by way of washing, there is a lower waterway around the margin of the terraced areas, which conducts the water to one corner, where it passes to the next terrace below, but first flowing through a sort of settling basin partly filled with vines or rubbish, whose purpose it is to collect the silt, to be used in compost heaps for manure. At the lowermost level, before the water finally enters the stream, there is a larger settling basin, through which the water must pass and drop whatever of value it may still be carrying where it may be recovered and used.

In writing of irrigation in Siam, Consul-General Jacob T. Child states that about one-half of that country is under cultivation, and of this four-fifths are irrigated, much of it for rice. The fields are supplied with water from canals, which branch out from the rivers in all directions, and the main lines are constructed by the general government, but those supplying the individual fields directly are made by the individual land owners. Where the land is government property, there is an annual rental of about 28 cents per ri, or 84 cents per acre, including the use of the water.

Irrigation in other parts of Asia at the present time, as is

the case both in Japan and China, is carried on in a small way
largely by individual effort, but is widely and irregularly scattered,
so that it is difficult to form any exact or even adequate estimate
of the extent of such irrigation ; and the same statement is also
true of British India outside of the organized enterprises of
English capital.   Indeed, it must be said that all through Asia
Minor and Central Asia isolated and individual irrigation plants
are to be found, which in the aggregate would sum up a grand
total.   Irrigation is carried on in this individual way in Corea, in
Afghanistan, and parts of Russian Central Asia.   It is even to be
found in Thibet and on the Pamir, "The Roof of the World,"
12,000 feet above sea level.   Nor can it be said that this irriga-
tión is carried on only in those places where water is most easily
obtainable, for it is sometimes secured under conditions so labo-
rious that few Americans would think of undertaking the task.   In
parts of Armenia, for example, where underground   water   is
abundant, and where the ground is sloping, it is a common prac-
tice to dig a line of wells extending down the slope and then, by
connecting the bottoms of these wells by a tunnel leading out
upon the surface at a lower level, the water becomes available for
irrigation, and is collected in reservoirs, to be used as needed.
Water is thus collected and brought to the surface of the ground
by gravity, even in sections where the uppermost wells must be
sunk to depths as great as 80 to 100 feet.   The same practice also
is said to exist in the mountainous parts of Afghanistan, Cashmere,
and other parts of Central Asia, and these underground water
channels are often of considerable length, and many miles in
the aggregate have been constructed.

On the continent of Africa, the most extended system is, of
course, that found in Egypt, developed along the valley and
delta of the Nile.   Willcocks tells us, in his "Egyptain Irriga-
tion," that the cultivated or irrigated area in this long, narrow
valley is 4,955,000 acres, while the total area which is below the
level of flood waters, and, therefore, capable of irrigation, is
6,400,000 acres.   This irrigated area is confined at present to a
long and relatively very narrow strip bordering the course of the
stream, and the naked desert sands on both sides come up sharp

against the watered area, which begins at Assuan, some 500 miles from the sea, not following the windings of the Nile. The population of this country is now given as 5,000,000, but it has been estimated that Egypt once supported 20,000,000 inhabitants ; and a practice of today, which will seem strange to the reader, is that of digging up the rubbish piles on the sites of ancient villages, towns and cities, which represent the waste of the millions who have passed away, and using this as manure to fertilize the fields now under irrigation. The dry climate of this country has preserved these materials from complete decay, and the site of old Cairo is now being dug over to enrich the fields for miles around.

The mean daily discharge of water which passes from Upper Egypt, at Cairo, into Lower Egypt is estimated at 8,830,000,000 cubic feet, but as large as this amount is, it would require 20 days to place Wisconsin under an inch of water.

In the Algerian Sahara, since the sinking of the first artesian well, in 1848, at Biskra, by M. Henri Fournel, the work went forward, until in 1875 there had been 615 wells put down, having an average depth of 145 feet, 404 of which are in the province of Constantine, 194 in the province of Algiers, and 15 in that of Oran. A strange thing about these artesian waters is the presence in them of nitrates, and irrigation with them has brought upon the desert sands wonderful oases, 43 in number in the Oued Rir, supporting, in 1885, 520,000 date palms of bearing age, 140,-000 palms from one to seven years old, and about 100,000 other fruit trees.

On the south side of the equator, in Africa, there has as yet but little been done in the way of irrigation, although in Cape Colony efforts are being made. In 1889 the U. S. Consul at Cape Town, Geo. F. Hollis, states that the most complete storage work now constructed in the colony, and the most important, is that at Van Wyck's Vley. The rainfall in this section is very irregular, the average for 11 years being 10 inches. The reservoir has depended upon a catchment area of, say, 240 square miles, but this has been found inadequate, and a furrow is now nearly completed to bring over water from a neighboring river, by which it

is estimated that the water-covered area will be increased to 19 square miles, with a depth of 27 feet. The land under irrigation is owned by the government, and is leased at a minimum rate of 10 shillings per acre.

In the island of Madagascar, on the east, and that of Madeira, on the west of Africa, irrigation is also practiced ; in the former for rice culture only, and by the system of flooding ; but in Madeira the system is both elaborate and extensive, covering over one-half of the whole island, or 120 square miles. There are no catchment basins or reservoirs other than those which nature has provided, and the water used is that which the soil collects during the rainy season and gives up in the form of springs. The water carriers have been constructed with care and skill, and some of them have a length of 60 or 70 miles. The thrifty farmers have on their lands reservoirs into which they collect their share of water when it is delivered to them, and from this distribute it to their several crops as they desire; but the poorer class, who cannot afford the reservoir, are obliged to use the water directly as it comes to them, and as the intervals are long between the delivery of water they are not able to make the best use of that which they get, and their crops suffer in consequence.

In the Pacific Ocean, too, there are islands in which irrigation is practiced with great skill outside of those of Japan, to which reference has already been made. Among these may be mentioned those of Hawaii, and the development of the sugar industry there has in recent years led to a corresponding development of the facilities for irrigation, as would be expected when it is stated that adequate irrigation there has increased the yield of sugar from 2 tons to 4 tons per acre. It is stated that there are about 90,000 acres under cane, one-half of which is irrigated ; some 7,000 acres of rice, and 5,000 acres of bananas, the rice being all under water. The water supply comes from mountain streams, with their reservoirs, and from springs and artesian wells.

The artesian wells about Pearl Harbor are among the largest, yielding an enormous quantity of water, sufficient to irrigate 20,000 acres of rice and a large area of bananas and other products besides. There have been 100 of these wells sunk about the mar-

gin of this island, 21 to 42 feet above ocean level, in the last 12 years, and four of them are said to yield water enough for a city of 165,000 inhabitants.

In the island of Java, too, irrigation is extensively practiced, and regarding the island of Lombock, still to the east of Java, Mr. Arthur R. Wallace writes : "It was here that I first obtained an adequate idea of one of the most wonderful systems of cultivation in the world, equaling all that is related of Chinese industry, and, as far as I know, surpassing, in the labor bestowed on it, any tract of equal extent in the most civilized countries of Europe. I rode through this strange garden utterly amazed, and hardly able to realize the fact that in this remote and little known island, Lombock, from which all Europeans (except a few traders at the port) are jealously excluded, many hundreds of square miles of irregularly undulating country have been so skillfully terraced and leveled and permeated by artificial channels that every portion of it can be irrigated and dried at pleasure."

Passing, now, to the American continent, we have already referred to its prehistoric irrigation works, and to the extensive and complete systems of irrigation found in South America before the occupancy of that continent by the Spanish and Portuguese, for irrigation was practiced there on both slopes of the great Andean ranges. It must be said, however, to the shame of our boasted civilization, that a very large share of those extensive and valuable improvements have been allowed to pass into ruin, and now must be restored at great cost.

In the Argentine Republic, lying between 20° and 56° south latitude, irrigation is being practiced in the provinces of Cordoba, San Luis, Mendosa, San Juan, Catamarca, Rioja, Santiago del Estero, Tucman; Salta and Jujuy ; and it is stated that the total area under cultivation by irrigation will exceed 1,759,600 acres. According to Consul Baker's report, works were begun about 1882-83 on a number of large dams and canals, using the water of four important rivers, at an estimated cost of $15,280,000, which were expected to have an aggregate capacity equal to about 3,020,000 acres.

While there are large areas in the aggregate irrigated in

other parts of South America, Central America and Mexico, no very definite idea of its magnitude or distribution can be given as yet.

Newell' says, in the report of the Eleventh Census, that in the western part of the United States the area irrigated within the arid and sub-humid regions aggregated at the end of May, 1890, 3,631,381 acres, or 5,674.03 square miles, while the total number of farms or holdings upon which crops were raised by irrigation was 54,136. In this irrigation, water was supplied by 3,930 wells to 51,896 acres, at an average cost of $245.58 per well, the wells yielding an average of 54.43 gallons per minute. The average value of products from this irrigated land per acre he found to be $14.89, the farms having an estimated mean value per acre of $83.28, while the average size of each farm or holding was 67 acres. The average value of the product of the average farm was thus $897.63.

To bring together in close review the extent of irrigation as it is today practiced in the various parts of the world, we may quote the statements of Wilson: "The total area irrigated in India is about 25,000,000 acres, in Egypt about 6,000,000 acres, and in Italy about 3,700,000 acres. In Spain there are 500,000 acres, in France 400,000 acres, and in the United States 4,000,000 acres of irrigated land. This means that crops are grown on 40,000,000 acres which, but for irrigation, would be relatively barren or not profitably productive. In addition to these, there are some millions more of acres cultivated by aid of irrigation in China, Japan, Australia, Algeria, South America, and elsewhere."

These figures seem enormous as we read them, and so they are, but they leave an exaggerated impression on the mind which needs to be corrected, for very few realize the magnitude of the volume of water which must be handled in raising a crop by irrigation. In order that we may not mislead in this direction, we wish to make the correction. Let us suppose that the amount of land which is actually under irrigation at the present time is four times the 40,000,000 of acres which have been enumerated above. Now, were this supposition true, and all of these acres were brought together in one solid square, it would have but 500 miles

on a side. But to cover such an area as this with 2 inches of water once in 10 days would require more than three Nile rivers flowing at maximum flood—a river 50 feet deep, 1.156 miles wide, running three miles an hour.

THE CLIMATIC CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH IRRIGATION IS PRACTICED

If we study the conditions of rainfall under which irrigation has been practiced, we shall find rather wide variations in the mean amounts which fall upon the different countries, especially when the mean annual rainfalls are compared. In all of India except the extreme northwest part; throughout China, Japan and Siam, in Italy, and France, and Mexico, as much rain falls during the year as falls in the United States east of the 97th meridian, if we except Louisiana, Mississippi, Georgia and Florida,—an amount ranging from 23.6 inches to 51.2 inches, or between 60 and 130 centimeters. But in Asiatic Turkey, Persia, Afghanistan and the extreme northwest of India; in the irrigated parts of Queensland, Victoria and South Australia; in Cape Colony, Algiers and Spain; and in Argentina and the western United States, south of Washington state, the rainfall for the year drops from 23 inches to less than 8 inches. On the lower Ganges, from the Soane region to Calcutta, and south along the east coast as far as the Orissa canals, the yearly rainfall is equal to that of the southern states, or from 51 inches to 78 inches (130 to 200 centimeters). It is not, therefore, in regions of small rainfall alone that irrigation systems have been developed. Indeed, there must always be contiguous

territory of considerable rainfall, in order to fill the soil and give rise to springs, streams, and wells, or there could be no water for irrigation. It is only the accident of a great stream like the Nile, gathering its waters in a region of large rainfall, that makes any irrigation at all possible in a rainless, desert country like Upper and Lower Egypt.

The distribution of the rainfall with reference to the growing season, more than the quantity of it, is the chief factor in determining whether irrigation will be profitable or not. In the irrigated districts of Italy, Spain, France, Austria-Hungary, Algiers, Cape Colony, Asia Minor, Armenia, Victoria, South Australia, and the westernmost part of the United States, there is a tendency to a dry time in early or late summer, at the time when crops need water most, or in some of these countries it may be dry the whole season through, the rainy season being in fall or winter. In China, southern Japan, Siam and Ceylon the summer is rainy, but there is a tendency to develop a short dry season in midsummer. In Switzerland, Belgium, Denmark, England, Bavaria, Madagascar, North Japan, Queensland, and Mexico there is usually a uniform distribution of rain throughout the whole of the growing season. In these latter countries, however, while irrigation is practiced in them, it must be said that it is supplementary rather than a necessity.

CHAPTER II

To understand the conditions which make it imperative, desirable or unnecessary to irrigate land, it is important to have clearly in mind the various objects which may be attained by the application of water to cultivated fields.

THE OBJECTS OF IRRIGATION

The first and primary object to be attained in irrigating the soils of arid climates is to establish those moisture relations which are essential to plant growth, and the same fundamental object will usually stand first in sub-humid climates, as it may even in those which are distinctly humid; for in the sub-humid climates it very often happens that the intervals between rains of sufficient quantity are so long that almost any crop may suffer; and in humid climates there are certain crops, like the cranberry and rice, which profit by more or less protracted inundations; or, again, like the pineapple, growing upon extremely leachy sands, which can retain but a small quantity of water even for a single day, and where it is neces-

sary that even frequent showers shall be supplemented in order that the best results may be attained.

In the second place, lands may be irrigated in any climate, when it is desired to carry to the land fertilizing matter which the irrigation waters may hold in solution or in suspension. The extreme cases of this practice are where cultivators take advantage of the large amounts of plant-food which are borne along in the waters of streams into which the sewage of great cities, like Paris or Edinburgh, are discharged. Such waters are extremely fertile, even when much diluted. In emphasis of this fact, Fig. 19 shows a field of heavy grass growing on the Craigentinny meadows of Edinburgh. This ground yields from three to five such crops each year, and has done so for nearly a century, with no other fertilization than that which comes to it through the winter and summer application of diluted sewage water. Hence we need not be surprised that such lands have rented as high as 18 to 22 pounds sterling for the season per acre, when the rentals are sold at auction to the highest bidder.

But ordinary river waters are widely used in various countries, chiefly for the fertilization of water meadows. The amount of water applied in a year is in some sections very great, reaching, in the Vosges, in France, over 300 feet in depth per year. It is during the colder portions of the year, when the grass is not growing, that the larger part of the water is applied, depending upon the absorptive and retentive power of the soil to abstract from the water, as it

passes over and leaches through, enough of potash, phosphoric acid, and other ingredients of plant-food, to hold the strength of the soil up to a uniformly high standard, even when constant cropping is practiced.

Fig. 19. Heavy growth of grass on the Craigentinny meadows, Edinburgh, Scotland.

A third object in irrigation, in certain classes of cases, is primarily to change the texture of the soil. When soils are very sandy and open, having so small a water capacity that not enough is retained for the growth of most crops, then the leading of the water of a turbid stream over such lands results in the deposition of silt to such an extent as, in the course of time, to

very materially improve their physical condition ; but
at the same time giving to these soils a large amount
of plant-food, for the material borne along in suspen-
sion in the water of rivers is usually very valuable,
derived, as it is, from the finest and best parts of fer-
tile soils.   These ingredients of the flood waters of
the river Nile are extremely valuable to those desert
sands which, under the long action of strong winds,
have lost the major part of those fine and extremely
important grains which the sand storms of the deserts
have picked up and swept away.

In the fourth type of irrigation, which is an extreme
case of the last, the aim is to flood low tracts of land
with silt-bearing water in large volume, and to hold it
there until the suspended matters have been deposited,
so as ultimately to build up the whole tract, raising it to
a level at which it may be naturally drained, or at which
a depth of fertile soil sufficient to meet the needs of
agriculture may be laid down over one which had been
undesirable.   Low-lying lands have been built up by
this method until in the course of ten or a dozen years
the whole surface has been raised as much as 5 to 7 feet.

A fifth type of irrigation, which has received a
notable expansion in recent years, has for its primary
object the rapid destruction of the organic matters held
in solution and in suspension in the sewage waters of
cities, in order that they shall reach river channels and
the ground-water of the surrounding country suffi-
ciently purified not to endanger the public health by
a pollution of drinking waters, or by developing un-
healthful atmospheric conditions.

THE LEAST AMOUNT OF WATER WHICH CAN PRODUCE A PAYING CROP

In the manufacture of butter from milk, it is a matter of prime commercial importance to know just how much butter-fat that milk contains, and what is the maximum amount of butter that fat is capable of producing; for only this knowledge can show how closely the manufacturer is working to his possible limit of profit, and how great his losses may be. For a like reason, it is very important to know what is the minimum amount of water which, under stated climatic conditions, can meet the needs of a given crop, producing a paying yield. It is important, because only such knowledge as this can show how economical or how wasteful our methods of tillage may be, and how nearly we are realizing the largest profits which are possible to the business.

In the Introduction, much pains has been taken to give in detail the evidence, and the methods of procuring it, which shows how much water must be used by a given crop in coming to maturity when placed under the best of conditions. This has been done, because it is a part of the knowledge which is needed to show under what climatic conditions irrigation may, and under what it may not, be practiced; because it is needed to show how far into the sub-humid districts agricultural operations may be pushed without the aid of irrigation; because it will help to teach how far we may hope, by the practice of the best methods of tillage, to dispense with irrigation, and avert disastrous results during seasons of drought.

We have already referred at some length to the seemingly small amounts of water used by the wheat crop in coming to maturity in the San Joaquin valley, in California, and to the long period of some 60 days at the close of its growing season during which it receives no water, either as rain or by irrigation. What is the minimum amount of water which is capable of producing a yield of 15, 20, 30 or 40 bushels of wheat per acre, and how does this compare with the actual rainfall of the San Joaquin valley?

We have made no observations with wheat, like those which have been recorded for oats, barley, maize, clover and potatoes, but from similar observations made by Hellriegel, in Germany, it is probable that the amount of water necessary to produce a ton of dry matter with wheat is not very far from 906,000 pounds or 453 tons, equal to 3.998 acre-inches. How many bushels of wheat should this give?

The ratio of the dry weight of the kernels to that of the straw and chaff in a crop of wheat has been found to be as 1 to 1.1 in a dry season, but to be as high as 1 to 1.5 when there has not been an undesirable stimulation to the growth of straw. But where wheat is irrigated in the southeast of France, Gasparin states that a ratio of 1 of grain to 2 of straw is usual.

If we take the ratio of 1 to 1.5, and allow 60 pounds to the bushel of wheat, we may compute the least amount of water which is likely to enable a crop of varying yields per acre to be produced, and the results of such a computation are given in the following table:

Table showing the least amount of water required to produce different yields of wheat per acre when the ratio of grain to straw is 1-1.5

No. bushels	Wgt. of grain TONS	Wgt. of straw TONS	Total wgt. TONS	Water used ACRE-IN.
15	.45	.675	1.125	4.498
20	.6	.9	1.5	5.998
25	.75	1.125	1.875	7.497
30	.9	1.35	2.25	8.997
35	1.05	1.575	2.625	10.495
40	1.2	1.8	3	12

These amounts of water, given in the last column of the table, are so small that they appear false, for the quantity given for 15 bushels to the acre is almost covered by the rainfall of the most arid parts of the world. Several statements need to be made in order to put them in their true light.

In the first place, the figures could only be true when the amount and kind of plant-food in the soil is all that the crop can use to advantage, for no amount of pure water can make up for such deficiencies except in so far as it makes more rapid the solution of otherwise unavailable plant-food in the soil. Then, again, the data for the table were procured under conditions which permitted no loss of moisture from the soil, either by surface drainage or by downward movements beyond the depth of root action. Further than this, no account is taken of the water which may have been given to the soil in bringing it to the proper moisture conditions previous to planting the crop in it. Water enough was given to the soil to put it in the right condition to start with, and the amounts in the table

G

cover simply what has been found necessary to main-
tain that amount against surface evaporation from the
soil under the best of conditions and through the crop
itself. In the San Joaquin valley there is a long inter-
val, from the end of July until the fall rains begin
in November, when some evaporation is taking place
from the surface soil, and enough rain must have
fallen to bring the soil up to a good standard condi-
tion of soil moisture before the crop is started in it,
and the amounts in the table would need to be in-
creased by so much, at least, as would be required
to establish this condition.

How much water would need to be added to the
soil in the San Joaquin valley by the fall rains, in
order to restore the proper amount of soil water, or
how great the evaporation may be between harvest and
seeding time, we do not know. We do know, however,
that the rate of evaporation from the surface of a dry
soil is not very rapid. In illustration of this, it may
be stated that after removing a crop of oats from four
of our cylinders in the field, a record was kept of the
loss of moisture from them between Aug. 2 and Aug.
25, and it was found that the total evaporation from
7.068 square feet was 5.3 pounds. In another case,
six cylinders in the field lost by surface evaporation
between Jan. 10, 1894, and March 12, 41.8 pounds.
The loss per 100 days expressed in inches in the first
case was .6268, and in the second 1.243.

Taking the first of these two figures, which is likely
to be more nearly true for the district in question, the
total loss would be .79 inches, and at the second rate

it would be 1.54 inches. It is certain that there is a
further loss from these soils which is likely to be
nearly if not quite as large as that computed, and that
is the evaporation which takes place through the grain
after coming to maturity, while it is standing upon the
ground before being cut ; for it is known that the
movement of water through the plant does not stop at
once when the kernels have fully matured. Further
than this, if a considerable time intervenes between
the time of the first rains and the germination of the
seed, and especially if, after the grain comes up, it
for any reason makes an abnormally slow growth, there
will then be considerable additional losses which are
not included in the figures given in the table ; and it
would seem that the average necessary loss of soil
moisture from these lands which in no way contributes
to the growth of the crop of wheat may easily be as
high as 3 inches. If this be true, the figures in the
last column of the table would be nearer 7.5, 9, 10.5,
12, 13.5 and 15 inches, respectively, for the differ-
ent yields, than those stated. It is further probable
that for the lighter yields, where the grain would have
to stand thinner on the ground or else the .plants be
smaller, there would be absolutely more loss of water
from the surface of the soil itself, and, hence, that the
lower figures just given are likely to be found larger
than they are there stated.

The mean annual rainfall of the San Joaquin-
Sacramento valley, as given by Harrington in his rain-
fall map, ranges from 5 inches in the far south to 12
inches in the north, this amount all falling between

November 1 and May 1. The tenth census gives the
average yield of wheat per acre as 6 to 13 bushels in
the south, and from 13 to 20 bushels in the northern
part of the valley. The average yield in California
in 1879, on 1,832,429 acres, is placed at 16.1 bushels
per acre; while it is stated that certified records of
yields as high as 73 bushels per acre are recorded from
areas as large as 10 acres.

If we consider the "dry farming" sections of the
state of Washington, where most of the wheat grown
has been the spring varieties, sown in April, and some-
times as late as May, and harvested in August or early
September, we shall have the growing season more
nearly the same.as that in the corresponding latitudes of
the humid parts of the United States. Here, too,
the rainfall in amount is very nearly the same as that of
the district to the south for the corresponding period of
time, but the rains begin a month earlier and continue a
month later, so that the amount for the year is from 8.4
to 13.5 inches, or about 33 per cent more, while the
mean yield per acre was 23.4 bushels in 1879, as
against 16.1 bushels in California. There is here
in Washington, as in California, a dry period of
some 60 days, in which the crop is forced to come to
maturity.

It appears, therefore, from the observations and
experiments regarding the number of inches of water
which may be used in producing a ton of dry matter,
and from practical experience in arid climates, that on
deep, fertile soils, well managed, good, paying yields of
wheat may be realized where the amount of rain is as

small as 7 or 8 inches, and large yields when it reaches 12 to 15 inches, provided it has a suitable distribution.

LIKE AMOUNTS OF RAINFALL NOT EQUALLY PRODUCTIVE

In the United States west of the 97th meridian, where the rainfall is notably deficient, except on the west side of the Cascade range in Oregon and Washington, there are a large number of areas in which an effort has been made to grow crops of one kind or another without irrigation, and in considerable areas with marked success, as in the San Joaquin-Sacramento valley, in California, and in eastern Washington and Oregon, to which reference has just been made. In the sketch map, Fig. 20, prepared by Newell, the areas in which "dry farming," or farming without irrigation, has been practiced with greater or less success, are represented in black. It will be seen that this map shows a long, continuous area, just west of the 97th meridian, another one in California, and a third in Washington, besides very many smaller ones. These three larger areas receive very nearly the same amounts of rainfall for the year, but the distribution of it in time is very different. In California the rain all falls in the six months, November to April, inclusive ; in Washington it is from October to May, inclusive, while in the 97th meridian region, much the larger part of the rain falls during the months between April and September. The eastern region, therefore, has its moisture well dis-

Fig. 20.   The dry-farming areas (in black) in the western United States
(After Newell.)

tributed through the growing season, while both of the
western areas mature their crops in from 30 to 60 days
of continuous nearly rainless weather; and yet, if we

compare the yields of barley, oats, rye and wheat in the three districts, taking the Tenth Census figures for California, Washington and Kansas for comparison, the yields are largest in Washington and smallest in Kansas, as shown below:

	Barley	Oats	Rye	Wheat
	─────Mean yield per acre of─────			
Washington	38	41	14	23
California........	21	26.8	9	16.1
Kansas	12.5	19	12	9.3

Expressing these differences in percentages, we get:

Washington......	100	100	100	100
California	55.2	65.3	64.3	70
Kansas	32.9	46.3	85.7	40.4

As the soils in the three regions are notably fertile, and were in 1879 very close, on the average, to virgin conditions, the differences in yield can hardly be attributed to differences in plant-food other than as influenced by soil moisture ; and as the quantity of rain which falls in Kansas during the growing season, April to September, inclusive, is 11.5 to 16.8 inches, while that in Washington is only 8.4 to 13.5 inches, it appears plain that in some way the available moisture is more effective on the Pacific border than it is in the 97th meridian region.

It would be of very great practical importance to understand fully the causes which permit so small an amount of rain as that of eastern Washington, falling, so much of it, before the growing season, to ensure the

maturity of such large crops under so clear a sky and in spite of so long and continuous a period of drought, while in western Kansas 25 to 38 per cent more rainfall, well distributed through the growing season, produces less than one-half the yield per acre. The yield is certainly less than one-half, because the averages used for Kansas are too large for the western section of the state, whose rainfall has been brought into comparison.

While we are a long way from possessing the needful data for the solution of this problem, some of the factors are evident enough, and may be stated here. In the first place, the rains of the sections of California and of Washington under consideration fall in the cooler portion of the year, when the air is more nearly saturated and when the wind velocities are small, while the sun is much of the time obscured by clouds. All these conditions conspire to permit a large per cent of the water which falls upon the ground to enter it deeply, without being lost by evaporation, while a deep, retentive soil serves to prevent loss by drainage.

In western Kansas, on the other hand, where the rain falls largely in the form of showers in the heated, sunny season of the year, and where the wind velocities are high and the air extremely dry, it is plain that a much larger per cent of water falling as rain must be at once lost by evaporation from the surface of the soil, before it has had an opportunity to enter it deeply enough to be retained by soil mulches.

In the second place, a frequent surface wetting of

the soil, such as takes place in Kansas, tends strongly to hold the roots near to the surface, where with scanty mulches they are certain to suffer severely whenever a period of ten days without rain occurs ; and if, under these conditions, the plant is able to send new roots more deeply into the soil, they can find there but a scanty supply of moisture, because there have been no winter rains sufficient to produce percolation. Then, again, after such a ten-day drought, with the surface roots now become inactive through a dying off of the absorbing root-hairs, when the next rain does fall, unless it is a very heavy one, the major part of it will be lost by evaporation from the soil, in the case of crops like wheat, oats, rye and barley, long before the plants are able to put themselves in position to take full advantage of it.

In California and eastern Washington, the case is radically different. There the water gets well into the soil before the crop is put upon the ground. Moisture enough is present to produce germination, and the roots develop at first near the surface, when there is ample moisture present ; but later, under the rainless conditions, it is quite likely that they advance more and more deeply into the ground as the moisture in the upper layers of the soil becomes too scanty, and thus day by day the effectiveness of the soil-mulch is increased, while the roots have only to advance so far as is needful to allow capillarity to bring them the water they need from the store which the soil has retained. With these physical principles and conditions set down as foot-lights to illuminate our problem, and

with the other fact for a side-light turned upon it, that 6 inches of water, when the crop can have it to use to the best advantage, is enough to produce 20 bushels of wheat to the acre, we can see its outlines with sufficient clearness to feel sure that more study in the field would give us its full solution. As the matter now stands, the case is sufficiently clear that we may not conclude, because 9 to 12 inches of rain in California has produced abundant crops of wheat, that a similar rainfall in the sub-humid belt ought to produce like results. It should be sufficiently evident, also, that even with the best modes of tillage we can hope to adopt, there will still be much more water required per pound of dry matter produced all through the sub-humid region, than is demanded under the conditions of the lower San Joaquin valley.

The same principles make it very clear, also, that a judicious application of water by the methods of irrigation, in many humid climates, is certain to be attended by marked increase in the yield.

FREQUENCY AND LENGTH OF PERIODS OF DROUGHT

In humid and sub-humid regions, it is the frequent recurrence of periods of small or no rainfall, especially if they occur at the time when the crop is approaching or has reached the fruiting stage, that, more than anything else, makes extremely careful and thorough tillage, or else supplementary irrigation, indispensable, if large yields are to be realized.

In our repeated trials in the field cylinders here in Wiscon-

sin, we have found it necessary to water all of the crops grown in them as often as once in seven days; and even this period has been found too long for the soils which are coarse and sandy. So, too, in our field irrigation we have found that as much as 2 inches of water may be applied to corn, cabbages and potatoes as often as once in 10 days, with decided advantage unless, in the interval, there has been a rain of from .5 to a full inch, falling nearly at one time, so as to penetrate the ground deeply. To what extent and to what advantage tillage may take the place of irrigation, or make it undesirable, we shall discuss in the next chapter. Starting with the soil well supplied with moisture at seeding time, and then a uniform distribution of rains equal to 1 inch once in seven days through the growing season, we shall have all the moisture that would be needed for very large crops. On the average of years most parts of the United States east of the 97th meridian have this amount of rain during the growing season. It is true, however, that in many parts of the humid districts the distribution of the rainfall in time and in quantity is such as to cause severe suffering from drought.

To show just why it is that in Wisconsin the irrigation of ordinary farm crops does produce a very marked increase in the yield, we have made a study of the distribution of the rainfall at Madison for the years 1887 to 1897, inclusive. The results are here given in a condensed form, as an illustration of the type of rainfall conditions under which, in a humid climate, it may be desirable to irrigate where water privileges are such as to permit it to be done cheaply.

It is generally true that a rain of .05 or even of .1 of an inch, when it comes alone, separated by two or three days from any other rain, benefits ordinary farm crops but little ; but in order that we shall not undervalue the rain which falls, we have included everything, large and small alike, and have con-. structed a table for these years, 1887 to 1897, which shows the length and number of periods in each year between April 1 and September 30, when there were consecutive days having a rainfall whose sum did not exceed .05, .1, .5, 1, 1.5, 2, and 2.5 inches. The table is given below:

Table showing the number of periods, and the mean length of these periods, in each year when the amount of rain is not greater than that given at the head of the respective columns

Year	Rainfall of .05 in.		Rainfall of .1 in.		Rainfall of .5 in.		Rainfall of 1 in.		Rainfall of 1.5 in.		Rainfall of 2 in.		Rainfall of 2.5 in.	
	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period	No. of periods in each year	Mean No. of days in each period
1887	20	7	22	6	18	9	12	13	11	14	10	18	8	24
1888	27	5	25	6	22	8	15	12	11	15	8	21	6	31
1889	21	7	20	7	16	11	13	15	10	18	7	26	6	31
1890	28	4	23	6	20	8·	17	10	16	10	14	13	12	15
1891	20	8	20	8	15	12	11	15	8	22	7	26	5	36
1892	22	5	25	5	22	7	20	9	19	9	15	12	13	15
1893	22	6	23	6	20	9	18	9	14	13	12	15	10	18
1894	20	7	18	7	16	9	15	12	13	14	9	14	9	20
1895	21	6	23	7	13	14	9	20	5	37	5	39	4	44
1896	27	4	27	5	27	6	26	7	19	10	15	12	11	17
1897	28	5	28	5	19	9	15	13	11	17	8	23	6	31
Av. l'g'h period		5.82		6.18		9.27		12.27		16.27		19.91		25.63
Av. No. periods	23.27		23.09		18.91		15.55		12.45		10		8.17	

Studying this table, it will be seen that during the eleven years there have been on the average in the growing season 23 periods of 5.82 days' duration when the rainfall has not exceeded .05 inches ; there have been 23 periods 6 days long, with a rainfall of .1 inch ; 19 periods on the average 9 days long, with a rainfall of .5 inch ; 15 periods each year 12 days long, with 1 inch ; 12 periods 16 days each, with but 1.5 inches ; 10 periods each season 19 days long, with 2 inches, and 8 periods each season of 25 days each, when the mean rainfall did not exceed 2.5 inches.

If we will now compare the field yields which are produced under these conditions of rainfall, we shall be better able to see how important are the quantity and time distribution of rain. It

is unfortunate that we are unable to present closely comparable data for more than the years 1894, '95, '96 and '97, and even for these years only for corn. As for other crops in the different years, they were grown on different soils ; but bringing the yields of dry matter of maize per acre into comparison with the rainfall conditions under which they were produced, we shall have the table which follows :

Table showing the relation of yields of dry matter per acre to the quantity and distribution of rainfall

Year	Periods				Yield of dry matter per acre TONS	Aggregate No. of inches of rainfall						
						.05	.1	.5	1	1.5	2	2.5
1894	{ No. of rainfall periods				3.835	20	18	16	15	13	9	9
	{ Length	"	" days			7	7	9	12	14	14	20
1895	{ No. of	"	"		1.401	21	23	13	9	5	5	4
	{ Length	"	" "			6	7	14	20	37	39	44
1896	{ No. of	"	"		4.145	27	27	27	26	19	15	11
	{ Length	"	" "			4	5	6	7	10	12	17
1897	{ No. of	"	"		3.405	28	28	19	15	11	8	6
	{ Length	"	" "			5	5	9	13	17	23	31

If the rainfall in 1896 and in 1894 is compared with that in 1895, when there was a very much smaller crop, it will be seen that the number of rainfall periods in 1895 is decidedly less, while the length of them is much greater. It was this much longer interval of time intervening between like quantities of rain which determined the small yield ; and it is this character of the rain of humid climates which so seriously cuts down the average yields per acre, and which makes it possible for the methods of irrigation to give such constant and such large yields wherever it is well practiced in arid climates.

Taking the best year of the four, 1896, it will be seen that the average length of periods of 1 inch of rainfall was 7 days, and there were 26 of them in the six months, making about as uniform distribution of rain as is likely to occur in humid climates ; but there were in this season 1 period of 10 days, 3 periods of 11 days, 2 periods of 12 days and 2 periods of 13 days' duration with but 1 inch of rain, which are too long in Wisconsin

to permit the largest crops the soil is capable of carrying. This statement is founded upon the fact that with plenty of water the same soils did produce much larger crops, the differences being such as are given in the table below:

Table showing differences in yield when the natural rainfall in Wisconsin is supplemented by irrigation

	Corn		Potatoes		Strawberries		Cabbage		Barley		Clover	
Year	Irrigated	Not Irrigated	Irrigated	Not Irrigated	Irrigated	Not Irrigated	Irrigated	Not Irrigated	Irrigated	Not Irrigated	Irrigated	Not Irrigated
	TONS	TONS	BU.	BU.	BOXES	BOXES	TONS	TONS	BU.	BU.	TONS	TONS
1894	5.176	3.835	....	....	6,867	3,496	....	....	....	....	....	....
1895	5.293	1.384	....	....	8,732	1,030	....	....	51	25	4.01	1.45
1896	5.15	4.145	394.2	290.5	....	....	22.79	20.04	....	....	3.632	2.254
1897	4.252	3.405	333.9	212.3	....	....	....	....	45.67	44.25	4.434	2.482

These figures show very clearly the insufficiency of rain in these four years to produce the largest possible yields, and they show to what extent irrigation in a climate such as that which has occurred during the years 1894 to 1897 in Wisconsin is likely to increase the average yields.

CONDITIONS WHICH MODIFY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RAINFALL

The rains which fall upon a given area are not equally effective under all conditions of soil and topography, and hence it happens that irrigation may be desirable in localities where the amount of rain which falls may be both large and uniformly distributed throughout the growing season. It has been pointed out, in the study aiming to measure the amount of water required to produce a pound of dry matter, that it was necessary to water the sandy soils of coarse texture once in three to four days in order

to prevent the crops from suffering for lack of moisture, while
once in seven days met the needs of plants growing upon soils
of the finer texture used in the experiments.

The difficulty in the case of soils of coarse texture is, not
that the water evaporates more rapidly from the surface of them,
nor is it because more water must be present in them in order
that plants may utilize it, for it is true that the surface evapora-
tion from them is slower than with most other soils, and that
plants may use the water more closely from them than is
possible when the grains are smaller. The real trouble is found
in the fact that when they are underlaid by a coarse subsoil, and
when standing water in the ground is more than 5 feet below
the surface, the water drains out so completely in a short time
that not enough remains to keep the crop from wilting.

We do not yet know how closely the water may be used up
in field soils of different textures before crops of different kinds
will begin to suffer, or will have their rate of growth checked ;
but the writer has found that clover, timothy, blue-grass and
maize have their growth brought nearly to a standstill in a clay
loam soil underlaid with sand at 3 to 4 feet, when the amount of
water left in it was that stated in the table below:

*Table showing the amount of water in a clay loam in the field when crops wilted
and growth was brought nearly to a standstill*

Depth of sample			Clover PER CENT	Timothy and Blue-grass PER CENT	Maize PER CENT
0- 6 inches		loam	8.39	6.55	6.97
6-12	"	clay loam	8.48	7.62	7.8
12-18	"	clay	12.42	11.49	11.6
18-24	"	clay	13.27	13.58	11.98
24-30	"	clay	13.52	13.26	10.84
40-43	"	sand	9.53	18.37	4.17

Nothing more definite can be said regarding the data of this
table, than that under the moisture relations there shown, growth
was practically at a standstill, and that when very considerably
larger percentages of water were present in the soil the normal
rate of growth was checked.

How completely water will drain out of sands by percolation under conditions in which almost no evaporation can take place, is shown by the data in the table which follows, in which the results were obtained by a set of apparatus shown in Fig. 21. It will be

Fig. 21. Method of determining water-holding power of long columns of sand.

seen that the conditions provided by the apparatus are such that standing water was maintained continuously in the soil at a level of 8 feet below the surface, and, hence, that the amount of water retained in the whole column was much greater than it would have been were it under such field conditions as when standing

water in the ground is found at greater distances below the surface:

Table showing the per cent of water in 8-foot columns of sand after percolation periods of different lengths

Effective diameter of sand grains................... .474 mm. .185 mm. .155 mm. .1143 mm. .0826 mm.

Height of sec'n above ground water

		Water retained after percolating over 2 years				
INCHES	FEET	PER CENT	PER CENT	PER CENT	PER CENT	PER CENT
96 93		.27	.17	.22	1.26	3.44
93 90	8	.22	.17	.23	1.16	3.44
90 87		.23	.16	.29	1.34	3.82
87 84		.22	.15	.32	1.61	3.83
84 81		.23	.18	.61	1.98	3.93
81 78	7	.29	.19	1.07	2.32	4.19
78 75		.44	.26	1.33	2.61	4.38
75 72		.89	.58	1.57	2.90	4.92
72 69		1.18	1.16	1.80	3.12	4.94
69 66	6	1.48	1.45	1.85	3.36	5.70
66 63		1.71	1.67	2.03	3.56	5.91
63 60		1.80	1.80	2.18	3.92	6.43
60 57		1.88	1.86	2.26	4.22	6.77
57 54	5	1.93	1.87	2.27	4.53	7.72
54 51		1.98	1.98	2.30	4.88	8.50
51 48		2.02	1.92	2.38	5.42	9.42
48 45		2.03	2.12	2.46	6.03	10.50
45 42	4	2.02	2.07	2.71	6.99	11.34
42 39		2.06	2.18	3.08	7.47	12.58
39 36		2.17	2.29	3.46	8.71	13
36 33		2.31	2.48	4.10	10.54	14.95
33 30	3	2.36	2.65	5.09	11.77	15.90
30 27		2.63	3.14	6.36	12.95	17.20
27 24		2.86	3.68	8.74	15.05	17.96
24 21		3.42	4.71	13.52	17.24	18.92
21 18	2	4.26	6.76	23.57	19.08	20.49
18 15		6.41	9.38	27.93	19.37	21.34
15 12		9.77	14.66	23.61	21.44	21.63
12 9		16.08	21.31	22.46	22.69	22.68
9 6	1	19.83	22.39	22 76	23.20	23.39
6 3		20.96	23.52	22.88	24.22	30 28
3 0		21.58	24 61	23.54	25.07	24.06

H

Total water retained	gms.	2,121.4	2,474.9	3,515.	4,576.2	5,831.5
	per cent	4.24	5.05	7.25	9.41	11.82
Water retained after 4 days	gms.	3,128.	3,551.1	4,250.9	5,672.	6,659.7
	per cent	6.25	7.238	8.785	11.66	13.5
Water retained after 9 days	gms.	2,926.	3,213.5	4,094.7	5,416.2	6,452.8
	per cent	5.846	6.753	8.445	11.13	13.08
Total water recovered...	gms.	10,425.2	10,356.2	10,329.1	10,289.7	10,606.8
	per cent	20.84	21.12	21.3	21.15	21.5
Total weight of dry sand...gms.		50,050.	49,060.	48,490.	48,650.	49,340.

A glance at this table shows how completely and how rapidly water will drain away by downward percolation from the coarse and fine sands when there is nothing within 8 feet of the surface to prevent it. It will be seen that in four days the coarsest sand had lost nearly three-quarters of all the water it could contain under flooded conditions, while the finest had lost nearly one-half ; and this has occurred, too, under such conditions that standing water is maintained within 8 feet of the surface. Had standing water been 16 feet from the surface, it is quite likely that the surface 8 feet of these sands would not have retained 3 per cent in the coarsest sample nor 5 per cent in the finest.

With such a rate of loss of water from sands as this, it must be plain that the coarser soils, when they are long distances from standing water in the ground, or are not underlaid with a more impervious stratum near the surface, must lose the water which falls upon them as rain so rapidly that even in very humid' regions they cannot maintain profitable crops without irrigation.

It is this fact of coarse texture, coupled with the long inter-vals of deficient rain, more than a lack of plant-food, which has maintained in an unproductive state the extensive areas of sandy lands found in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, New York, New Jersey, and further south, in the United states, and throughout Belgium, Holland, and the plains of northern Germany, in Europe. Had the soils of these areas identically the same chemical composition, but a texture as fine as that of our best soils, so that water would drain from them no more rapidly, profitable agriculture could be practiced upon them under the rainfall conditions which exist. And it is possible to so supple-

ment the rainfall upon these types of land by irrigation as, even
with the coarse texture they have, to make them bear remuner-
ative crops of various kinds, as has been abundantly proved in
many places.

Passing from the extreme type of "barrens" soil which we
have been discussing, there are extremely large areas of only the
less coarse loamy sands and sandy loams in all humid climates,
where supplementary irrigation, could it be practiced, would
greatly increase the average yields beyond the largest which are
possible with the best of tillage ; but the truth of this proposition
does not carry with it the corollary that it will pay to irrigate
them whenever there is an abundance of water to do so.

Then, there are topographic conditions which greatly diminish
the effectiveness of the rain which may fall in a given locality.
When the fields are decidedly rolling, every one is familiar with
the fact that wherever heavy rains occur in short periods of time
very considerable percentages of such rains flow at once over the
surface to the lower lying lands, producing only damaging effects
upon the hillsides. Under such conditions, it is plain that the
measured rainfall of the growing season is not available for crop
production, even though the texture of the soil were such as to
retain the whole of it, could it rest upon the surface long enough
to be absorbed. Further than this, the brows of hills, where
they are exposed to the prevailing winds, lose a much higher
percentage of the absorbed soil moisture by surface evaporation than
is the case on the level plains or in the sheltered valleys, and
from this it follows that when the whole rainfall of the growing
season is only enough to make the soil produce at its full
capacity, the exposed hillsides must receive irrigation sufficient
to make good the losses by surface drainage and greater evapo-
ration, if equally large yields per acre are expected.

Again, in rolling countries, where the higher lands are
porous, the rains which are there lost by deep percolation reap-
pear under the lower lands, to supplement the rain which falls
directly there, and often to such an extent as to make under-
draining a necessity. Where these conditions exist, and where
drainage is sufficient, so that crops may take advantage of the

underflow which gives rise to a natural sub-irrigation, it is evident that on such lands a much smaller rainfall, and even longer intervals between rains, may occur without producing suffering from drought.

From what has been shown regarding the amount of water used by different crops in coming to maturity, it is plain that with a full command of water for irrigation, it would be possible for crops to be grown on a given soil in a given locality when the natural rainfall would not permit that crop to be so grown. It is plain, therefore, that neither the amount of rain nor the distribution of it are sufficient to determine under what conditions irrigation will or will not pay.

CHAPTER III

THE EXTENT TO WHICH TILLAGE MAY TAKE THE PLACE OF RAIN OR IRRIGATION

WERE it desirable to irrigate all agricultural lands lying in humid climates, it would not be possible to do so, on account of the insufficiency of water for the purpose. The truth of this proposition will be evident if we deal quantitatively with the problem.

THE INSUFFICIENCY OF WATER TO IRRIGATE ALL CULTIVATED LANDS

Humphreys and Abbott have placed the mean annual discharge of the Mississippi at 19,500,000,000,000 cubic feet, while the catchment area is placed at 1,-244,000 square miles. Assuming that these quantities are correct, then the mean annual run-off for the whole Mississippi basin would be 6.747 inches. But not all this run-off is available for irrigation, were it desirable to so use it; for during a large part of the time this water is flowing away when the season does not permit of its being used, and it is impracticable to impound it and hold it until it might be used. If we take the mean daily discharge of the river as $\frac{1}{365}$ of its annual amount, and allow that the whole of this is

(117)

available for irrigation purposes during the irrigation
season, it is capable of watering but .092 of the catch-
ment area at the rate of 2 inches of water once in 10
days.

It is true that the mean run-off for the whole
basin is less than is found in much of the United
States ; but, taking a district where the mean drainage
to the sea is 30 inches instead of 6.7, and supposing
that this is collected into canals, so as to be used for
irrigation, then it would be able to supply only about
.4 of the area at the rate assumed above.   It is
safe to say that these estimates of the area which
might be irrigated with such amounts of water is too
large, for the summer discharge, when irrigation is
needed, is in most drainage basins much less than
the mean values which have been taken in making
the calculations.

Newell has made as close an estimate of the mean
annual run-off for the United States as the then ex-
isting data would permit, and has expressed the
results in a map, which is reproduced in Fig. 22.   An
inspection of this map will make it plain, in connec-
tion with what has been said, that however great irri-
gation developments may become in the future, it is
not possible for the practice to be extended so as to
displace the methods of "dry farming."   Hence the
question, How far may tillage compensate for a defi-
cient rainfall ? will long remain a pertinent one in
agricultural practice.

Since much less than one-half of agricultural lands
can be irrigated under any efforts which can be made,

Fig. 22. Mean annual run-off in the United States. (After Newell.)

it is plain that the question, What are the largest possible yields which may be realized without irrigation ? is of much greater practical moment than its converse.

THE MOST WHICH MAY BE HOPED FOR TILLAGE IN THE USE OF WATER

We have, as yet, been unable experimentally to demonstrate that any method of handling the soil under field conditions will permit it to abstract from the air above it an amount of moisture sufficiently large to materially contribute to the supply already in the soil, and thus aid in compensating for a deficient rainfall.   The discussion presented on a preceding page, regarding the production of wheat in California and Washington without irrigation, certainly lends no weight to the view that the hygroscopic power of soils aids in supplying moisture to the crops under field conditions.   Still, it must be admitted that those who maintain that soils do absorb important quantities of moisture from the air direct may continue to do so without fear of successful refutation by existing positive knowledge.

If it is true that soils do not withdraw from the air important quantities of water, then the most which can be hoped for by methods of tillage is that they may store in the soil and retain there the water which falls as rain, until that shall be removed by the action of the roots of the crop growing upon the field. Certain it is that no method of tillage now practiced can

very much increase the moisture in the soil above that which falls as rain or snow.

Further than this, we have no reason to believe that mere tillage, as such, can in any way diminish the rate of transpiration from the crop which is growing upon the soil being tilled, unless, indeed, it should be done by root-pruning, a method decidedly injurious in most cases. It follows, therefore, that in no way can we hope, by methods of tillage, to diminish the loss of water by transpiration through the crop itself. We may, indeed, make the conditions for growth so favorable that the maximum amount of dry matter is developed during the time a given amount of water is being evaporated from the surface of the crop; but so far as the direct influence of tillage is concerned, it can only lessen the evaporation from the soil surface, and reduce the losses by percolation and by surface drainage. No amount or kind of tillage can dispense with water; that must be had, either from rain or snow, or be supplied by irrigation. With water enough in the soil to make a crop, good tillage will bring the most out of it; but when the rainfall has really been deficient, nothing short of irrigation can make the crop.

AMOUNT OF RAIN NEEDED TO PRODUCE CROPS IN HUMID AND SUB-HUMID REGIONS

Having pointed out in a general way the limitations of tillage in conserving soil moisture for crop production, it is important to show how great its possibilities may be when unaided by irrigation ; for if in humid and sub-humid climates tillage may enable

all soils to produce maximum crops of all kinds, then irrigation will be unnecessary in them.

It has been shown that, under conditions in which no water can be lost by surface or under-drainage:

Clover	uses	5.089	acre-inches in producing one ton of dry matter.
Oats	"	4.447	" " " " " " " "
Barley	"	4.096	" " " " " " " "
Maize	"	2.301	" " " " " " " "
Potatoes	use	3.399	" " " " " " " "

These figures are an approximate measure of the demands of those crops for water, and if one, two or three tons of dry matter per acre are to be produced by these crops, then the amount of available rainfall needed will be given by multiplying the figures in this table by the yield which is expected per acre from the soil.

Let us see what the available rainfall is in various parts of the eastern and central United States. To make the discussion as pointed as possible, let us draw our data from the states of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, eastern Kansas, Maine, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, and Wisconsin. In these states, what is the amount of rainfall available for crop production?

In the map, Fig. 23, is represented the mean annual rainfall of the United States, as given by the Weather Bureau. Such a map, however, does not show the amount of water which is available for crop production, because, as shown on the map, Fig. 22, a large part of this rain is carried to the sea in the rivers, and cannot, therefore, be used in producing crops. But if the rains which would drain away were subtracted from the mean annual rainfall, the difference would still be too large, for we have many showers which are too slight to be of any service whatever. Not only this, but very light rains often do positive injury by destroying the effectiveness of earth mulches which have been developed by tillage, thus causing a loss of a part of the water already in the soil, with that which fell as rain.

It is further necessary, in discussing this problem, to consider

Fig. 23. Mean annual rainfall of the United States. (U. S. Weather Bureau.)

the growing season of the specific crop in question, in order to know whether tillage alone will answer for that crop, unaided by irrigation. The first crop of clover, for example, must be largely made by the rains of May and June in the states which have been named, while the crop of potatoes will be determined more largely by that which falls between June and October. The period of barley would extend from May 1 nearly through July ; oats, from May to the middle of August ; and maize, from the middle of May to the middle of September.

In the table which follows, the amount of rain which falls during the growing season of barley, oats and maize has been given, and from the averages have been deducted the amounts which it is quite certain do not become available for crop production, on account of loss by drainage and by the light rains not penetrating deeply enough to be of service agriculturally:

Table showing the mean rainfall for the growing season for barley, oats and maize

	Rainfall in inches for		
	Barley	Oats	Maize
Illinois	13	15	15.25
Indiana	13.5	15.25	16.25
Iowa...	12.5	14.25	15.375
Eastern Kansas	12	13.625	14.5
Southern Maine.......................................	10.5	12.25	14
Southern Michigan....................................	9.5	11	12.625
Missouri	13.25	15	16.375
Minnesota	10.75	12.25	13.75
New York	10.25	12	13.5
Ohio....................	11.75	13.5	15
Pennsylvania..	12	14	15.75
Vermont...	10.5	12.5	14.75
Wisconsin	11.5	13.25	15
Mean...	11.616	13.375	14.779
Estimated loss by percolation and from light showers.	2.964	3.185	2.765
Mean effective rain	8.652	10.19	12.014

In estimating the loss from percolation and small showers, 2 inches has been assumed as the amount of percolation in the case of barley and oats, and 1.5 inches for maize. The amount deducted for small, ineffective showers has been gotten by taking the total

rainfall for Madison, Wisconsin, from 1887 to 1897, which was less than .2 of an inch in any day of 24 hours during the periods covered by the table.

Now, these amounts of effective rain, could they be used with the same economy as we were able to use them in our plant cylinders, ought to produce the following yields per acre:

	Bu. per acre
Barley	40.29
Oats ....\.................	64.97
Maize	71.51

In making these calculations, the ratio of grain to straw for barley has been taken as 2 to 3, and for oats as 1 to 1.448; and we have used the percentages of water in grain and straw given in tables of feeding-stuffs. In the case of maize, data derived from direct determinations by the writer have been used.

It will be seen that these computed yields, although much larger than average yields, are, nevertheless, very close to what is expected during our best seasons, when there has been plenty of rain, well distributed, and when the crop has not been affected by disease or insects. It appears, therefore, that the rainfall for the thirteen states enumerated is sufficient in quantity to produce very heavy crops, not only of the three grains named, but of many others also.

THE DISTRIBUTION OF RAIN IN TIME USUALLY UNFAVORABLE TO MAXIMUM YIELDS

There is little question that in the thirteeen states named, the mean yields of barley, oats and maize would easily be held to 41, 64 and 75 bushels per acre respectively, if it were only possible to control the distribution of rain in time and in quantity, as it is controlled by irrigation. As it is, however, such large mean yields can never be reached by tillage alone in a territory as extended as that under consideration. This will be evident from the table which follows, in which the mean yields of barley, oats

and maize for 1879 are given as reported for the 10th Census for
the thirteen states:

	Bu. barley per acre	Bu. oats per acre	Bu. maize per acre
Illinois	22.25	32.24	36.12
Indiana............................	23.25	25.02	31.39
Iowa..............................	20.23	33.57	41.57
Kansas	12.52	18.77	30.93
Maine	21.81	28.76	30.99
Michigan	22.1	33.93	35.3
Missouri	19.01	21.34	36.22
Minnesota	25.62	37.97	33.81
New York	21.85	29.79	32.97
Ohio..............................	29 7	31.49	34.09
Pennsylvania.....................	18.57	27.34	33.37
Vermont..........................	25.36	37.57	36.46
Wisconsin	24.68	34.43	33.71
Mean	22.08	30.17	34.38

If a comparison is made between these reported yields and
those which are given above as possible with the recorded rain-
falls, when a favorable distribution in time occurs, it will be seen
that the mean reported yields are only about half as large as the
computed ones, and as observed ones are in localities where the
distribution of rain in time and in quantity has been favorable.

These small average yields, reported from so many states,
and agreeing so closely one with another, must be looked upon
as expressing conditions unfavorable to large yields, and condi-
tions which the best of management cannot hope wholly to
counteract.

The facts are that we are here confronted with results which
are due, in a very large measure, to the long intervals between
effective rains, to which reference has already been made. This
uneven distribution is so general in its character that when
the yields over wide areas are brought together for comparison,
the small yields due to faulty distribution of rain so far outweigh
the large yields, where the amount of moisture has been just
right, that small averages are inevitable. Nor is this condition
of things strange ; for, since the rainfall is in no way controlled
by any factor operating to cause precipitation, either when it is

wanted or in the amount which the particular crop on the par-
ticular soil may at that time need, it cannot be expected that
such a regime of chance would on the average develop the con-
ditions most favorable to large crops.

THE METHODS OF TILLAGE TO CONSERVE MOISTURE
ARE OFTEN INAPPLICABLE

If it is urged that better tillage and more systematic rota-
tions of crops, coupled with a more rational practice of fertiliza-
tion of the soil, would go a long way toward making larger
average yields, every one must admit the truth of the assertion.
But, while this is true, it must still be recognized that there are
some cases in which the methods of tillage to conserve soil mois-
ture are either wholly inapplicable or they may be applied only
with so great difficulty or with so small an effect, that they have
never come into general use for the specific purpose of saving
soil moisture.

The most important illustration in point is that of the hay
crop, with which should also be associated that of pasture as
well, when these are made from the grasses and from clover.
With these two crops, hay and pasture, which together cover a
wider acreage than any other single crop grown, there has not
been and cannot well be any method of tillage aiming specifically
to conserve soil moisture for the use of the crop.

In the thirteen states referred to when discussing the yields
of barley, oats and maize, there were cut 24,439,485 acres of
grass, making 28,314,650 tons of hay, or at the mean rate of
1.158 tons per acre, in 1879. Nearly all of this hay is made
during the months of May and June, when there is a mean rain-
fall for the thirteen states amounting to 7.83 inches, of which
not less than 2 inches is lost by percolation, and nearly .69 of an
inch is ineffective on account of showers giving less than .2 of
. an inch, thus leaving an effective rain of 5.14 inches

It has been shown that clover uses 5.089 acre-inches of water
in producing one ton of dry matter, and at this rate 5.14 inches

of effective rain ought to give a yield of 1.01 tons of dry matter, equal to 1.188 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water, while the observed mean yield is 1.158 tons. Now, this yield of 1.1 tons per acre is not what a farmer calls a good yield, for 1.5 tons to 2 tons per acre of hay are often cut ; but these larger yields are invariably associated with seasons of early heavy rainfall. It must be evident, then, that in the thirteen states from Maine to eastern Kansas there are large areas where, if water could be applied to the first crop of hay, the yield might easily be increased 40 to 90 per cent, and there can be no question that the aggregate extent of such areas exceeds what could be supplied by all the water of all the rivers and all the ground water of those states.

Then, again, in the case of such crops as wheat, oats, barley, rye, buckwheat, and the millets, which are sown broadcast or in close drills, it has not been usual to practice methods of tillage aiming specifically to save moisture ; but when the acreage of these crops in the United States, together with that of hay and pasture, is set aside, there remains relatively but a small part of the cultivated lands upon which intertillage is or can well be practiced.

These statements are made neither to depreciate the importance of conserving soil moisture by tillage nor to emphasize the importance of irrigation, but rather that each may be seen in its true perspective ; for the fact is, neither method is universally adapted to meet the needs of insufficient rain at all times and in all places. But there are conditions for which each is better suited than the other, and for a man to know these is to make him a better farmer.

TILLAGE TO CONSERVE SOIL MOISTURE IS CHIEFLY EFFECTIVE IN SAVING THE WINTER AND EARLY SPRING RAINS

It is not sufficiently appreciated that early and frequent tillage where irrigation is not practiced is far more important and . effective in conserving soil moisture than later tillage can be after the ground once becomes dry. From this it follows that

intertillage and surface tillage generally can be counted upon as capable of saving to the crop which is to be grown upon the ground only a part of the rains which fall in winter and spring. The rains of later June and July, August and September are usually beyond the power of tillage to conserve in any marked degree, without at the same time seriously injuring the roots of vegetation growing upon the ground.

In the first place, after the last of June, in climates like that of the thirteen states selected, the water of nearly all rains is absorbed and retained in the surface 3 inches of soil or less. It is only the rains exceeding 1 inch which penetrate more deeply than this ; and to stir a wet soil is to hasten the rate of evaporation of moisture from the soil stirred. If, then, the roots of a crop have dried the surface 8 inches of soil so that it contains but 20 to 30 per cent of its full amount, and a rain falls which wets in but 2 inches, stirring that soil can save but little of the moisture. Further than this, when the surface of the soil has become so dry, capillarity acts very slowly to conduct the water downward into the soil.

In the second place, most cultivated crops, in order to take advantage of the general fact that summer rains do not as a rule penetrate deeply into the soil, develop a system of roots extremely close to the surface of the ground, where momentary advantage may be taken of those rains which do not wet in deeply ; and hence it is that in sub-humid climates, and after a dry time in all climates, surface cultivation right after a rain may do positive injury by cutting off roots which have been developed to take advantage of such rains, while at the same time the rate of evaporation from the stirred soil has been increased. Here, again, it is seen that rigid physical laws and conditions have set limitations to the methods of tillage as a substitute for irrigation.

MIDSUMMER AND EARLY FALL CROPS DIFFICULT TO GROW WITHOUT IRRIGATION

The fact that after early summer the surface of the ground usually becomes quite dry, coupled with the other fact that water

I

percolates and travels downward through such soil with difficulty, makes the growing of a second crop of almost any kind very difficult and uncertain by methods of tillage unaided by irrigation. Every one is familiar with the fact of short pastures in midsummer and early fall, and that second crops of hay can be raised only in exceptional seasons, and even then they are seldom heavy.

The difficulty in these cases is not that less rain falls during the summer and autumn, for the measured amount is actually greater. Neither is it true that they will not grow because it is out of season, for when plenty of water is supplied heavy crops of grass are obtained for the second cutting. As a matter of fact, the summer rains are less effective because they are retained so near to the surface as not to come within reach of the roots before they are lost by surface evaporation.

In our own experiments in irrigating clover, there has been secured for the second crop of clover hay 1.789 tons in 1895, 2.035 tons in 1896, and 1.648 tons of hay, containing 15 per cent of water, in 1897, or an average for three years of 1.824 tons per acre. When it is recalled that the average yield of hay per acre for the thirteen states cited is but little more than 1 ton per acre for the first crop, when the rains have their maximum effectiveness, it is plain that without irrigation it is not possible to grow a paying second crop of hay to any extent in either the sub-humid or humid parts of the United States. Further than this, on account of the small effectiveness of summer rains, it is often quite impossible to secure a catch of clover with any of the small grains, while with irrigation the catch would be positively assured every year. These are cases in which present methods of tillage can do nothing, but in which irrigation will give certain results.

The present season we put into the silo 6,552 pounds of clover and volunteer barley, cut from .58 acres of ground upon which had been harvested 45 bushels of barley to the acre. This was rendered possible by irrigating the land, and thus forcing the new seeding of clover after the crop was removed. In this way it was possible to get two good crops in one season from the

same piece of ground ; namely, 45 bushels of barley per acre, and the equivalent of 1.4 tons of hay containing 15 per cent of water. Only very extraordinary seasons would by any method of tillage permit this to be done.

MEANS OF CONSERVING MOISTURE

1. *Fall Plowing to Conserve. Moisture*

In those parts of the world where winter precipitation is not large, so as to over-saturate the soil, and so as to cause the running together of soils, and thus destroy their tilth, fall plowing may be found very desirable when its chief object is to diminish surface evaporation during the winter and early spring, and where it is desirable to facilitate the ready and deeper penetration of the water into the soil which, during the growing season, has become dried to considerable depths.

In order that fall plowing may be most effective in this way, it should be done as late as practicable, so that its looseness may not be destroyed by the early rains, and its usefulness as a mulch thus reduced; and also in order that it may allow the later rains and melting snows to drop easily and more completely through it, when surface drainage will be prevented, and loss by evaporation will be reduced to the minimum. In such conditions capillarity and gravity may together aid in conveying the water into the second, third and fourth feet, where it will become most effective in supplementing the spring and early summer rains.

The writer has shown, in "The Soil," p. 187, that

land in Wisconsin fall-plowed late in the season was
found in the spring, even as late as May 14, to con-
tain not less than 6 pounds of water to the square
foot more than similar adjacent land not so treated.
This is equivalent to 1.15 inches of rain, a very
important quantity to have been stored in the soil at
so late a period and in such a position that inter-
tillage is certain to retain it for service when it is
needed.

It will be readily appreciated that this sort of tillage
to conserve moisture is most important in the sub-
humid and humid climates, whenever those dry seasons
occur which close the year with an under-supply of
soil moisture.

It should not be inferred that this sort of tillage to
save moisture must be confined to such lands as are to
be sowed to small grains in the spring, or even planted
to corn or potatoes. It is particularly desirable in all
lines of orcharding, and where small fruits and grapes
are grown. The laying down and covering of the
plants need not prevent it, for the plowing may imme-
diately precede the laying down. In the growing of
small fruits without irrigation, the late fall tillage, just
before the ground freezes, is a matter of considerable
moment, because with strawberries, raspberries and
blackberries it very often happens that a shortage of
soil moisture just at the fruiting season results in a
very serious loss through a reduction of the yield,
and late, deep tillage will usually lessen this danger.
If it should be urged by some that this practice
applied to orchards would tend to stimulate a too late

growth of wood in the fall, and thus lead to danger
from winter-killing, the reply is that when it is done
late, just before freezing up, there can be no danger
on this score.

2. *Subsoiling to Conserve Moisture*

Subsoiling to conserve soil moisture cannot have
the extended practice that methods of surface tillage
should, but there are cases when it is quite likely to
prove sufficiently helpful to pay for the relatively heavy
expense which it involves. In view of this fact, and
because it is being urged particularly in the sub-humid

Fig. 24. Method of determining the influence of subsoiling.

belt, the principles underlying the practice should be
clearly understood.

The method used to demonstrate the influence of
subsoiling in retaining the rains which fall upon the

ground is illustrated in Fig. 24, where all losses by
surface evaporation were prevented by placing an air-
tight cover over the areas under experiment. In order
that the extreme influence of subsoiling might be
ascertained, 8 inches of the surface soil was completely
removed from an area 6 x 6 feet on a side, and when
the subsoil had been spaded to a depth of 13 inches
more it was returned to its place without firming in any
way, except to smooth the surface with a plank pressed
down by the weight of a man. After samples of soil
had been taken from this and the adjacent area, to give
the existing water content, water was slowly sprinkled
over the two surfaces until 254.41 pounds, or 1.36
inches, had been added to each, and then they were
covered, as shown in the figure, and allowed to stand
from June 11 until June 15, when the covers were
removed and samples of soil again taken, to demon-
strate what changes had occurred.

When this was done it was found that the water
added had effected the changes which are recorded in
the table which follows :

	Subsoiled LBS.	Not subsoiled LBS.	Difference LBS.
The first foot gained	124.6	102.1	+22.5
The second foot gained	72.57	10.34	+62.23
The third foot gained......	38.22	12.05	+26.17
The fourth foot gained	33.26	3.82	+29.43
The fifth foot lost	2.29	19.5	—17.21
Total water gained	268.65	128.31	
Total water added	254.41	254.41	
Difference	+14.24	—126.1	

It will thus be seen that the subsoiled ground, under conditions where no evaporation could take place from the surface, had not only retained all the water which had been added to it, but that it had actually gained by capillarity from the adjacent soil 14.24 pounds additional. The ground not subsoiled, on the other hand, had actually lost, without evaporation from the surface of the soil, 126.1 pounds of water.

In a second experiment, which was handled in the same way, except that no water was added to the surface, the treated soil was allowed to stand from June 26 to July 2, covered so that no evaporation could take place from the surface, the object being to learn whether capillary action would draw moisture from below into the subsoiled earth, and thus increase its water supply. The changes which took place are shown by the following figures :

ON SUBSOILED GROUND

	1st foot PER CENT	2nd foot PER CENT	3rd foot PER CENT	4th foot PER CENT	5th foot PER CENT
June 26 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Moisture} \\ \text{at start} \end{array} \right\}$	23.29	21.89	17.85	14.14	19.55
July 2 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Moisture} \\ \text{at close} \end{array} \right\}$	22.66	22.50	17.49	14.45	20.27
Change	− .63	+ .61	− .36	+ .31	+ .72

ON GROUND NOT SUBSOILED

June 26—start ...	22.52	20.67	17.74	15.06	19.34
July 2—close.....	23.97	22.09	18.92	14.62	18.38
Change	+1.45	+1.32	+1.18	− .44	− .96

It appears from these results that there was but

little tendency for the deeper soil water to pass upward
by capillarity into the subsoiled earth. But quite the
opposite was the case with the ground not subsoiled,
for here the upper 3 feet had each gained more than
1 per cent of their dry weight of water. Express-
ing the movement which had taken place during the
6 days in pounds of water on the 36 square feet of
surface, we find that the surface 3 feet had gained
129.69 pounds, while the lower 2 feet had lost 53.52
pounds, leaving an absolute gain of 76.17 pounds. In
the case of the subsoiled ground, the surface 3 feet
showed a loss of 11.14 pounds, and the lower 2 feet a
gain of 39.38, making an absolute gain to the area of
only 28.24 pounds.

In another field trial, when a piece of land was
subsoiled on October 22, while a strip on each side of
this was plowed without subsoiling, the water in the
soil was found in the spring to be distributed in the
manner indicated below :

	Subsoiled in the field LBS.	Not subsoiled in the field LBS.	Difference LBS.
First foot	15.47	17.41	—1.94
Second foot	17.61	16.31	+1.30
Third foot.	18.19	17.84	+ .35
Fourth foot	17.83	17.20	+ .63
Total	69.10	68.76	+. 34

Here it will be seen that the surface foot of
subsoiled ground contained nearly 2 pounds less
water than that not subsoiled, but that the absolute

amount of water in the two cases is practically the same.

In a fourth experiment to show the effect of subsoiling in the spring on the water content of the soil in the fall, one of the small areas already described was allowed to stand exposed from June until September, 75 days, without in any way disturbing the surface, except to keep it free from weeds by shaving them off with a sharp hoe. The results were these :

	Subsoiled ground PER CENT	Not subsoiled ground PER CENT	Difference PER CENT
First foot	17.07	18.91	—1.84
Second foot	23.29	19.42	+3.87
Third foot	22.76	17.78	+4.98
Fourth foot	16.35	14.19	+2.16
Fifth foot	18.14	19.20	—1.06

Here, again, the results have the same general character as they did when the subsoil period was from October to April, the surface foot of subsoiled ground being the dryest, while the next 3 feet are more moist. When the effect of subsoiling in this case is expressed in inches of rain, the gain in the saving of soil moisture amounts to 1.64 inches, which is a very important amount.

The effects of subsoiling probably do not last much longer than a single season, unless there has been but little rain, so that the ground has never been thoroughly saturated, permitting it to again settle together. In the case of the field trial here reported, samples of soil were taken on the same ground April 8, April 16, and

again May 5, in order to discover whether in that time progressive changes would take place. Between the first and last date there had been a total rainfall of 5.33 inches, making conditions very favorable indeed to obliterate the effects of the subsoiling in a short time. The changes which these rains, together with the fitting and planting of the ground, produced, are shown in the table below:

	⎯⎯⎯April 8⎯⎯⎯			⎯·⎯April 16⎯⎯·⎯		
	Subsoiled PER CENT	Not subsoiled PER CENT	Difference PER CENT	Subsoiled PER CENT	Not subsoiled PER CENT	Difference PER CENT
First ft....	19.58	22.04	−2.46	20.80	22.88	−2.08
Second ft..	19.01	17.61	+1.40	18.62	18.97	− .35
Third ft...	17.39	17.06	+ .33	16.48	16.70	− .22
Fourth ft..	16.79	16.20	+ .59	16.11	16.50	− .39

	⎯⎯⎯May 5⎯⎯⎯		
	Subsoiled PER CENT	Not subsoiled PER CENT	Difference PER CENT
First foot...............	21.28	21.34	−.06
Second foot	19.02	19.11	−.09
Third foot.......... ..	19.11	18.37	+.74
Fourth foot	16.67	17	−.33

It will be seen that the difference between the water in the soil under the two treatments becomes less each time the samples are taken, and that on May 5 the difference between them had nearly disappeared. But it should be observed that this close agreement at the last time may be more apparent than real, on account of the fact that a rain of 1.3 inches had fallen on May 1, and it is possible that time enough had not yet elapsed to allow an equilibrium to be established.

EXPLANATION OF THE MOISTURE EFFECTS OF
SUBSOILING

The results stated show that subsoiling produces several very distinct effects, so far as soil moisture is concerned, and these may be stated as follows :

1. Subsoiling increases the percentage capacity for water of the soil stirred.

2. Subsoiling decreases the capillary conducting power of the soil stirred.

3. Subsoiling increases the rate of percolation through the soil stirred, or its gravitational conducting capacity.

In order to understand how these effects are produced by subsoiling, it is necessary to have clearly in mind the nature of the physical changes in the soil which the operation in question sets up. In the small plot experiments which have been cited, the subsoiling had the effect of increasing the pore space in the soil stirred at the rate of over 245 cubic inches per cubic foot, or 14.2 per cent. Further than this, the pore space so added consisted in a large measure of cavities which were so large that air and water would move through them in obedience to the laws which govern the flow of water through large pipes, rather than those controlling the flow through capillary tubes.

It must here be born in mind that the increase of space was made as large as it could well be, and hence that the results have a maximum value.

How subsoiling increases the water capacity of the soil stirred.— When a soil is broken into lumps which lie loosely together, and these lumps are saturated with water, the many lumps behave toward that water much as if each were a short column of soil which is in contact with standing water. The surface film of water which spans the pores at the surface of the saturated lump of soil has a definite strength, and, if the lump is not too large, can hold every cavity within that lump completely full of water, just as the lump of sugar dipped into the tea and then withdrawn comes forth completely filled with the fluid. But when the soil

is compact, so that each portion is part of one long and continuous mass extending downward several feet before water is reached, the surface tension of the water is not strong enough to maintain the soil cavities full of water, and a part drains away downward.

It is easy to demonstrate the nature of this action with a bit of candle wicking 2 or 3 feet long, or with two or three folds of cotton wrapping twine loosely twisted together. Placing this in a basin of water and letting it become saturated, if it is then raised out by both ends, holding it nearly horizontal and straight, the water very soon ceases to drip from it ; but if it is allowed to sag in the middle, the water will begin to drip rapidly, and will continue to do so until a new equilibrium has been reached. The string will lose its water still more rapidly and completely if it is simply suspended from one end, when it then represents the longest column of soil.

How subsoiling decreases the capillary conducting power of soils.—When large open spaces have been developed in a soil by any means, then every such cavity cuts off a part of the capillary passageways through which the water might travel by capillary conduction, thus making the amount of water which may move in a given direction proportionally smaller. This being true, when rain falls upon subsoiled ground it travels downward very slowly through it until after the soil has become completely filled, and drainage or percolation takes place. If, then, the shower is not heavy enough to completely fill this subsoiled layer, it is nearly all retained within it ; whereas, when the capillary connection is good, then so soon as the surface layer becomes wetter than that below, the water begins to move under the impulse of capillarity, and will continue to do so until a balance has been reached.

On the other hand, when the surface of the subsoiled ground has become dryer through evaporation or by root action, water from below will not enter it as rapidly as it will soil not so treated. It is thus capable of acting as a deep mulch, to diminish the loss of water by capillary movement upward. But should conditions chance to be such that the whole root system of the crop has been developed within this subsoiled layer, then a rapidly-growing crop upon it might suffer for want of water when there was an abun-

dance of it in the unstirred soil below, but now prevented from rising into the root zone by the reduced rate at which it is possible for the water to rise.

This is a matter of great importance to comprehend, because in a humid climate, where the subsoils frequently become saturated with water, rendering them unfit for the feeding ground of roots, to develop a deep mulch over this by subsoiling would tend to maintain this lower soil permanently in a condition which excludes the roots of plants from it, while at the same time that water cannot rise into the loosened soil above, and a drought actually occurs when, if the field had not been subsoiled, a good supply of water might easily be reached by the crop.

In the arid and sub-humid regions, the saturated subsoil is rarely found, except for short periods, at long intervals apart, and hence there is little danger from this score in subsoiling in these climates.

How subsoiling allows the water to enter the soil more readily.— From what has already been said, it will be understood that it is only after the subsoiled layer has become saturated that water begins to percolate through it, and so to store itself in the undisturbed layer below. But when rain enough has fallen to accomplish this result, then whatever else falls drops readily and rapidly through it, not only because there are wider channels for the water to move through under the stress of gravity, but because from an open soil the air escapes quickly and readily, thus making place for the water which cannot enter until the space for it has been vacated. The water entering the soil in time of rain or irrigation is like water entering an open-mouthed jug, which can only do so as rapidly as the air is permitted to escape.

A larger percentage of the water contained by subsoiled ground available to crops.—With all soils, of whatever kind, there is a certain amount of water they contain which it is impossible for the roots of plants to remove with sufficient rapidity to meet their needs, and this amount is relatively smaller in the coarse-grained soils than it is in those having a finer texture. But whenever any soil has been subsoiled, and its water-holding power thereby increased, this extra amount of water becomes wholly available to

the plant ; and if this amount would have been lost, either by
downward percolation or by evaporation from the surface, then the
subsoiling has been a gain.

3. *Earth Mulches*

When the damp surface of a soil is covered with a
dry layer of earth, the rate of evaporation from it is
very much decreased. It is because of this fact that
thorough surface tillage is able to so conserve the soil
moisture stored in the upper four to six feet of culti-
vated fields that fair crops may be grown with very
little rain ; and it is in the effective handling of these
mulches that the hope of farmers in sub-humid districts
must be laid.

Conditions modifying the effectiveness of mulches.— The laws
which govern the loss of water through mulches have not yet
been sufficiently worked out to permit a full discussion of this
important subject, but several important facts have been defi-
nitely settled, and may be here stated.

In the first place, when other conditions are the same, the
thicker or deeper the layer of loose, dry soil is, the less rapidly
can the soil moisture pass upward through it, to be lost by
evaporation.

It was found, for example, that when soil covered with no
mulch lost water in the still air of the laboratory at the rate of
4.375 acre-inches per 100 days, the same soil stirred to a depth
of .5 inches lost but 4.017 acre-inches, and when stirred to a
depth of .75 inches lost 3.169 acre-inches in the same time. In
another case, when the loss of water from the unmulched surface
was 6.2 acre-inches per 100 days, stirring this same soil to a
depth of 1 inch reduced the loss to 4 acre-inches, while stirring
it to a depth of 2 inches left the loss but 2.8 acre-inches per
100 days.

So, too, when corn was cultivated to a depth of 1 to 1.5

inches with a Tower cultivator, and adjacent rows were culti-
vated to a depth of 3 inches with narrow shovels, it was found at
the end of the season that the ground cultivated 3 inches deep
contained 1.478 inches more water than the 1-inch cultivation
did in the upper 4 feet, the conditions of the soil being as repre-
sented below :

	1st foot PER CENT	2nd foot PER CENT	3rd foot PER CENT	4th foot PER CENT
Cultivated 3 inches deep........	23.14	23.3	21.94	22.46
Cultivated 1 inch deep..........	22.7	21.08	19.65	19.58
Difference.................	.44	2.22	2.29	2.88

These differences do not show the amount of water which the
deeper mulch saved, because at several times during the season
the rains may have brought the soil of the two kinds of treat-
ment very close together in their water content, the results above
being simply the final difference. They do show, however, how
much more moist one soil was kept than the other, and, hence,
how much better were the conditions in one case than in the
other for plant growth.

That the full significance of such differences in soil moisture
in crop production may be better appreciated, Fig. 25 shows the
growth of corn under every way similar conditions, except that
the amounts of water in the soil in which the corn was large
and in which it was small were as stated in the table which
follows:

	Moisture in soil of largest corn PER CENT	Moisture in soil of smallest corn PER CENT	Difference
First foot.........................	13.29	10.18	3.11
Second foot......................	17.23	16.33	.9
Third foot.......................	19.17	18.63	1.08
Fourth foot......................	16.21	15.48	.73

These differences, it will be noted, are much smaller than in
the case cited above. But let it be observed that the difference in
the surface foot here is very much larger than there, and it is the
shortage of water in this layer which is chiefly responsible for the
difference in growth shown in the figure.

The character of the mulch, also, has an important influence on the amount of water which is permitted to escape through it. Thus, it was found that when the same soil was covered to a depth

Fig. 25.   Difference in growth of corn where there is a difference of
3 per cent of soil moisture in the surface foot.

of 2 inches with mulches of different kinds, the observed loss of water per 100 days was as stated below:

INCHES

				INCHES
Through 2-inch mulch of coarse sand				1.1
" " " " black marsh soils..............................				3.9
" " " ' fine clay loam.................................				3.9
" " " " dry peat..				2
" " " " clay loam, crumb-form........................				2.8

From these results it is seen that a coarse-grained texture produces a better mulch than one extremely fine ; that is, the loss of water by evaporation through the coarsest sand was less rapid than it was through the fine sand, and it was more rapid through the finely powdered clay loam than it was through the same soil left in the crumbled condition in which we usually find it when the soil is in good tilth.   The small loss from the peat mulch, too, was due largely to the fact that it did not rub down to a fine texture.

Just why this law holds for soil mulches cannot now be stated, except that it seems evident that the water is not lost by direct evaporation at the surface of the damp soil, for in that case we should expect the largest losses to take place from the mulches having the most open structure, and the least when the diameter

of the pore spaces is smallest, but which observation proves not to be true. The only explanation which now occurs to the writer for the law is, that even in the air-dry condition of soil, the film of moisture still investing the soil grains, although so extremely thin, is subject to the same disturbance by evaporation at the exposed surface that it is when that film is much thicker, as in the case of soils containing the right amount of moisture for plant growth, and when evaporation from the surface takes place rapidly.

Earth mulches lose in effectiveness with age.—When a good earth mulch has been developed, it does not remain equally effective for an indefinite period, even if no rain falls upon it. This is particularly true early in the season, when the amount of soil moisture is high, and when it tends to creep into the lower part of the mulch, saturating it and causing the open texture to disappear by breaking down the crumb structure, and thus restoring the original and normal capillary power. A soil mulch developed to a depth of two or three inches thus grows gradually thinner with age by reverting to the original condition. This being true, it is necessary, when the greatest protection is desired, to repeat the stirring of the soil as often as observation shows that its effectiveness has been impaired.

Mulches that are not made from soil.—By far the largest part of the protection offered against the loss of water by surface evaporation from the soil is and must be furnished by mulches developed from the soil itself. But it should be understood that all vegetation growing upon the surface of a field, whether it completely covers the ground or not, exerts a protective influence, tending to diminish the loss of water from the surface of the ground. This protection comes partly from shading the ground, partly from a reduction of the wind velocity close to the surface, and partly from the tendency of vegetation, by the transpiration from its foliage, to saturate the air with moisture, and so reduce the rate of evaporation which otherwise would be possible.

Even in pastures where the grass is short, if it is only close and completely covers the ground with its foliage, the mulching influence is marked. Hence, in order to get the largest returns

J

from the natural rainfall on pasture land, great care should be taken to keep it in such condition that the whole surface is well and closely covered with vegetation. Of course, the same remarks apply to meadow lands.

Too close pasturing is very wasteful in every way. The animals themselves are not fed properly, the grass is not permitted to have foliage enough for the most vigorous growth, and so much of the surface of the ground is exposed to the sun that evaporation directly from the soil is rapid and a dead loss, not only doing no good in itself, but throwing out of use the upper layer of soil, in which the nitrifying processes should be permitted to go forward rapidly, because it is too dry for them.

The surface dressing of meadows with a good coating of farmyard manure, and then harrowing this thoroughly to spread it evenly over the surface, is extremely beneficial, not simply because of the plant-food which it contains, but because of the mulching effect which it furnishes to shade the naked spots of soil and those which are only thinly covered. When this dressing is applied very early, and is early spread over the surface, while the soil is yet damp, it, of course, does the most good, both as a mulch and as a plant-food ; for then fermentation goes on better in the manure, and the moisture dissolves out the soluble parts and conveys it to the roots of the grass. Then, too, in the case of thin meadows, if new grass and clover seed are added at the same time, before the harrowing, much of it will be sufficiently covered by the harrowing and shaded by the manure to allow it to germinate, and thus thicken up the meadow and bring it back to its proper condition.

Harrowing and rolling small grain after it is up.— When the ground is closely covered with plants, as in the case of oats, wheat and barley sowed broadcast or in close drills, advantage has sometimes been found in either harrowing the ground or in rolling it for the express purpose of changing the character of the surface. The changes thus wrought have sometimes a double effectiveness, in that a thin mulch is produced which in a meas- ure reduces the direct loss of water through the surface soil by evaporation from it ; and in breaking up a crust which forms

over plowed fields when a considerable evaporation has taken
place from the wet surface, and which, on account of the shrink-
age and of the salts brought to the surface by the soil water, tend
to close up the soil pores, and thus interfere with the proper
entrance of air to it, which is essential to the best results. Roll-
ing in such cases will seldom do much good, except where the
ground was left somewhat uneven at the time of seeding, either
by the drill ridges or by those left by the harrow, or unless there
are many small lumps, which the rolling tends to break down,
forming from them and the ridges, or both, a thin mulch. The
harrowing in such cases has a wider range than rolling, and is
often likely to be more effective. But neither of these treat-
ments should be given except when the soil of the field is dry
and crumbly at the surface, for otherwise no mulch will be formed,
and the effect would be to increase rather than diminish the loss
of water from the soil by surface evaporation from it.

4. *Early Tillage to Conserve Moisture*

It has already been pointed out that tillage to
conserve moisture is most useful in humid climates
when it is applied as early in the season as the condi-
tion of the soil will admit. But the case is stated in
the most general terms when it is said that tillage,
to save moisture, should be given to the soil just as
soon after the wetting of the surface as it is possi-
ble to do so without puddling or otherwise injuring
its texture.

Let it be fully understood that tillage to save soil
moisture is concerned almost wholly with the saving
of that which has penetrated the soil to a depth exceed-
ing that of the mulch developed by stirring, As a
thoroughly effective soil mulch cannot be readily made
having a depth less than 2 to 3 inches, it follows that

tillage to conserve soil moisture is chiefly concerned
with saving moisture which has penetrated the ground
to a depth exceeding 2.5 to 3 or more inches. The
moisture which is caught and held by the soil closer
to the surface than stated must usually be taken up
directly by the surface feeding roots, or it must be
lost by surface evaporation.

When the snows and frosts of winter have melted,
and the earliest spring rains have come, the soil is
usually left so moist as to be fully saturated with
water to a depth exceeding 1, 2, and even 3 feet,
according as the snows or rains have been copious or
light. At the same time, the texture of the surface
soil has been so changed as to place it in the very
best possible condition for rapidly conveying the deeper
soil-water to the surface, where, if the sun shines and
a brisk, dry wind is blowing, it will be lost with great
rapidity, sometimes in single exceptionally favorable
days amounting to 2, 3, and even 4 pounds per square
foot per day, equivalent to more than 40, 60 and 80
tons per acre.

But these high rates of loss are not maintained,
fortunately, for long periods of time, even when there
has been no effort made to prevent them. We have,
however, measured losses during seven days amounting
to 9.13 pounds per square foot, or at a daily rate of
1.3 pounds; and in four days a rate as high as 1.77
pounds per square foot. Under extremely favorable
conditions, and where the surface of the soil was kept
continuously wet, we have measured a mean daily loss
by evaporation as great as 2.37 pounds for fine sand,

and 2.05 pounds for a clay loam, per day and per square foot.

As soon as the surface of the soil becomes air-dry, the rate of evaporation from it is very much slower, for in this condition it does not conduct the water upward as rapidly as when nearly saturated. Early tillage contributes to this end, and thus greatly diminishes the losses which would occur early in the season.

There is no tool made which produces a more effective mulch than the common plow, which cuts off completely a layer of soil of the depth desired and lays it down bottom up in a loose, crumbled condition, reducing the capillary conducting power to the minimum. It is not possible, however, to use the plow as early in the season as some of the other tools, like the harrow; neither is it possible to cover the ground as rapidly with it. Further than this, it is often undesirable to stir the soil as deep as it must be worked with the plow, in order to make a good mulch; and so one or another form of harrow is used instead.

When small grains are sowed on fall plowing, or on corn or potato ground without plowing, it is important to start the surface-working tools at the very earliest possible moment, not simply to save moisture by developing a mulch, but to aërate and warm up the surface soil, so that the nitrates may begin to be developed and placed in readiness for the crop which is to follow. It is this saving of moisture, and the early and abundant development of soluble plant-food, which is invariably associated with and the

direct result of a thorough preparation of the seed-
bed, which has always led the most successful farmers
to insist upon the importance of a good seed-bed.

Let it be remembered that it is the early stirring
of the soil, rather than the early planting of the seed,
which is the all-important point to be insisted upon.
Nothing is gained by putting seed in a soil which is
too cold ; but several days may often be saved in bring-
ing the soil to the right temperature by stirring a suf-
ficient depth of it for the seed-bed, and getting rid
of the surplus water which it contains by cutting it
loose from the wet soil below, and at the same time
concentrating the heat from the sun in this stirred
layer, because loosening it has made it a poor con-
ductor to the unstirred cold soil below it.

Even when ground is not to be planted until quite
late, as in the case of corn and potatoes, it is a far
better practice to plow as early as other labor will per-
mit, than to leave it unstirred until near the planting
time, because the early fitting develops plant-food and
gets it in readiness for the crop ; because it saves
moisture ; because it prevents clods from forming, and
insures a more perfect tilth, and because it allows one
and sometimes two crops of weeds to be killed before
the planting.   This last advantage is a very important
one, because weeds can be killed much more cheaply
and effectively when there is nothing on the ground
in the way, and because it is a very wasteful practice
to permit weeds to start in a field, to use up both the
moisture and the plant-food which will be needed by
the crop.   It is much better to plant late, and take

time enough to have everything in the best possible
condition, than to rush the seed in early and expect
to do the fitting and weed-killing afterward.

The importance of observing the practice here
pointed out increases more and more as we pass from
the more humid climates to the semi-humid ones.
Be it remembered that it is important not simply from
the soil-moisture side, but from the plant-food side as
well; for plant-food cannot be developed in the soil
without the right conditions of moisture, temperature
and air, all of which are secured by early, thorough and
frequent tillage before the seed is in the ground.

5. *The Danger of Plowing Under Green Manures*

In both humid and sub-humid climates, where irri-
gation is not practiced, the use of green crops for ma-
nures in the spring cannot be looked upon as always
a rational practice, unless it be on grounds which are
naturally sub-irrigated, or for other reasons are natu-
rally too wet. The difficulties standing in the way of
this practice are these: If the green manure crop
should be rye, or anything of that character, its ten-
dency to remove from the soil all of the nitrates and
other soluble plant-foods as rapidly as they can be
formed leaves the soil for the time being impover-
ished; and it can be readily understood that if another
crop like corn or potatoes is put at once upon the
ground, in weather when germination takes place
quickly, this crop would find itself placed under con-
ditions in which it will be forced to wait, or at best to

grow slowly, until time enough shall have elapsed for
the processes of fermentation to be set up in the green
crop which shall reconvert it into available plant-
food. But if the spring should chance to be a dry
one, so that the crop of green manure has itself left
the soil deficient in moisture, or if the capacity of the
soil for moisture is naturally small, then there will be
present in the soil neither moisture enough to make
the green crop turned under ferment rapidly, nor to
enable the planted crop to make the best growth, even
where there is an abundance of plant-food in the
soil.

The sowing of a catch crop in the fall in humid
climates is not open to the same objection, for then
this crop has a tendency to gather up available ni-
trates which develop during the warm part of the fall,
after the crop has been taken off the ground, and to
carry them through the winter in an insoluble form,
so that they are not lost by drainage. But to bring
them into requisition, especially if the season or soil
is at all dry, it is important that this should be turned
under early, and a sufficient interval of time allowed
to intervene for fermentation to take place before the
seed of the new crop is put upon the ground.

In sub-humid climates, on soils that are not sub-
ject to washing, it is very doubtful if there is any
advantage to be gained from catch crops, as such,
even when sown in the fall ; for in those cases there is
neither winter nor spring leaching of the soil, and as
there is naturally a deficiency of soil moisture, the indi-
cations are that very early fall plowing, to develop a

new mulch to lessen further evaporation during the
fall and winter, and to permit nitrification in the fall to
be carried forward, is likely to leave the soil in a much
better condition for the next season, both as to moisture
and available nitrates, than could be hoped for by the
other method.

It is not only difficult to get a good catch crop in the
fall on account of deficient moisture, but there is during
the growing season of the sub-humid climate so little
moisture that a rapid rate of nitrification in the soil
is impossible, and hence all the time which can be had
for this purpose is needed in order to have enough
nitrates developed for the crop the next year.

6. *Summer Fallowing in Relation to Soil Moisture*

The old practice of summer fallowing, which it has
been the fashion for writers on agricultural chemistry
to discourage of late years, has really much more of
merit in it, as indeed practical experience has proved,
than has been recently taught. It is not here intended
to convey the idea that there are not soils and climates
in which, in the majority of seasons, it would be better
not to summer fallow, on account of there being danger
of an excessive development of nitrates, which would be
lost by drainage ; but there is much to suggest that in
rich soils which are usually deficient in soil moisture,
as in many sub-humid sections, there is not mois-
ture enough in a single year to develop the requisite
amount of plant-food and to mature the crop as well,
and hence, that some form of summer fallowing, or

practice which is equivalent to it in effect, will be found to give better results than steady cropping, either with or without catch crops.

INFLUENCE OF SUMMER FALLOWING ON SOIL MOIS-
TURE AND ON PLANT-FOOD

In a study on the influence of summer fallowing on the water content of the soil, it was found that the effect still showed, even at the end of the following season, after a crop had been matured on the ground. In order to show how great this influence may be, the results of the study are cited here, giving first the condition of the soil in the spring, when the fallowing experiment was begun. The results cited are from three adjacent plots, the middle plot being the one bearing the crop. The table which follows shows the water content of the plots as given by three determinations, on May 22, June 11, and June 17, the averages being given in every case, and the data from the two fallow plots being combined:

	Ground to be left fallow PER CENT	Ground not to be left fallow PER CENT
0–12 inches	23.63	21.49
12–18 "	19.78	18.57
24–30 "	18.06	18.13
36–42 "	15.50	17.48
48–52 "	19.03	18.91
Mean	19.20	18.92

Here it will be seen that there is a slight tendency for the ground left fallow to be a little wetter than that which was to bear the crop, but this difference is not as large as the table shows, because the fallowing effect had begun to show its influence somewhat when the last two sets of samples were taken, corn having already begun to grow upon the intervening plot.

At the end of the growing season, August 24, the difference

in the water content of the soil under the two treatments was
found to be as given in the table below :

	Fallow ground No crop	Not fallow ground Corn	Not fallow ground rear bv Timothy and bluegrass	Clover in pasture
	PER CENT	PER CENT	PER CENT	PER CENT
0–6 inches	16.23	6.97	6.55	8.39
6–12 "	17.74	7.8	7.62	8.48
12–18 "	19.88	11.6	11.49	12.42
18–24 "	19.84	11.98	13.58	13.27
24–30 "	18.56	10.84	13.26	13.52
40–43 "	15.9	4.17	18.51	9.53

In the first half of this table, where the soils are closely
similar and entirely comparable in every way, it will be seen
that the ground bearing no crop is much more moist than is
that on which the corn was grown; and since a good degree of
moisture in the surface foot of soil is absolutely indispensable
to the processes which develop the available nitrates, it can readily
be seen how much more favorable were the conditions for the for-
mation of nitrates on the fallow ground than they were on the
ground which was not fallow. In the last two columns of the
table, there has been set down, for the sake of comparison, the
results of moisture determinations at corresponding depths on
lands bearing pastured clover in one case and hay in the other.
These samples were taken from essentially the same kinds of soil,
and but a short distance from where the other samples were
taken, and illustrate in a very forcible manner how thoroughly
the surface foot of soil in a dry time loses its moisture when it
is occupied by a crop, and how unfavorable are the conditions
for nitrification in the soil when compared with those offered by
the fallow ground.

In the following spring, after the frost was out of the ground,
and the fall and winter rains and snows had given their moisture
to the plots under experiment, samples of soil were again taken,
to learn what the relative conditions were at this time, and the
results found are given in the table below, where both the per-

centage of water in the soil and the number of pounds of water per cubic foot are given :

Table showing the water content in the spring, in soil which the year before had been fallow and not fallow

Depth of sample	Fallow PER CENT	Not fallow PER CENT	Difference PER CENT	Fallow LBS.	Not fallow LBS.	Difference LBS.
First foot......	19.43	16.61	2.82	15.01	12.83	2.18
Second foot....	20.55	17.76	2.79	16.4	14.17	2.23
Third foot.....	18.56	16.09	2.47	17.47	15.15	2.32
Fourth foot....	17.78	15.11	2.67	17.44	14.82	2.62
Sum				66.32	56.97	9.35

This table shows that the fallow ground starts out in the spring with 9.35 pounds of water to the square foot more than the ground not fallow did in its upper four feet, besides having a much higher percentage of available nitrogen in the soil. How much greater the available nitrogen was is not known, except that in another trial, ground which had been fallow the year before produced practically the same yield as did a strip which received a good dressing of farmyard manure.

At the end of harvest the same year, samples of soil were again taken on the ground which had been fallow and on that which had not been fallow, the results standing as shown below:

Table showing the water content of soil at the end of harvest, which the preceding year had been fallow, and had not been fallow

Depth of sample	Ground with oats			Ground with barley		
	Fallow LBS.	Not fallow LBS.	Difference LBS.	Fallow LBS.	Not fallow LBS.	Difference LBS.
First foot	6.01	3.74	2.27	9.06	7.08	1.98
Second foot	9.65	4.45	5.20	11.90	10.10	1.80
Third foot......	9.54	9.30	.24	12.48	10.60	1.88
Fourth foot.....	8.93	8.43	.50	14.07	11.52	2.55
Sum..	34.13	25.92	8.21	47.51	39.30	8.21

The data of this table show very clearly that summer fallowing exerts a marked influence upon the relation of the soil to

water, and one which is great enough to modify the water con-
tent of the soil throughout the whole of the following season under
crop. The table shows that where oats were grown, the soil,
when the crop had been harvested, contained 8.21 pounds of
water per square foot, or 1.57 inches more than did the ground
which had not been summer fallowed the year before. The same
difference also existed on the barley ground, and in both cases
notwithstanding the fact that larger yields of both straw and
grain had been produced on the fallow ground.

7. *The Old System of Intertillage*

The old system of horse-hoeing, introduced by
Jethro Tull in England, and modified by Hunter, and
still later by Smith, at Lois-Weedon, has much to rec-
ommend it on fertile soils, in which there is a deficiency
of soil moisture, as is the case in the sub-humid
regions of this country. Tull was a close observer,
and early learned to appreciate the great advantage
of thorough tillage, not only in conserving soil mois-
ture, but also in developing available plant-food. He
strongly advocated planting in drills, so as to admit
of thorough and frequent stirring of the soil and with
the aid of the horse.

Hunter modified Tull's system by laying out his
fields in strips about 9 feet wide, every other one of
which was sown, while the intermediate ones were
left naked, and were frequently cultivated through the
season, and kept free from weeds. In the fall of the
year the bare strips were sown, and the others, which
had borne the crop, were plowed up and tilled in a
similar manner. His method amounted to a system

of summer fallowing, as that practice is now generally understood, except that it possessed one important advantage : namely, his strips being so narrow, and hence so numerous, that both the moisture saved by the tillage and the nitrates developed became available to the plants growing along the margin. Further than this, a part of the rain which fell upon the strips, both by its lateral capillary movement and by the development of roots into this unoccupied ground, contributed to the growth of the crop as though it had been partially irrigated, or its rainfall had been increased, which in fact it had.

The Rev. Mr. Smith, at Lois-Weedon, in Northamptonshire, raised wheat very successfully by still a different modification of Tull's idea. His practice was to sow about one peck of seed to the acre, by dropping the grains 3 inches apart in three rows 1 foot apart, and leaving a space 3 feet wide unplanted between each group of three rows. These strips were thoroughly tilled until the wheat was in bloom, and kept free from weeds. He even went to the extent of trenching the naked strip, bringing up some of the subsoil and putting the surface loam into the trenches. By his thorough tillage, thorough aëration and conservation of soil moisture, he was able to maintain a yield of 18 to 20 bushels per acre without manure.

These cases of old and now generally abandoned practice are called up here because they involve a principle which, when correctly applied, is of great importance in sub-humid climates, where water for irrigation is not available. The principle referred to

is that of using the rain which falls upon an acre of
ground to produce a crop on one-half of that same
area. For this, as a matter of fact, was the essential
thing which the Lois-Weedon system did. It is evi-
dent enough that in a country where the rain which
falls is only one-half the amount which is needed to
produce remunerative crops, if that water can be
brought to use on one-half of the area, then a fair
crop on one-half of the ground may reasonably be
expected.

The important matter, then, is to devise a system
of planting for the various crops which shall permit
the rain which falls upon the unused area to be
brought within reach of the. plants growing upon the
occupied ground. For all crops which are grown in
hills or in rows, like maize, potatoes, and various
vegetables, the problem is simple enough, as it resolves
itself into the single question of how many plants can
be matured upon the ground with the available water,
allowing for unavoidable losses. This fixes the dis-
tance between the rows and the distance between the
hills in the row. In countries where there is an
abundance of water, or where irrigation is practiced,
plants may be brought so close together that the limit-
ing factor is amount of sunshine, or available plant-
food in the soil, or air about the plant; but in sub-
humid regions, the limiting factor is water alone, and
the distance between plants must be made such, if
necessary, that the roots of one will not encroach upon
the feeding ground of another.

The roots of the maize plant commonly spread

laterally to a distance of 3.5 to 4.5 feet; hence, if
necessary, the rows of corn might be placed as far as
7 to 8 feet apart, and yet be able to take moisture
from the whole field.   Taking the extreme case of
rows 8 feet apart and plants 2 feet apart in the row,
the number of plants per acre would be 2,725.   Sup-
posing each plant to produce a large stalk and large
ear, the total weight of dry matter for the acre might
be 2,157.5 pounds, giving 18.32 bushels of shelled
corn.   This yield of dry matter per acre would call
for only 2.577 acre-inches of water to produce it, at
the rate of the results which have been obtained from
52 trials in Wisconsin.

Potato roots spread laterally to the distance of 2
to 2.5 feet; hence these might be planted in rows 4
to 5 feet apart without having the roots overlap in
the feeding ground.   The chief advantage of wider
rows for potatoes in the sub-humid climate comes in
its permitting intertillage after the vines have reached
full size, and thus better conserving the scanty mois-
ture, so important in the later development of the
tubers, and which would travel laterally by capillarity
toward the roots in case they did not reach the center.
The table which follows shows the actual distribution
of soil moisture in the upper 18 inches of a potato
field in which the rows extended east and west, and
were planted 3 feet apart, under flat cultivation :

Table showing the distribution of moisture in a potato patch, June 27

Depth of sample	Midway between rows PER CENT	Nine inches south of row PER CENT	In the row PER CENT	Nine inches north of row PER CENT
0-6 inches	23.50	18.37	17.80	23
6-12 "	19.03	18.13	17.40	18.50
12-18 "	20.73	21.43	19.53	21.40
0-18 "	20.99	19.31	18.24	20.97

At the time these determinations were made, the potato vines were about one-half full size. It will be seen that the moisture had been withdrawn from the soil more completely at 18 inches directly below the center of the hill than it had at 18 inches on either side. It does not follow from this, however, that the plants were not receiving important additions of soil moisture from the soil in the center of the row. In our work in irrigating potatoes, where the rows were 30 inches apart, and where ridge culture was adopted, the water being applied in furrows about 9 inches wide, it was found that on the boundary between the irrigated and non-irrigated areas, the second row of potatoes from the last water furrow had its yield increased on the average, in 1897, 7.9 bushels per acre, or 3.2 per cent of the yield of merchantable tubers grown on the land not irrigated. That is to say, the lateral capillary movement of the water in irrigation influenced the yield to that extent through a distance of about 40 inches.

. In the case of corn, the second rows beyond the last irrigating furrow showed the influence of the water to the extent of 2.2 per cent of the non-

irrigated yield, and through a distance of about 58 inches.

Then, again, in the case of some experimental plots of oats which were separated by a naked strip 2 feet wide, and kept free from weeds by surface hoeing, the following distribution of water was found on July 19, 1889:

Table showing distribution of soil moisture in oats and in adjacent fallow strip 2 feet wide

Depth of sample	In oats 2 ft. from path PER CENT	In oats 1 ft. from path PER CENT	At edge of oats PER CENT	In center of path PER CENT	Difference PER CENT
0-6 inches		8.08		11.43	3.35
6-12 "		7.51		11.80	4.29
12-18 "		10.61		15.42	4.81
18-24 "		14.01		18.78	4.77
0-24 "	10.40	10.05	10.70	14.35	

It will be seen from these percentages that there is a very marked higher per cent of water in the fallow strip than there is immediately adjacent to it in the oats, and from this it might be inferred that the oats was not being fed from the fallow strip. This inference, however, would not be correct, for it was found that the yield of oats on a strip 1 foot wide, on the south side of the path, was 39 per cent larger than from a corresponding area in the center of the plot 12 feet wide, while the yield on the north side of the path was 28.7 per cent larger, showing very clearly that there was better feeding in consequence of the narrow 2-foot path.

In view of such facts as these, and practical experi-

ence, it is not unreasonable to expect that where there is a deficiency of water in the soil, the small grains may be sown in narrow strips of 4 to 6 drill rows, 9 inches apart, separated by naked strips 30 inches wide, which may be cultivated to yield up their moisture and developed nitrates to the growing grain on either side, and thus mature heavier crops of well-filled grain than would be possible if the seeds were scattered evenly over the whole surface, none of which could be cultivated.

Such a practice as is here suggested is manifestly summer fallowing, but in a very different way, and for quite a distinct purpose, from that usually had in mind. Of course, it would not be urged, except on soil and in climates in which there is an insufficient supply of soil moisture to mature the crop under ordinary methods of handling. The method, however, has a rational basis for sub-humid climates and for the lighter soils of small water capacity in the more humid climates; but it cannot be hoped that it will, under these conditions, give as large yields per acre when figured upon the whole area as the closer planting on the soils better supplied with soil moisture. Neither can it be expected that crops can be raised as cheaply by this method as by the ordinary methods. All that can be asserted, or can be reasonably expected, is that better crops can be raised by it in sub-humid climates and on the lighter soils in humid climates, than can be raised by the ordinary methods. It is not an easy matter to adapt the method either to growing hay or to maintaining pastures of the ordinary sort.

8. *Frequency of Tillage to Conserve Soil Moisture*

Tillage to conserve soil moisture, like water for irrigation, cannot be applied except at an increased cost of production. Hence, to cultivate a field when there is nothing to be gained from it is to be avoided. In the early part of the growing season, when the soil is so fully charged with moisture that a small rain easily causes the soil granules to coalesce and destroy the effectiveness of mulches, it is often desirable to repeat the cultivation or harrowing as often as there has been a shower of sufficient intensity to establish good capillary connection between the stirred and unstirred soil.

It is often of the greatest importance that this reëstablishment of the mulch should take place at the earliest possible moment, not only because of the rapid loss of water from wet surfaces, but because of the fact that, when the surface soil has reached a certain degree of dryness while the deeper soil is yet wet, the moisture of the surface layer so strengthens the upward movement of soil moisture into that layer that not only is all of the rain held at the surface, but a very considerable amount of the deeper soil water is brought there also. Our studies have proved, both by observation and by repeated experiment, that wetting the surface of the ground may leave the deeper soil actually dryer than it was before, and if the new mulch is not early developed the rain may leave the surface four feet dryer than it would have been had the rain not occurred.

Then, too, in the early part of the year, there are so many advantages to be gained through frequent stirring of the soil, other than the saving of moisture, that the slightest reason for going over the ground again should lead to its being done. But as the season advances, and the soil has become dryer to considerable depths, then the desirability of frequent stirrings of the surface to develop or restore the texture of the mulch, is much less. This is so, partly because when the surface of the ground is dry, it is an excellent mulch, even though it is quite firm and close in texture ; but also, because the smaller showers

of the later season are largely retained very close to the surface, so that stirring the surface may hasten the evaporation of it, and at the same time prevent a part of it from being conducted downward into the soil by capillarity.

Further than this, in the latter part of the season many plants in humid climates put out new roots, which reach up extremely close to the surface, in order to take advantage of the showers whose waters are retained there; and tillage at once after a rain may do positive injury to the crop, by destroying these roots before they have conveyed the soil moisture to the plant, heavily laden with plant-food, as it is likely to be under these conditions.

9. *Proper Depth of Surface Tillage and Ridged or Flat Cultivation*

It will be readily inferred, from what has already been said, that the best depth of tillage will vary with the season. Early in the season it should almost invariably be deep, not less than 2 to 3 inches, but rarely should it be deeper than this. The deep stirring in the spring is to develop fertility by thoroughly aërating the soil and making it warm, so that the nitrates are rapidly formed. Later in the season the cultivation should become more and more shallow, until, as already pointed out, it should be finally abandoned altogether.

When it is stated that the early tillage should have a depth of 2 to 3 inches, this should be understood as meaning that the whole surface of ground not occupied by the plants should be stirred to this depth, and some tool which actually displaces the whole of the soil to a uniform depth does the best work. As a rule, the field should not be furrowed with deep grooves and ridges, for this method early dries out too large a volume of the soil, and thus lessens its productive power. Indeed, it should always be kept in mind that the surface soil in humid climates is the most valuable soil of the field; and for this reason, after the period of stirring for fertility is passed, as little should be moved and allowed to become dry as will answer the needs of the mulch, because in this condition the soil is valueless in plant feeding.

Throwing a field into ridges with deep furrows between, as is done with some of the wide-shovel cultivators, and as used to be done generally in laying corn by, has little to recommend it except on flat fields of stiff, heavy soil, in wet climates or seasons. The chief objection to the ridges and furrows is that they greatly increase the evaporating surface and the amount of soil which is thrown out of use. In the case of potatoes, however, especially on the heavy soils, the last cultivation should be to hill them in order to form a loose, deep, mellow soil, in which the tubers may form and expand without meeting with excessive resistance. Indeed, it is quite doubtful whether there are many soils in which potatoes will not do better if hilled to some extent the last thing before the vines spread to cover the ground. The earlier cultivation should by all means be flat.

10. *Rolling in Relation to Soil Moisture*

The roller has an extensive use in many localities in fitting land for crops in the spring or fall. It should be understood, however, that when the surface of a field is finished with a heavy roller, it is left in a condition in which its moisture will be rapidly lost, and for several reasons :

1. Firming the surface reëstablishes the capillary connection with the soil below, and the moisture is brought to the surface quickly from depths as great as four feet. The appearance to the eye is that the ground is made more moist, and so it is at the surface, as a matter of fact, but it must never be forgotten that this is at the expense of moisture stored deep in the ground.

2. Rolling leaves the surface smooth and even, so that it absorbs heat rapidly from the sun on a

clear day, and becomes warmer below the surface than ground not rolled. This hastens the rate of evaporation from the surface. Then, too, this smooth surface allows the wind velocity to be much greater close to the ground, and on this account the loss of water is increased.

It is often desirable to use the heavy roller in fitting ground for seed, and sometimes for the express purpose of bringing an increased amount of moisture to the seed, in order to hasten or to ensure germination when the soil has become dry. But when this has been found desirable, the roller should immediately be followed with a light harrow, in order to restore a thin mulch, which shall check the loss by evaporation from the surface without at the same time preventing the rise of water from below to moisten the soil about the seed.

The press-drill, which has been invented to assist germination, and avoid some of the bad effects of the roller, is a tool employing a sound principle. The seed is well covered to begin with, and then the soil directly above it is firmed by the press-wheel, while the intervening soil is left loose, to act as a mulch and diminish the loss of water, which would be inevitable with the roller. This tool, however, has a much safer application in the sub-humid regions than it has in the East, where the soil in the spring is naturally more moist, and where, for this reason, there is danger of the seed being so closely covered that an insufficient amount of air gets to it to enable it to germinate properly.

11. *Lessening Destructive Effects of Winds*

In sub-humid climates, especially like those of our
western prairies, where there is a high mean wind
velocity, and in the level districts of humid climates,
where the soils are light and sandy, with a small
water capacity, and which are lacking in adhesive
quality, the fields may suffer greatly at times, not
only from excessive loss of moisture, but the soil itself
may be greatly damaged by drifting caused by the
winds.   Under such conditions, it is a matter of great
importance that the wind velocities close to the sur-
face should be reduced as much as possible.

We have, in Wisconsin, extensive areas of light
lands which almost every year suffer severely from
the drifting action of the winds.   On these lands,
wherever broad open fields lie unsheltered by any
windbreak, the clearing west and northwest winds
which follow storms not only rapidly dry out the soil,
but often sweep entirely away crops of grain after
they are 4 inches high, uncovering the roots by the
removal of 1 to 3 inches of the surface soil.   It has
been observed, however, in these districts, that where-
ever there are windbreaks of any sort, even such slight
barriers as fences and even fields of grass, a marked
protection against drifting has been experienced for
several hundred feet to the leeward of them.

In the case of groves, hedgerows, and fields of
grass, the protection results partly from their ten-
dency to render the air which passes across them more
moist, and partly by lessening the surface velocity of

the wind. The writer has observed that when the rate of evaporation at 20, 40, and 60 feet to the lee-ward of a grove of black oak 15 to 20 feet high was 11.5 c.c., 11.6 c.c., and 11.9 c.c., respectively, from a wet surface of 27 square inches, it was 14.5, 14.2 and 14.7 c.c., at 280, 300 and 320 feet distant, or 24 per cent greater at the three outer stations than at the nearer ones. So, too, a scanty hedge-row produced observed differences in the rate of evaporation as fol-lows, during an interval of one hour :

At 20 feet from the hedge-row the evaporation was 10.3 c.c.
At 150 "   "   "   "   "   "   "   12.5 c.c.
At 300 "   "   "   "   "   "   "   13.4 c.c.

Here the drying effect of the wind at 300 feet was 30 per cent greater than at 20 feet, and 7 per cent greater than at 150 feet from the hedge.

Then, too, when the air came across a clover field 780 feet wide the observed rates of evaporation were :

At 20 feet from clover . . . . 9.3 c.c.
At 150   "   "   "   . . . . 12.1 c.c.
At 300   "   "   "   13   c.c.

Or 40 per cent greater at 300 feet away than at 20 feet, and 7.4 per cent greater than at 150 feet.

The protective influence of grass lands, and the dis-advantage of very broad fields on these light lands, was further shown by the increasingly poorer stand of young clover as the eastern margin of these fields was approached, even when the drifting had been inappre-ciable. Below are given the number of clover plants

per equal areas on three different farms as the distance
to the eastward of the grass fields increased :   No. 1, at
50 feet, 574 plants; at 200 feet, 390 plants; at 400 feet,
231 plants.   No. 2, at 100 feet, 249 plants; at 200 feet,
277 plants ; at 400 feet, 193 plants ; at 600 feet, 189
plants ; at 800 feet, 138 plants ; and at 1,000 feet, 48
plants.   No. 3, at 50 feet, 1,130 plants; at 400 feet, 600
plants; at 700 feet, 543 plants.

In these cases the difference in stand appears to
have resulted from an increasing drying action of the
wind.   On most of the fields, the destructive effects
of the winds were very evident to the eye, and aug-
mented as the distance from the windbreaks increased.

It appears from these observations, and from the
protection against drifting which is afforded by grass
fields, hedgerows, and groves, that a system of rotation
should be adopted, on such lands, which avoids broad,
continuous fields.   The fields should be laid out in nar-
row lands, and alternate ones kept in clover or grass.
Windbreaks of suitable trees must also have a beneficial
effect upon the crops when maintained along fields, rail·
roads, and wagon roads in such places as have been
described, and especially in the prairie sections of the
sub-humid regions, where irrigation cannot be prac-
ticed.   It is, of course, true that trees on the margins
of fields sap the soil in their immediate vicinity, and
thus reduce the yield there ; but it seems more than
probable that in open, windy sections their protective
influence, which it has been shown they exert, will
much more than compensate for this where there is a
general deficiency of soil moisture.

CHAPTER IV

THE INCREASE IN YIELD DUE TO IRRIGATION IN HUMID CLIMATES

IN order to know how important the right amount of soil moisture, applied at the right time, is, and in order to know whether it will pay to irrigate in humid climates, it is necessary to learn what yields are possible under the best conditions when the crop must depend upon the natural rainfall, and, side by side with these in time and place, to measure the possible increase in yield due to irrigation, if any there be.

When the study of the importance of soil moisture, and the principles underlying the methods of saving and utilizing it, were begun at the Wisconsin station in 1888, it very early became evident that, in order to learn just how important it is in plant culture to conserve the soil moisture, some method must be adopted which would permit of giving to the plants under investigation all the water they can use to advantage. This led to the series of experiments which have been recorded in the introductory chapter, aiming to measure the amount of water which different cultivated plants can use under the conditions of field life. But when the results attained under the methods there used showed that such large yields are possible, it became

important to supplement the rainfall under wholly
normal field conditions, to see if there would then be
any notable increase over the yields produced under
the natural field conditions.   This led to a series of
experiments to be conducted parallel with those on till-
age, to learn how far short of possible yields our actual
ones are when secured under the best moisture relations
at our command ; and irrigation experiments as checks
on our tillage experiments were begun, the results of
which it is important to state.

In conducting these control experiments on irriga-
tion, the aim has been to treat the crop growing under
the conditions of the normal rainfall and under those
of the rainfall supplemented by irrigation, exactly
alike in every way until it became apparent that more
water might be used with advantage, when water was
applied to the control plots as often as it seemed de-
sirable.   No other elements of difference have been
introduced than those growing out of applying the
additional water.

IMPORTANCE OF THE AMOUNT AND DISTRIBUTION OF
WATER IN POTATO CULTURE, AND THE ADVANTAGE
OF IRRIGATION IN CLIMATES LIKE WISCONSIN

There have been two seasons' work with this crop, 1896 and
1897, and both years the potatoes have been planted in rows 30
inches apart and in hills 15 inches in the row, or else twice that
distance.   The ground in each case was given a good dressing of
farmyard manure, plowed in 6 inches deep.   Large tubers were
used for seed, cut two eyes to the piece, and planted with hoe
about 3 inches deep, and the ground harrowed after planting.

The Rural New-Yorker has been the chief variety grown, but each year an unnamed variety of the Burbank type has been used to finish out the piece.

The potatoes were planted about the middle of May each year,

Fig. 26. Difference in yield between Rural New-Yorker potatoes, irrigated and not irrigated, in 1896.

Fig. 27. Difference in yield between potatoes of Burbank type irrigated and not irrigated, in 1896.

Fig. 28. Difference in yield between Rural New-Yorker potatoes, irrigated and not irrigated, in 1897.

and given flat cultivation after every rain, or oftener, until the vines were so large as nearly to cover the ground, when they were hilled with a double shovel plow drawn through the center of each row, forming ridges about 5 inches high, the nose of the shovel passing about 3 inches below the surface of the ground.

The amounts of rainfall and of water applied by irrigation are given in the table below:

┌──────Rainfall──────┐			┌──────Water of irrigation──────┐	
	1896	1897	1896	1897
	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES
May....	6.11	.51	May	May ....
June....	2.25	4.08	June......	June...... ....
July....	3.42	1.79	July 10.... 2.15	July 20.... 2.45
Aug. ...	2.43	3.7	July 21.... 2.15	Aug. 18 ... 2.45
Sept....	3.73	1.73	Aug. 3 .... 2.15	Sept. 8 .... 2.45
			Aug. 10 ... 2.15	
			Sept. 3.... 2.15	
Sum.	17.94	11.76	10.75	7.35

The distribution of the rainfall during the season can be learned from the table given on page 108. It will be seen that in 1896 the irrigated potatoes had 10.75 inches, and in 1897 7.35 inches, more water than the potatoes grown under the natural rainfall conditions.

These differences in the amount of water produced differences of yield, which are shown below in the table, and graphically to the eye in Figs. 26, 27 and 28. To eliminate the effects of varying soil conditions, the water was applied to alternate groups of 6 to 10 rows, with corresponding intervening groups of rows which received no water. There were 16 of these plots in 1896 and 22 in 1897, making 38 trials in all, in which there were grown a total of 555 bushels of potatoes, or 33,304.4 pounds.

Table showing yield per acre of potatoes irrigated and not irrigated in Wisconsin

RURAL NEW-YORKER

	┌──────Irrigated──────┐		┌──────Not irrigated──────┐	
	Large	Small	Large	Small
	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.
1896......................	382	12.2	280.3	10.2
1897......................	365.8	9.1	239.6	9.7

BURBANK TYPE

	Large	Small	Large	Small
1896......................	220	22.7	141.5	16.2
1897......................	302	16.8	184.9	19.78
Mean	317.5	15.2	211.6	14
Difference	105.9	1.2		

There is thus shown a difference of 105.9 bushels of merchantable tubers per acre, as an average of two years, in favor of the larger water supply.

EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTING THE RAINFALL IN WISCONSIN FOR CABBAGE CULTURE

In the work with cabbage, the rows were set 30 inches apart, and in half of the area the plants were set 15 inchec apart in the row, and on the balance of the area 30 inches apart, of the variety Fottler's Drumhead. There were, in all, 22 alternating plots of 6 rows each, one half irrigated and the balance not. The soil was a rather heavy clay loam, which had been heavily manured the previous year, and had grown a crop of cabbage and cauliflower, but nothing was added this season. Flat and frequent cultivation was given until the plants were large and nearly covered the ground, July 21, when the first irrigation was made, the irrigated rows being furrowed the same as the potatoes, and not again disturbed.

The mean weight of heads produced under the two treatments was as follows :

	Thin planting		Thick planting	
	Irrigated	Not irrig.	Irrigated	Not irrig.
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.
Firm heads	7.6	6.95	5.13	4.46
Loose heads	4.88	4.33	3.23	2.39

The weight of the heads dressed for market, computed for one acre, was as expressed in the following table:

	Thin planting			Thick planting		
	Irrigated	Not irrig.	Diff.	Irrigated	Not irrig.	Diff.
	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.	LBS.
Firm heads	30,610	29,480	1,130	46,590	40,100	6,490
Loose heads	6,227	4,624	1,603	7,688	5,943	1,745
Total	36,837	34,104	2,733	54,278	46,043	8,235
Leaves and stumps	42,730	39,220	3,510	64,100	57,630	6,470
Grand total	79,567	73,324	6,243	118,378	103,673	14,705
Tons	39.78	36.66	3.12	59.19	51.84	7.35

The amount of water given to this crop was 8.245 inches, in four applications, July 21, Aug. 3 and 10, and Sept. 3, 2.061 inches being applied each time.

The difference between equal numbers of rows of cabbage irrigated and not irrigated is shown in Fig. 29. Were the cabbage grown for green fall and early winter feed for stock it will be seen that the close setting gives a difference in favor of irrigation

Fig. 29.   Difference in yield between cabbage, irrigated and not irrigated

amounting to 7.35 tons per acre. This occurred, too, under conditions in which the plots not irrigated received considerable water from seepage from the heavy irrigation of a piece of meadow.

The same season that these experiments were made with cabbage, similar ones were conducted with mangold-wurzels and with turnips. But while a good yield of beets was secured per acre, namely, 15.7 tons, there was only 18 pounds difference, the six rows of irrigated mangolds yielding 5,100 pounds and those not irrigated 5,082 pounds. The turnips, on account of a blight, did nothing under either treatment, and the same was true for rape.

THE EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTING THE RAINFALL WITH IRRIGATION ON THE YIELD OF CORN

During four consecutive years we have grown corn upon one area, irrigating a part and reserving another part not irrigated, as a check. The soil of this plot is medium clay loam.

Just before beginning the experiments it had been in clover, and was dressed with farmyard manure at the rate of 44 loads per acre before plowing, in the spring of 1894. Since this time it had received no manure or fertilizers of any kind, one object of the experiment being to ascertain whether under irrigation the land rapidly deteriorates in productiveness.

Each season the corn has been planted very close, in rows 30 inches apart and in hills 15 inches in the row, working upon the hypothesis that when an abundance of water is supplied more plants may be grown upon the same area, the hypothesis having been suggested by the large yields universally secured in the experimental cylinders.

The number of stalks in a hill has varied, but usually as many as 3 to 5 stalks have been allowed to mature. Both flint and Pride of the North dent corn have been grown each year, and one season a part of the area was planted with rows 36 instead of 30 inches apart. The table which follows gives the yields of water-free matter per acre, together with the rainfall of the growing season and water added by irrigation:

	Kind of corn	Not Irrigated		Irrigated		Difference	
		Water used	Dry matter	Water used	Dry matter	Water used	Dry matter
		INCHES	LBS.	INCHES	LBS.	INCHES	LBS.
1894	Flint	8.15	7,916	16.76	11,080	8.61	3,164
	Dent		7,426		9,625		2,199
1895	Flint	4.48	2,458	31.08	10,048	26.6	7,590
	Dent		3,144		11,125		7,981
1896	Flint	15.02	8,129	27.07	10,320	12.03	2,191
	Dent		8,450		10,280		1,830
1897	Flint	10.66	6,766	16.36	8,571	5.7	1,805
	Dent		6.853		8,438		1,585

It will be seen, from the data of this table, that there has been during the four years a mean gain due to the increased water supply amounting to 3,543 pounds of water-free substance, while the mean yield under the season's rainfall with the best of tillage has been 6,393 pounds per acre, or an increase of 55 per cent. The smallest mean gain realized in any year has been 24.9 per cent and the largest 278 per cent.

L

In Fig. 30 is shown the difference between the corn on land irrigated and not irrigated in 1895, when there was the largest ob-

Fig. 30.   Difference in yield between maize, thickly seeded, irrigated
and not irrigated, in a dry season.

served difference in the yield.   Fig. 25 shows the difference where the rows are 44 inches apart instead of 30 inches, as in the former case.

THE EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTING THE RAINFALLL WITH IRRIGATION ON THE YIELD OF CLOVER AND HAY

The crop of hay is, perhaps, the one above all others among the general farm crops which may be made to respond most effectively to irrigation in humid climates.   Indeed, it is the chief one in Europe which has been grown by irrigation north of Italy

and southern France.   Reference has already been made to water meadows.

We have shown in another place that the average yield of hay per acre in thirteen states in this country was, for 1879, only 1.1 tons.   It is true, however, that good soils, well managed, may be made to yield most years an average of possibly 1.5 tons per acre. There will be seasons, however, for these soils when the yield will drop back to 1 ton per acre.   Again, those seasons are rare for most soils in the United States which will permit them to produce three-fourths of a ton of hay per acre as a second crop without irrigation.

Our experiments in irrigating clover for a second crop gave 1.798 tons, 2.035 tons, and 1.773 tons of hay, containing 15 per cent of moisture, for the years 1895, 1896, and 1897 respectively. In irrigating the first crop of clover, the yields have been 4.01 tons per acre, in a case of sub-irrigation through tile drains in 1895, and 2.671 and 2.65 tons in 1897, which were surface irrigated, making an average for the two crops of 4.979 tons of hay per acre so thoroughly cured as to contain 85 per cent of dry matter. These results, it should be understood, are derived by making an actual determination of the dry matter in each crop and computing the weights of hay from the amount of dry matter.

It will be observed that these yields are more than four times the mean yield of the thirteen states cited in another place.   In addition to the first and second crops, there has been each time an excellent third crop, which could be used for fall pasture, and easily double in quantity the non-irrigated fall feed of the best seasons.   Fig. 31 is a view of the second crop of 1895, the third crop on the same ground, giving pasture for 58 adult sheep 31 days on 3.2 acres.

A CROP OF BARLEY AND A CROP OF HAY THE SAME SEASON

In the spring of 1897 we seeded a piece of ground to clover with barley, irrigating a part of the barley twice, both to see what the effect would be upon the yield of barley and upon the clover

which had been sown with it.   It so happened that immediately
after each time of irrigating the barley a good rain followed, and
the difference in yield of grain and straw per acre was small, as
stated below:

	Irrigated	Not irrigated	Difference
Air-dry straw—lbs.........	5,735	5,133	602
Air-dry grain—bu.	45.67	44.25	1.42

.But the effect on the clover was very marked.   In order to
bring up the clover on the areas not irrigated, the ground was

Fig. 31.   Second crop of clover hay on irrigated ground.

irrigated immediately after cutting the barley, July 23.   Two other
irrigations were given the ground, and as a result there was a crop
of mixed clover and barley, cut on Sept. 22, which equaled 1.36
tons of hay.   The barley cut with the clover resulted from the
germination of seed which shelled in harvesting the grain, and
was just heading out when it was cut to put into the silo.

   It is very evident, from these results, that it will be possible

to seed clover with either oats or barley, and by cutting the first crop early for hay and then irrigating, a second crop of hay equal at least to one ton per acre may usually be taken, besides making it certain that a good stand of clover is secured for the next year.

THE EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTING THE RAINFALL FOR STRAWBERRIES

The strawberry is a crop which will respond in a marked manner to judicious applications of water in most parts of the United States suited to its growth, as the results secured at this station by Professor Goff clearly show. His yields per acre were:

	Irrigated BU.	Not irrigated BU.	Difference BU.
1894	214.6	109.3	105.3
1895	272.9	32.2	240.7
Mean	243.8	70.8	173

It is here seen that the irrigated yield was more than three times as large as that under natural rainfall conditions ; and not only was the yield this much larger, but the quality of the berries was also improved by the irrigation, they being larger and more salable.

While we are able to cite no critical data regarding the advantage of irrigation in humid climates on blackberries, raspberries, currants and gooseberries, the unquestioned fact that these do very frequently suffer severely from the effects of drought leaves no room to doubt that these, like the strawberries, would be greatly benefited by irrigation in very many seasons.

CLOSER PLANTING MADE POSSIBLE BY IRRIGATION

It has been pointed out that in sub-humid climates the limiting factor which determines the number of plants which may develop to advantage in a given soil is the amount of available moisture ; but that in coun-

tries where there is an abundant and timely distribution
of rain, or where irrigation is practiced, the number
of plants per acre may be so far increased that the
limiting factors become the available plant-food stored
in the soil, the amount of sunshine which falls upon
the area, or the circulation of air about the assimilat-
ing foliage.

It is very evident that were the amount of available
water for crop production the only factor which de-
termines the number of plants which can be grown per
unit area, the methods of irrigation would make it pos-
sible to greatly increase the yield of almost any crop
in the most humid of climates. But there are many
limiting factors which set rigid bounds beyond which
irrigation may not pass.

Sufficient breathing room in the soil.—Since the roots
of all cultivated plants demand free oxygen in the soil
for their respiration, and since not only the possible
quantity of free oxygen in the soil, but the rate at
which it may be supplied, decreases as the quantity of
water in the soil increases, and since the closer the
plants are set upon the ground the more densely crowded
must the roots be in the soil, and the more rapid must
be the interchange of gases between the soil and the
air above in order to meet the increased demands for
growth, it is plain that the demand for free oxygen in
the soil sets a rigid limit beyond which closer planting
must not be pushed.

It must be kept ever in mind that the soil is like a
very poorly ventilated assembly hall, which may easily
be so crowded as not only to produce discomfiture to

its occupants, but disaster as well. Nor do the roots
of the plants which occupy the field constitute the only
demand for free oxygen in the soil, for the various
fermenting germs which transform humus into avail-
able nitrates must have free oxygen, or the all-
important nitric acid cannot be made, and the farm-
yard manures applied to the soil must lie there unal-
tered and of no avail.

Soil temperature reduced by too close planting.—Then,
again, too heavy verdure above the soil so completely
absorbs the heat from the surrounding air and dissi-
pates it again into space, that the soil temperature can-
not rise high enough to produce the maximum rate
of solution and production of plant-food, nor the
maximum root pressure so essential to sending the dis-
solved and prepared food into the foliage above, where
assimilation takes place ; while the humus and ma-
nure-fermenting germs themselves must work the slower
the lower the soil temperature is after it falls below
98° F. It is true that available nitrates may be applied
to the soil direct, and other of the ash ingredients in
soluble form may be added, or the soil may receive
thorough and repeated tillage before the crop is put
upon it, and thus a supply in advance be generated,
which leaves more of the oxygen and of the soil warmth
for the service of the roots; but neither of these con-
ditions can be attained except at added cost.

The sunshine itself is limited.—Even when we come
to the item of sunshine itself, it is easy to so increase
the number of plants that not enough sunshine can be
absorbed to produce normal growth, and a diminished

yield or inferior quality results. The taller the plants which are brought together, the farther apart as a rule must they be placed, in order that sufficient sunlight for the best results can be had. The flint varieties of maize are readily grown closer together than the smaller of the dent varieties, and these, in their turn, may stand closer on the ground than the large southern varieties.

Neither the starches nor the cellulose out of which plant tissues are built can be properly organized and laid down in too feeble a light, for its actinic power is demanded to accomplish this work, just as it is in photography. When it is remembered that an instantaneous exposure of a plate in the bright sunshine may accomplish more chemical change in the negative than can be done in two minutes in the diffused light of a well-lighted room, it can be readily understood that the work of assimilation in the lower leaves in close planting must be greatly enfeebled.

It is for this reason, apparently, that ears will not form on stalks of maize planted too closely, and that they form more abundantly in closer planting on the small, low varieties than on those which are taller.

It is for the same reason, too, that too closely planted crops of almost any kind have weak stems and are unable to stand up well, often lodging ; neither the starches for the kernels, in the former case, nor the cellulose in the latter for the building of the framework, are able to form rapidly, and abnormal growth is the result. Whoever has entered and emerged from a tunnel has been surprised at the short distance from

the mouth at which the tunnel becomes dark ; the re-
peated reflections from the walls soon absorb completely
all of the light which enters. It is the same way with
close planting, especially if the individuals are tall, the
upper parts of the tall plants absorbing just as
much light as the same length of shorter plants, hence
leaving less light to work in the foliage and stems of
the lower parts.

*Possible insufficiency of carbon dioxide in close
planting.*—When a crop like maize, which grows so
tall and spreads its leaves so broadly, is planted closely
it seems not impossible that on days of exceptionally
bright sunshine and when very little wind is moving,
there may be such rapid consumption of carbon dioxide
from the air as to so far reduce its amount that an
inadequate supply may actually reach the plants.

It has been shown on a preceding page that a clover
crop yielding 4,500 pounds of hay per acre demands
for its carbon all of the carbon dioxide contained in a
layer of uniform density covering the acre 3,503 feet
deep. But in the case of a corn crop, in which the yield
of water-free matter has exceeded 14,000 pounds, the
volume of air required to give up its carbon dioxide
must have exceeded that above more than threefold,
or a column of uniform density exceeding 10,509 feet
in height. Fully 80 per cent of this assimilation of
carbon by the corn plant must take place in the 50
days following July 1. Imagine, if you will, a field of
corn 160 rods long and 1 rod wide, enclosed by a
transparent structure having the same floor space and
rising to a height of 10,000 feet, so as to enclose the

volume of air stated above. Now, let this structure be provided with a ceiling without weight, which is lifted as the corn grows in height. This imaginary ceiling is to separate the volume of air stored above from the moving air in the corn field below, and to admit through a changing doorway a steady stream whose cross-section is that of the transverse section of the room occupied by the corn. How rapidly must this stream of air flow in order to discharge 80 per cent of the volume contained in the structure in the sunshine hours of 50 days? The maximum number of sunshine hours in the latitude of New York is about 623. If we suppose the corn to be 1 foot high July 1 and 10 feet high on August 19, the ceiling to have risen uniformly in the meantime, so that the stream of air increased in depth from 1 foot to 10 feet; then, taking the mean depth of the moving air current at 5.5 feet, its hourly velocity, in order to convey the 80 per cent of air across the field, must have been 1.167 miles. On the other hand, let us suppose the corn field to be square, so that the area is as compact as possible, so that a stream of air now about 13 rods wide instead of 1 is passing across it. The required velocity to convey the 80 per cent of air across the field is now only one-ninth of a mile per hour and less than 10 feet per second. Since the yield of dry matter per acre is the largest we have yet raised under field conditions, and the computed velocities above are so small, it does not appear likely that an insufficiency of carbon dioxide in the air can ever be a serious limiting factor to the closeness of planting when irrigation is practiced.

MAXIMUM LIMIT OF PRODUCTIVENESS FOR MAIZE

In order that some idea of the possible maximum yields of maize per acre might be formed, we have gone into the field, when the corn was mature, and selected 40 of the largest stalks bearing the largest ears we could find, and have determined the water-free matter in both ears and stalks, in order to secure a measure of the mean maximum adult plant to use as a basis of computation for this problem. The results were these:

40 stalks of Pride of the North maize contained 15.6 lbs. water-free substance.
40 ears   "   "   "   "   "   "   16.1 "   "   "
40   "   "   "   "   "   "   "   13.7 "   shelled corn.
40   "   "   "   "   "   "   "   2.4 "   cobs.

Using these data, we may compute the maximum possible yields per acre where different degrees of closeness of planting are adopted, supposing that every plant produces a maximum-sized stalk, bearing a maximum ear corresponding with the data above.

Then maize planted in hills 4 feet x 4 feet, and 4 stalks in a hill, or in drills 4 feet x 1 foot, might yield 8,630 pounds dry matter, 3,730 pounds kiln-dried shell corn, equal to 66.61 bushels, or 73.27 bushels when containing 10 per cent of moisture.

With maize planted in hills 44 inches x 44 inches, 4 stalks in a hill, or 44 inches x 11 inches in drills, the maximum yield per acre would be 10,270 pounds dry matter, 4,439 pounds kiln-dried shelled corn, equal to 79.27 bushels, or 87.2 when containing 10 per cent of moisture.

Maize planted 42 inches x 42 inches, 4 stalks in a hill, or in drills 42 inches x 10.5 inches, might yield 11,270 pounds of water-free matter and 4,871 pounds of kiln-dried shelled corn, equal to 87 bushels, or to 95.7 bushels when containing 10 per cent of moisture.

Maize planted 36 inches x 36 inches, 4 stalks in a hill, or in drills 36 inches x 9 inches, might yield 15,340 pounds of dry matter and 6,600 pounds of kiln-dried shelled corn, equal to 118.4 bushels, or to 130.27 bushels when containing 10 per cent of water.

Maize planted 30 inches x 30 inches, 4 stalks in a hill, or 30 inches x 7.5 inches in drills, might yield 22,090 pounds of dry matter per acre and 9,574 pounds of kiln-dried shelled corn, equal to 170.4 bushels, or 187.44 bushels containing 10 per cent of water.

Maize planted 30 inches x 15 inches, 4 stalks in a hill, or in drills 30 inches x 3¾ inches, might yield, if every stalk equaled the average of the 40 stalks cited above, 44,180 pounds of dry matter per acre and 19,148 pounds of kiln-dried shelled corn, equal to 340.8 bushels, or 374.88 bushels when containing 10 per cent of moisture.

Some of the yields here computed have been realized under field conditions, but the higher ones never have been and probably never can be, under any system of culture as a single crop. In our experimental work with the large cylinders, the largest yield we have obtained was 34,730 pounds of water-free substance when 4 stalks occupied a soil space of 1.767 square feet, which is closer planting than the closest given above, namely rows 30 inches apart, with corn in drills, stalks 1¾ inches apart.

The largest yield we have secured in the field was on an area of irrigated ground measuring about 2,400 square feet, where the amount of dry matter per acre was 29,000 pounds, or 14.5 tons. In this case, the corn was planted in rows 30 inches apart and in hills 15 inches apart, with 3 to 5 stalks in a hill. The area was not an isolated plot, but was a selected spot in an irrigated area where, on account of a sag in the ground, the corn had received more than the average amount of water. The closeness of planting in this case was equivalent to drilled rows with 1 stalk every 3¾ inches, which is the same as the closest given above, but the corn was a variety of flint maize, not dent.

THE OBSERVED YIELDS OF MAIZE PER ACRE PLANTED IN DIFFERENT DEGREES OF THICKNESS AND WITH DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF WATER

It has been possible, with our irrigation, to make a direct test of the influence of the amount of water on closeness of planting

maize, and thus to demonstrate whether, with the aid of irrigation, it will be possible in humid climates to secure larger yields by planting closer together.

The problem this year has been tested with two varieties of maize, Pride of the North, and a white dent of unknown name. Each has been planted in rows 44 inches apart and in hills 15 inches in the row. The white dent was thinned to 4 stalks, 3 stalks, 2 stalks, and 1 stalk in a hill, and the Pride of the North to 3 stalks, 2 stalks, and 1 stalk in a hill. It was found, after the stalks had attained some size after thinning, that the white dent threw out 1 and sometimes 2 suckers where it had been thinned to 1 stalk. These were allowed to stand, rather than incur the risk of introducing greater irregularities which would be unknown. But few of these suckers matured ears, and hence their effect has been to increase the amount of stalk in proportion to the ear, and possibly even to reduce the weight of ears, particularly on the ground not irrigated. The Pride of the North was planted on ground from which hay had been cut three consecutive years, and in which a fair amount of clover was maintained, the land having been irrigated. The white dent was grown upon ground from which two crops of cabbage had been taken, and which had been irrigated for both crops. Preparatory to planting the first crop of cabbage, after turning under the clover sod, the ground had been given a dressing of partly rotted stable manure amounting to 68 tons per acre. In addition to this, a mixture of commercial fertilizers consisting of 157 pounds of bone meal, 25 pounds Armour's "all soluble" fertilizer and 6 pounds of nitrate of soda was sown broadcast upon the ground Aug. 16. Neither manure nor fertilizers of any kind were given to the soil of either piece for the season the corn was grown nor the year before.

In both cases the corn was harrowed before coming up, and cultivated twice in a row until too large to work longer. The several areas bearing corn of different degrees of thickness were divided into three sub-plots, and the middle one in each case was not irrigated, while the two adjacent ones were.

At maturity the corn was husked, and the amount of water-free substance in both ear and stalk determined in each case.

The photo-engravings, Figs. 32, 33, 34 and 35 (pages 192, 193), show the relative amounts of corn husked from each plot and the areas upon which these were grown, while in the table below are given the yields per acre:

WHITE DENT

Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.	Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.	Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.	Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.
┌─── 4 stalks ───┐		┌─── 3 stalks ───┐		┌─── 2 stalks ───┐		┌─── 1 stalk ───┐	
Corn Irrigated							
11,426	53.44	12,567	63.23	11,712	66.01	9,554	49.53
Corn not Irrigated					.		
8,758	30.38	9,126	39.45	7,931	48.66	7,854	39.03
Difference in Yield							
2,668	23.06	3,441	23.78	3,181	17.35	2,200	10.5

In the case of the Pride of the North, the corn was planted 3 stalks, 2 stalks, and 1 stalk in a hill, and the yields in this case were as follows:

PRIDE OF THE NORTH DENT

Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.	Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.	Dry matter per acre LBS.	Shelled corn BU.
┌─── 3 stalks ───┐		┌─── 2 stalks ───┐		┌─── 1 stalk ───┐	
Corn Irrigated					
12,300	73.24	11,350	69.62	8,944	55.29
Corn not Irrigated					
10,265	45.20	9,328	47.79	8,536	52.65
Difference					
2,035	28.04	2,022	21.83	408	3.64

It will be seen from these tables that the yield of water-free substance per acre was largest in every case where the corn was planted 3 stalks in a hill every 15 inches, and in rows 44 inches apart. It is a significent fact that this is true, not only with both

varieties of corn, but also where the corn was irrigated and where it was not irrigated. It will be seen, further, that the smallest yield of dry matter per acre was produced where the smallest amount of seed was used, namely, where 1 stalk grew every 15 inches ; but one-third the number of plants produced about three-fourths as much dry matter per acre as did the larger number of plants.

It must be understood, however, that so far as mere water is concerned, the thinnest planting had decidedly the advantage, as no effort was made, even on the ground irrigated, to make the water applied proportional to the number of plants and, therefore, to the evaporating surface. Whether making the amount of water proportional to the number of plants would have materially increased the yields of the thicker seeding, is a problem which awaits demonstration. Indeed, we do not, as yet, know that the thinnest seeding had all of the water which could be used to advantage, even where irrigation was practiced. But the fact that the smaller variety of maize, Pride of the North, the one which produced no suckers, and, therefore, the one which more nearly represented 1 stalk every 15 inches, only gave an increase of 408 pounds of dry matter per acre for the 7.642 inches of water added by irrigation to the rainfall of 10.66 inches, appears to show that this corn found in the 10.66 inches of rain nearly all the water it could use to advantage. This view is strengthened, also, by the fact that the theoretical yield of dry matter per acre for the maize, computed from the data in the table on page 187, is 8,848 pounds, only 312 pounds more than was observed.

Looking at the yield of kiln-dried shelled corn per acre, it will be seen that here a somewhat different relation holds, the largest crop with the white dent variety being secured from 2 stalks in a hill every 15 inches ; but with the smaller variety of Pride of the North the largest yield of shelled corn coincided with the 3 stalks in a hill where irrigation was practiced ; but where the natural rainfall alone produced the crop, the largest yield was associated with the thinnest seeding, or 1 stalk every 15 inches in the row. It is a noteworthy fact, too, that the 7.642

inches of water added by irrigation only increased the grain yield
3.64 bushels per acre on the thinnest seeding, appearing to show

Fig. 32.   Maize, irrigated and not irrigated, four stalks in a hill,
middle section not irrigated.

that for this soil and rainfall there was very nearly the right num-
ber of plants in the row.

Fig. 33.   Maize, irrigated and not irrigated, three stalks in a hill,
middle section not irrigated.

In regard to the yields from the thicker seeding, it must be
said that it does not follow from the experiments that they might
not have been quite different if, in the application of water to the
several plots, the amounts had been made proportional to the
number of plants growing on the area ; for it may fairly be pre-

sumed, until positive demonstration shall prove to the contrary, that in case there was a deficiency of soil moisture for the thick

Fig. 34. Maize, irrigated and not irrigated, two stalks in a hill, middle section not irrigated.

seeding, a larger supply would have increased the yield of shelled corn as well as the total amount of dry matter.

Fig. 35. Maize, irrigated and not irrigated, one stalk in a hill, middle section not irrigated.

INFLUENCE OF THICK SEEDING AND IRRIGATION ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PLANT

It was observed, the first year the maize was planted thickly and irrigated, that the corn did not appear to develop quite nor-

M

mally, the tassels coming into bloom before the silks were ready to receive the pollen, and it looked then as though the failure to develop the normal amount of ears might result from this abnormal development, in time, of the staminate and pistillate flowers.

The facts are that very few kernels at all formed on the non-irrigated dent variety, and only imperfect ears matured on the flint variety ; while on the irrigated plots very many ears never filled at all, and with many of those which did develop ears, the kernels did not cover the entire cob, it being very often observed that no kernels at all formed at the butt of the ear, and sometimes none even half way to the tip. Whether the thick seeding and rapid growth stimulated by irrigation retards the development of the ear by shading, or overstimulates the maturing of the tassel so as to interfere with the proper fertilization, cannot be decided from data yet at hand, although the appearance of the plants looks very much as though such an abnormal development had been brought about.

The nodes of the stalks are certainly lengthened by the close planting and irrigation practiced, but not all are equally affected. If it is true that a certain intensity of sunlight is required for the proper maturing of the ear, it might be anticipated that the effect of the shading would stimulate a greater elongation of the lower than of the upper nodes of the stem, thus placing the ear in more intense light. To ascertain whether any such change as this had occurred, measurements were made of 40 stalks of irrigated thick planting, and a corresponding number of plants not so closely planted and not irrigated, of Pride of the North dent, with the result that in the non-irrigated corn the height of the axil bearing the ear was 46.82 per cent of the height from the ground to the base of the tassel ; while that of the irrigated corn was 55.2 per cent of the height. That is to say, the ear axil in the thickly planted irrigated corn was raised 8.38 per cent nearer to the tassel.

In a second set of measurements, with the same variety of corn, the height of the axil bearing the ear was 49.44 per cent of the height of the tassel above the ground, while under the condi-

tions of irrigation the height of the axil was 56.94 per cent of the height of the tassel, making a difference in this case of 7.5 per cent in the same direction. In the case of a variety of flint corn, however, the conditions are the reverse of those just cited, the axil bearing the ear being 41.16 per cent of the height of the tassel, while on the ground irrigated this height is 39.59 per cent of the height of the tassel above the ground. The case is, therefore, not without exception as tending to show that the deficiency of light modifies the plant in the manner pointed out.

CHAPTER V

THERE is no problem of greater or more fundamental importance to the irrigator than that which deals with the amount of water required to produce paying yields when correctly and economically handled in the production of crops of various kinds. The problem is an extremely complex one, which has received as yet very inadequate systematic study on a rational basis, such as the exigencies of the case demand.

THE MAXIMUM DUTY OF WATER IN CROP PRODUCTION

A given quantity of water applied to the soil, either in the form of rain or by methods of irrigation, renders its greatest service when the whole of it is taken up by the roots of the crop growing upon the ground, leaving none to be lost by surface evaporation or by percolation, unless, indeed, some soil leaching is indispensable to unimpaired fertility. Were it practicable to establish and maintain field conditions of culture which would insure that all water lost from the soil should take

(196)

place through the foliage of the crop being fed, then a
very small rainfall during the growing season, and a
very small amount of water added by irrigation, would
suffice for the production of large yields.

In other words, the duty of water in crop produc-
tion is determined by the necessary losses: (1) by
transpiration through the plant; (2) by surface evapo-
ration from the soil; and (3) by surface and under-
drainage. The more these sources of loss may be cur-
tailed, the larger will be the duty of water in both arid
and humid regions.

In countries where irrigation must be practiced in
order to successfully grow crops, skillful management
may almost wholly prevent loss by drainage, and loss
by surface evaporation from the soil can be made
relatively very small, so that the major loss may
be that which is transpired through the plant itself.
So, too, in humid climates, the losses during the grow-
ing season by both drainage and surface evaporation
may be greatly reduced through skillful, intelligent
practice.

It will, therefore, be helpful, in forming an estimate
of the possible duty of water, to use the data already
presented in another place to compute . the minimum
number of acre-inches of water which may be made to
produce yields of different amounts under the condi-
tions where no drainage takes place, and where surface
evaporation is made as small as it can well be. The
results of such a calculation are given in the table
which follows:

Table showing the highest probable duty of water for different yields per acre of different crops

Bushels per acre..	15	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	100	200	300	400
Name of crop	Least number of acre-inches of water											
Wheat	4.5	6	9	12	15	18	....	....	....	....	....	....
Barley	3.21	4.28	6.42	8.56	10.7	12.84	14.98	....	....	....	....	....
Oats	2.35	3.13	5.70	6.27	7.84	9.40	10.98	12.54	15.68	....	....	....
Maize	2.52	3.36	5.04	6.72	8.4	10.08	11.75	13.43	16.77	....	....	....
Potatoes	....	.41	.62	.83	1.03	1.24	1.45	1.65	2.07	4.14	6.2	8.27
Tons per acre.....	1	2	3	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
	Least number of acre-inches of water											
Clover hay, 15 per cent water	4.43	8.85	13.28	17.7	26.55	35.4	44.25	....	....	....	....	....
Corn with ears, 15 per cent water.	2.08	4.16	6.24	8.32	12.47	16.61	20.72	24.95	29.1	33.26	37.42	41.58
Corn silage, 70 per cent water.	1.41	2.82	4.23	5.64	8.46	11.28	14.1	16.92	19.74	22.56	25.38	28.2

This table must be regarded as showing the mini-
mum amounts of water which will bring the crops
named to full maturity so as to produce the yields speci-
fied under conditions of absolutely no loss by surface
or under-drainage, and where the evaporation from the
soil itself is as small as it can well be.   It must be
further understood that the soil at seeding time already
possesses the needful amount of water for the best con-
ditions, and that at the end of the growing season it is
yet so moist that no check to vigorous, normal growth
has occurred.

The figures in the table may, therefore, be regarded

as the nearest estimate now attainable of the minimum amount of water the irrigator can hope to deliver to his field where the yields there stated are expected ; and if there are necessary losses in bringing the water to the field, either by seepage or evaporation from the main or lateral ditches, or if the water is badly handled, so that there is a large amount of percolation ; or, again, if unnecessary losses occur through lack of proper tillage after irrigation, then the amounts stated in the table must be exceeded by the amount of these losses.

CONDITIONS WHICH MODIFY THE AMOUNT OF WATER REQUIRED IN IRRIGATION

Among the many factors and conditions which increase or diminish the duty of water may be mentioned:

1. *The peculiarities of the crop grown.*—From what has been said regarding the amount of water required for a pound of dry matter and for yields of different amounts for different crops, it will be evident that both the amount of water required by a given crop and the frequency with which it should be applied will depend much upon the crop being grown.

This variation in the amount of water required by different crops depends upon many factors, some of which are not well understood. Both the number and size of the breathing pores of the green parts of the plant, through which the air enters and from which the moisture escapes, may be expected to play an important part in determining the necessary loss of water which takes place. So, too, will the character of the foliage and the habit of the plant as influencing the amount of wind movement, and of shade over the soil of the field, effect the necessary loss of water from the soil.

In illustration of the influence of the shade offered by the crop upon the loss of water from the soil may be cited the differ-

ence in the amount of water in the soil of a potato field where
the rows extended east and west, thus producing a shade on
the north side of each row. The samples of soil were taken
June 27. In this case the rows were planted 3 feet apart, and
the table given on page 161 shows a difference of 4.5 per
cent in the upper six inches on the sunny and shaded sides of
the row.

Then, too, if the roots of the crop do not penetrate deeply
into the soil, more water will be required, for the double reason
that more water is liable to be lost by percolation below the root
zone, and because a greater frequency of water will be required
than if the roots went deeper ; hence, there will be more loss by
surface evaporation.

2. *The character of the soil.*—In the studies which have been
made regarding the amount of water required for a pound of dry
matter, there has been nothing to indicate that a plant growing
in one soil requires more water than when growing in another,
provided there is always an abundance of plant-food available to
the crop throughout its period of growth. In other words, if it
were possible to avoid losses by seepage, and by evaporation
other than that which takes place through the growing crop, it
does not appear that the duty of water would vary with the
character of the soil.

But, while it is true that by skillful management water may
be distributed, even over the soils of coarse texture, with
little or no waste through seepage, and while surface evaporation
may be very greatly reduced by suitable methods of applying the
water and of tillage, there will always be those living under the
same water supply who are less skillful than others, and who will,
by their lack of skill, require more water in order to secure the
same yields ; and, in consequence of this, the duty of water will
vary to some extent with the soil.

There are really wide variations in the effectiveness of
mulches developed from different soils, and while these are not
as great as the variations in the rates of seepage, the losses of
water through surface evaporation are less completely under con-
trol than those due to percolation. The force of these statements

will be more readily appreciated after a study of the results given in the following table:

Table showing the difference between the effectiveness of mulches developed from different kinds of soil

| Black marsh soil: | No mulch | —Loss of water per 100 days—| | | |
		Mulch 1-in. deep	Mulch 2-in. deep	Mulch 3-in. deep	Mulch 4-in. deep
Tons per acre	588	355	270	256.4	252.5
Inches of water...........	5.193	3.12	2.384	2.265	2.23
Per cent saved by mulches		39.54	54.08	56.39	57.06
Sandy loam:					
Tons per acre	741.5	373.7	339.3	287.5	315.4
Inches of water...........	6.548	3.3	2.996	2.539	2.785
Per cent saved by mulches		49.6	54.24	61.22	57.47
Virgin clay loam:					
Tons per acre.............	2,414	1,260	979.7	889.2	883.9
Inches of water...........	21.31	11.13	8.652	7.852	7 805
Per cent saved by mulches		47 76	59.38	63.13	63.34

The results in this table were secured by filling cylinders of galvanized iron, having a depth of 22 inches and a cross-section of $\frac{1}{10}$ of a square foot, with the soil named, by thorough tamping, and then removing a depth of these soils equal to 1, 2, 3 and 4 inches, returning enough of each kind in a loose, crumbled condition to fill the cylinders again level full, thus forming mulches of the respective depths. Under these conditions, the soils were exposed in the open field during 42 days to the normal atmospheric conditions, except that during times of rain the cylinders were covered. Water was added every 10 days to the reservoirs shown in Fig. 36, bringing the lowered surface back to a standard level.

It will be seen that while the black marsh soil lost water through the unmulched surface at the rate of 5 88 tons per acre per day, the sandy loam lost water at the rate of 7.42 tons, and the virgin clay loam at the rate of 24.14 tons per acre per day, the latter exceeding the two former more than three- and four-fold. And, then, when the losses through mulches of corresponding depths are compared, it will be seen that although

---

*Fifteenth Ann. Rept. Wis. Agr. Expt. Station, page 137.

these are much less than through the undisturbed soil, yet the
relative differences are nearly as large.   That is to say, the soil
which, in the firm condition, has brought the largest amount of
water to the surface, has also, when its surface 1, 2, 3 or 4

Fig. 36.   Method of measuring effectiveness of mulches.

inches were converted into a mulch, permitted the largest losses
to take place ; while the soil having the slowest rate of loss
when the surface was firm has also given the least evaporation
through the several depths of mulches.

If the losses per 100 days, expressed in inches, are brought
into contrast, they stand as shown below:

	No mulch	1-inch mulch	2-inch mulch	3-inch mulch	4-inch mulch
	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES	INCHES
Virgin clay loam..........	21.31	11.13	8.65	7.85	7.81
Black marsh soil..........	5.19	3.12	2.38	2.27	2.23
Difference	16.12	8.01	6.27	5.58	5.58

It will be seen from this table that very wide differences
exist between the losses of moisture through mulches of like

depth, when developed from soils of different textures, and it is plain that with equal losses by percolation from the three soils here under consideration, more water would be required to bring a crop to maturity on the virgin clay loam than on either of the other soils, and hence, that the duty of water would be less, supposing, of course, that the three soils were equally fertile.

Where water is plentiful and is being used freely, and especially where irrigation by flooding is being practiced, the soils having the coarsest, most open texture will waste the most water by percolation through the zone of root feeding. Hence on this account the duty of water would be smaller on these soils than on those having finer texture. But, on the other hand, the surface evaporation from the closer soils is so much greater than from the sandy soils that the duty of water is much more nearly equal on them than it could be were it not for these opposite characteristics.

Bearing upon this point E. Perels,* citing Eduard Markus, gives the results of observations covering three years in northern Italy on different kinds of soils and with different crops, from which it appears that rice, meadows and field crops use water in the ratio of 7 to 3 to 1, respectively, and when field crops are grown upon very heavy soil, heavy soil, medium soil, or light soil, they take water in the ratio of—

Very heavy soil	Heavy soil	Medium soil	Light soil
100 . . to . . 115 . . to . . 168 . . to . . 230			

It is quite probable, however, that these ratios represent the relations of the degree of permeability of these soils under the conditions of the district, rather than the necessary amounts of water required for irrigation on these soils, where simply the transpiration from the crops and the evaporation from the soils is considered. In the cases of the rice and meadows, it is certain that large percolation or surface drainage must have occurred.

The losses of water by seepage from canals and reservoirs

---

*Landwirthschaftlicher Wasserbau, p. 501.

and the various distributaries will, of course, be relatively greater in regions of soils of coarse texture than where the soils are finer, so that here is a factor modifying the duty of water as considered from the standpoint of the water company and irrigation engineer especially, but also with the large irrigator, who has extensive distributaries, through which the water must be conveyed before it is finally taken out upon the land. It should be emphasized that our discussion has reference to the duty of water after it has reached the field where it is used.

If it shall be found true that the continued growth of large crops upon a piece of land, and the consequent more complete evaporation of all water brought to the soil, thus curtailing the drainage, tends to develop alkalies to an injurious extent, or other prejudicial salts, so that flooding or leaching by irrigation shall be found necessary in order to restore fertility, then here, again, the character of the soil will modify the amount of water required.

3. *The character of the rainfall* will necessarily modify in a marked manner the amount of additional water which may be used to advantage in the production of crops. It has already been pointed out on page 103 that the difference in the character of the rainfall in parts of California, Oregon and Washington, as compared with that of western Kansas and Nebraska, may explain why equivalent amounts of rain are much more effective in the former than in the latter regions, and if it is true that the frequent summer rains east of the Rocky Mountains do tend to hold the development of the roots of crops closer to the surface, and also to destroy the effectiveness of soil mulches, it is clear that the duty of water in climates where most of the growing season is an uninterrupted rainless period will be relatively higher than where frequent but inefficient showers tend to reduce the efficiency of mulches, and to hold the roots of crops closer to the surface. It is, therefore, likely to be found true that more water will be required for like results in western Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, and the Dakotas, and similar climates, than will be required where the whole summer season is one continuous interval of no rain.

In still more humid climates, but where there are frequent recurrences of intervals of drought, the amount of water which must be used in order to secure full yields will be relatively larger than would be required in rainless countries, because the surface losses of moisture will be relatively greater, as well as those from percolation and drainage.

4. *The character of the subsoil*, as well as that of the surface soil, is an important factor in determining the duty of water, especially in the hands of the unskillful irrigator, and particularly so if he possesses no knowledge, or exercises poor judgment, regarding the water-holding power of the soil to which the water is being applied. Where the texture of the subsoil is coarse and its water-holding power small, it requires the best of judgment, both in regard to the amount of water which may be applied at one time and as to the rate at which it should be led over the surface or along the furrows, in order that there shall be no waste by percolation below the depth of root feeding.

It has been pointed out that even moderately fine sands 8 feet above the ground water quickly lose by percolation all but 4 per cent, or less, of their dry weight, of the water given to them. Since plants will suffer for water when such soils have lost all but 2 to 3 per cent of their dry weight of the soil moisture, it follows that in 4 feet in depth of such a subsoil there is room for only 1.5 to 2 per cent of water, or 1 to 1.5 inches, to be applied at one time, without loss taking place by percolation below the depth of root action. It is plain, therefore, that on open soils the duty of water will be relatively small, unless great skill and rare judgment are exercised in its application.

5. *The frequency and thoroughness of cultivation after irrigation* is another factor which will modify the duty of water. For the effectiveness of soil mulches is modified as well by the frequency of stirring as by its depth. The force of this statement will be better appreciated when the results given in the table which follows have been considered:

Table showing the loss of water from a virgin clay loam through mulches 1, 2, and 3 inches deep, when cultivated once in two weeks, once per week, and twice per week

	Not cultivated	Once in 2 weeks	Once per week	Twice per week
Cultivated 1 inch deep—	PER ACRE	PER ACRE	PER ACRE	PER ACRE
The loss in tons per 100 days was....	724.1	551.2	545	527.8
The loss in inches per 100 days was..	6.394	4.867	4.812	4.662
The percentage of water saved was..		23.88	24.73	27.1
Cultivated 2 inches deep—				
The loss in tons per 100 days was....	724.1	609.2	552.1	515.4
The loss in inches per 100 days was..	6.394	5.38	4.875	· 4.552
The percentage of water saved was..		15.88	23.76	28.81
Cultivated 3 inches deep—				
The loss in tons per 100 days was....	724.1	612	531.5	495
The loss in inches per 100 days was..	6.394	5.28	4.604	4.371
The percentage of water saved was..		15.49	26.6	31.64

It will be seen from this table that with each of the three depths of cultivation the loss of water decreased with the frequency, so that the per cent of moisture saved by the cultivation, when computed on that which was lost with no cultivation, was more than 31 for 3 inches deep twice per week, as against a saving of only 15 per cent where the same cultivation was made only once in two weeks. That is to say, if one is cultivating ground of this character 3 inches deep twice per week, the saving over no cultivation may be at the rate of 2.29 tons per acre per day, or 22.9 tons per each 10 days, or 2 acre-inches per 100 days.

The results presented in the table were obtained in our plant-house, with cylinders 52 inches deep and 18 inches in diameter, filled with soil under a nearly still air and a comparatively low mean temperature, not exceeding 55° F., during the short days and long nights of December and January, so that the observed losses in the several cases must be looked upon as small, and below what may obtain under field conditions. It is plain, therefore, that in orchard irrigation and in arid climates, under a clear sky, dry air and high temperature, the duty of water during the long seasons may be very materially increased by adequate cultivation, and decreased by the lack of it.

The same will also be true, but in a less marked degree,

with all cultivated crops where the soil is not completely shaded by the plants on the ground.

6. *The closeness of planting* is another factor which affects the duty of water when this is expressed in terms of land served, rather than in terms of crop produced. This is particularly true in climates where a rainy season contributes a considerable portion of the moisture needed to produce a crop ; because if one is contented with a small yield per acre, a comparatively thin stand upon the ground, with thorough tillage, may often be brought to full maturity with a relatively small amount of water applied by irrigation, thus making the duty of water to appear very high, whereas if the plants were made to stand as closely as the sunshine would permit, much more water, when expressed simply in acre-inches, would be required. The real duty, however, might be even higher in the second case, when expressed in terms of yield per acre.

7. *The fertility of the land* is still another factor which affects the duty of water, tending to make it appear less the richer and more fertile the soil is, when the standard of comparison is the unit area rather than the yield of crop. This apparent decrease in the duty results from the larger evaporation of water which takes place from the more vigorous growth of vegetation, and the closer stand which the larger amount of available plant-food renders possible. In such cases as these, however, the real duty of water is higher on the most fertile soil, when this is based upon the actual yields per acre ; not so much because the plant uses the water more economically, as that the necessary loss from the soil itself is relatively less with the large yield than it is with the small yield per acre. The loss from the soil direct may even be actually larger with the smaller crop on the ground, on account of a less complete shading and stronger air movement close to the surface.

8. *The frequency of applying water* also modifies the quantity which will be used during a season. This may be true even when the greatest skill is exercised in the application of the water. In the first place, too frequent application of water in small quantities at a time not only increases in a marked degree the

direct loss of moisture from the wet, unmulched soil ; but it may
have a tendency, as has been pointed out, to induce a superficial
development of roots, causing the crop to show signs of need of
water sooner than would be the case if a smaller number of more
thorough irrigations were resorted to. This is so, not only be-
·cause the water disappears sooner from the soil, but also because
of the larger amount of root-pruning which results from culti-
vation where the roots are developed near the surface of the
ground.

It is probable that a large supply of water in the soil during
the early stages of growth of many plants tends to develop in
them a possibility for using more water. In some, at least, of
our experiments with corn, oats, potatoes and clover, where we
have started with like amounts of water in the soil, and have
watered one set of plants every seven days while the others
were allowed to go without water until the soil was so far ex-
hausted that the plants were plainly suffering for want of mois-
ture, it was found that these plants not only did not use water as
rapidly after they were given it as did those which had been
watered every week, but they used the water they did have with
relatively greater economy. Whether this was because the plants
were smaller, and thus presented a smaller surface to the air and
sun, or whether the size or number of breathing pores per unit
area of foliage was actually less, cannot yet be stated ; but it
appeared evident that for some reason the plants which had not
been watered at first were later not able to use the larger amount
of water which was given to them, as they might have done had
they been more freely watered at first.

THE AMOUNT OF WATER USED IN IRRIGATION

It is very difficult, indeed, to get data bearing
upon this important subject which may be regarded as
in every way satisfactory and trustworthy. Nearly all
statistics are necessarily so general in their character,
the exact amount of land to which the water of a

stated canal is actually applied is so uncertain, and the amount of water lost by seepage and evaporation from the canal and its distributaries before the land to which it is nominally applied is reached, is so variable and indeterminate that the best which can be said regarding most available data is that they should be looked upon as only rough approximations. Further than this, it must be constantly borne in mind, when dealing with the problem of how much water is re-quired for irrigation, with all the variations of weather, climate, crops, soils and degrees of skill in applying water which exist, that were sufficiently exact data at hand covering a wide range of conditions, it would still be impossible to combine them into averages not requiring wide marginal allowances to be made when specific application is desired. But, notwithstanding all this, general statements may be helpful if only they are rightly considered.

Referring, first, to Italy,* where irrigation has long been systematically practiced, it is generally calculated that in Piedmont one cubic foot of water per second will serve satisfactorily 55 acres of land; but on ac-count of loss by evaporation and seepage, this is reduced to 51.4 acres, this providing sufficient for 4.63 inches of water every 10 days during the irri-gation season.

Under the canal of Ivrea, where a large amount of rice is grown, which is given more water than ordi-nary crops, one second-foot serves but 42.75 acres, or at the rate of 5.668 inches every 10 days; and under

*Baird Smith, Italian Irrigation, Vol. I.

N

the Gattinara canal, water is provided which may be applied at the rate of 5.289 inches per 10 days. But under the Busca canal, where the utmost economy is practiced and every drop is saved, the duty of water is so much increased that one second-foot serves 106 acres, making a depth of water equal to 2.245 inches every 10 days for the irrigation season.

Bringing all cases cited by Smith into one table, and expressing the second-foot in inches of water per 10 days, the following results are found :

Amount of water used for irrigation in Italy

No. of acres per sec. foot	No. of inches of water per 10 days	No. of acres per sec. foot	No. of inches of water per 10 days
51.4	4.63	99.3	2.397
45	5.289	80.4	2.96
106	2.245	66.62	3.572
100.6	2.366	61.8	3.851
63	3.778	66.6	3.574
90.6	2.627	69.2	3.44
50.3	4.732	63.9	2.837
70	3.4	67.2	3.542
77	3.091	90.4	2.633
69	3.449		

This gives a general average for ordinary crops of 3.39 inches of water every 10 days and 33.9 inches per 100 days, were it used at such a rate for so long a period.

In the rice irrigation of Italy, the amount of water provided is said to be at the rate of 5.568 inches, 5.921, 3.412, 9.521, and 3.334 inches every 10 days in as many districts, or an average of 5.55 inches per 10 days.

In Spain, where the rainfall is less than in Italy, and where greater economy of water is practiced, 19 important allotments* of water give an average ot 2.353 inches every 10 days for various sections ot that country.

In France, in the Department of the Upper Garonne, contracts were made calling for water at the rate of three-fourths of a liter per hectare per second, which makes a duty of about 93.25 acres per second foot, or water applied at the rate of 2.552 inches every 10 days. In the department of Vaucluse, the concession was at the rate of only 1.361 inches per 10 days.

In Egypt, Willcocks† states that in winter water is applied at an average depth of 10 c. m., equal to 3.937 inches, once in 40 days, which is a rate of .984 inches once in 10 days; but in summer the first watering is at the rate of 11.5 c. m., equal to 4.528 inches, while subsequent waterings are at the rate of 3.412 inches in depth. Cotton requires this amount once in 20 days, or at the rate of 1.706 inches per 10 days. Rice is given water at the rate of 3.412 inches once every 10 days, and maize gets the same amount every 15 days, or at the rate of 2.276 inches in depth every 10 days.

Wilson‡ gives a table of general averages of the duty of water in different parts of the world, which we put in the form stated below:

*Hall, Irrigation Development, p. 523.

†Willcocks, Egyptain Irrigation, pp. 234, 235.

‡Manual of Irrigation Engineering, Sec. Ed., p. 49.

Amount of water used in irrigation in different countries

Name of country	No. of acres per sec.-ft.	No. of inches per 10 days
Northern India . . .	60 to 150	3.967 to 1.587
Italy	65 to 70	3.661 to 3.4
Colorado	80 to 120	2.975 to 1.983
Utah	60 to 120	3.967 to 1.983
Montana	80 to 100	2.975 to 2.38
Wyoming	70 to 90	3.4 to 2.644
Idaho	60 to 80	3.967 to 2.975
New Mexico	60 to 80	3.967 to 2.975
Southern Arizona . .	100 to 150	2.38 to 1.587
San Joaquin Valley .	100 to 150	2.38 to 1.587
Southern California .	150 to 300	1.587 to .793

E. Perels* tabulates the duty of water in Algeria
as follows :

Water required for irrigation in Algeria

Crops	No. of waterings	Water used — Each application INCHES IN DEPTH	During the season INCHES IN DEPTH	Length of culture period MONTHS
Alfalfa	10	1.575	15.75	6
Vegetables . .	36	1.575	56.7	6
Cotton . . .				
Flax	10	2.52	25.2	5
Sesame . . .				
Maize	4	1.575	6.3	2
Winter grain .	3	3.937	11.87	7
Oranges	12	1.575	18.9	6
Tobacco	4	1.575	6.3	3
Grapes	4	4.725	18.9	3

From another general table giving the duty of
water in different countries, by Flynn,† the results
which follow are derived:

---

*Landwirthschaftlicher Wasserbau, zweite Auflage, p. 502.

† Irrigation Canals and Hydraulic Engineering, p. 293.

Amount of water used in irrigation in different countries

Locality	Name of country	No. of acres per sec.-foot	No. of inches per 10 days
Eastern Jumna Canal	India	306	.778
Western Jumna Canal	"	240	.989
Ganges Canal	"	232	1.026
Canals of Upper India	"	267	.891
Canals of India — average . . .	"	250	.952
Bari Doab Canals	"	155	1.536
Madras Canals (rice)	"	66	3.606
Tanjore (rice)	"	40	5.964
Swat River Canal, 1888 89 . . .	"	216	1.345
Swat River Canal, 1889–90 . . .	"	177	1.202
Western Jumna Canal, 1888–89 .	"	143	1.664
Western Jumna Canal, 1889–90 .	"	179	1.33
Bari Doab Canal, 1888–89 . . .	"	201	1.184
Bari Doab Canal, 1889–90 . . .	"	227	1.049
Sirhind Canal, 1888–89	"	180	1.322
Sirhind Canal, 1889 90	"	180	1.322
Chenab Canal, 1888–89	"	154	1.545
Chenab Canal, 1889–90	"	154	1.545
Nira Canal	"	186	1.28
Genil Canal	Spain	240	.992
Jucar (rice)	"	35	6.8
Henares Canal	"	157	1.516
Canals of Valencia	"	242	.984
Forez Canal	France	140	1.7
Canals south of France	"	70	3.4
Sefi Canals, Southern France .	"	60	3.877
Sefi, or Lower Nile Canals . .	Egypt	350	.68
Sefi, or Lower Nile Canals . .	"	274	.867
Canals of Northern Peru	Peru	160	1.488
Canals of Northern Chili	Chili	190	1.253
Canals, Lombardy	Italy	90	2.644
Canals, Piedmont	"	60	3.877
Marcite	"	1 to 18	238 to 13.22
Sefi Canals, Victoria	Australia	200	1.19

Amount of water used in irrigation—continued

Locality	Name of country	No. of acres per sec. foot	No. of inches per 10 days
Sweetwater, San Diego	California	500	.476
Pomona, San Bernardino . . .	"	500	.476
Ontario	"	500	.476
California	"	,80 to 150	2.975 to 1.587
Canals of Utah Territory . . .	Utah	100	2.38
Canals of Colorado	Colorado	100	2.38
Canals of Cache la Poudre . . .	"	193	1.233
Canals of Colorado	"	55	4.328

It is apparent, from the data which have been presented, that the amount of water actually used in irrigation in different countries and for different crops is an extremely variable quantity; so much so, indeed, that it is hardly possible to deduce from available statistics a mean value for the duty of water. But, using the 100 cases at hand from all parts of the world, and excluding those which apply to rice culture and the irrigation of water-meadows and sugar cane, it appears that a cubic foot of water per second is made to serve on the average 117.6 acres. If this water were applied to the land once in 10 days, it would cover the surface to a depth of 2.024 inches each watering, and during a season of 100 days would be the equivalent of 20.24 inches of rain.

Sugar cane is a crop which demands large and frequent irrigations in order to secure the largest returns from the soil. In the Sandwich Islands one cubic foot of water per second is required for 41.6 acres of cane, and it is found that if the duty is made larger than 60 acres per second-foot, a falling off in yield is

sure to result. In India and Siam writers on this subject state that from 43 to 45 acres is the usual duty of a second-foot. The mean value for good, thorough watering appears to be 43.2 acres per second-foot, or a depth of water aggregating, for the year, between 19 and 20 feet on the level.

If reference is again made to the table on page 198, it will be seen that this duty of water is much smaller than was realized in the experiments cited. According to the results there given, one second-foot should be able to serve the number of acres stated in the table below:

The highest probable duty of water for different crops expressed in acres per second-foot for different yields per acre

Yield per acre	Wheat ACRES	Barley ACRES	Oats ACRES	Maize ACRES	Potatoes ACRES	Clover hay ACRES
15 bushels	529.2	593.0	1002	1039	......	.....
20 "	352.8	395.3	751.5	779.2	......	.....
30 "	264.6	296.5	501.0	519.5	......	.....
40 "	176.4	197.6	375.7	389.6	......	.....
50 "	141.1	158.1	300.6	311.7	......	.....
60 "	117.6	131.7	250.5	259.7	2493.7	.....
70 "	.....	112.9	214.3	222.6	2137.4	.....
80 "	.....	98.8	187.9	194.8	1870.2	.....
90 "	.....	.....	167.0	173.2	1662.4	.....
100 "	.....	.....	150.3	155.8	1496.2	.....
200 "	.....	.....	.....	.....	748.1	.....
300 "	.....	.....	.....	.....	498.7	.....
400 "	.....	.....	.....	.....	374.0	.....
1 ton	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	322.7
2 tons	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	161.3
3 "	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	107.6
4 "	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	80.7

In constructing this table, the season of growth has been taken at 100 days for wheat and oats, 80 days for barley, 110 days for maize, 130 days for potatoes, and 60 days for one crop of clover hay. It has further been assumed that the ground at seeding time is well supplied with moisture, while at harvest it is only so much dried out as to have just become ready for another watering.

As in the experiments which gave the fundamental data for the table above, the soil was more closely planted than is practicable under field conditions, the loss of water by evaporation from the soil of the field is likely to be greater, relatively, than was the case in the experiments; hence, the observed duty of water is likely to be lower than the table indicates. Again, in the case of the smaller yields per acre, the evaporation from the soil will necessarily be relatively larger than where the heavier crops are produced; hence, the duty expressed for water when the yields are small is likely to be farther from the possibilities than in the cases where the yields per acre are larger.

If the amount of water which the last table indicates is required to produce a crop of the various kinds is expressed in cubic feet, the figures will stand :

8,640,000	cu. ft. of water may produce	7,056	bushels of wheat
8,640,000	" " " " " "	15,030	" " oats
6,912,000	" " " " " "	7,906	" " barley
9,5040,000	" " " " " "	15,580	" " maize
11,232,0000	" " " " " "	149,620	" " potatoes
5,184,000	" " " " " "	322.7 tons of hay,

where the number of cubic feet is the product of one second-foot into the number of seconds in the season of growth, and the number of bushels is the product of the yield per acre into the number of acres irrigated.

THE DUTY OF WATER IN RICE CULTURE

The aquatic nature of the rice plant makes the demands for water quite different from those of ordinary agricultural crops, and so different are these needs that the quantity of water required to bring a crop to maturity is determined by quite different factors. The duty of water, therefore, in rice culture could not consistently be considered in connection with that of ordinary crops.

The normal habitat of this plant is low, swampy lands, where the surface is more or less continuously under water, and where such lands are available under suitable conditions for rice culture, they are largely brought into requisition for this purpose; but the seeding of the ground and the harvesting of the crop make it needful that the fields shall be drained at times and at others flooded. Under these conditions, there can be but little waste from seepage, and the chief demands for water are created by the loss from evaporation from the surface of the water, from the growing crop, and from the wet soil when the fields have been drained, together with the amounts which are required for reflooding the fields after they have been drained. Occasionally threatened attacks upon

the crop by insect enemies make an extra flooding or drainage necessary, and this increases the demand for water. Further than this, in order that the crop may be the best, the water must not remain long stagnant, and this requires either alternate flooding and draining, or else a considerable steady surplus flow of water over the fields.

In order to secure more economical methods of seeding and harvesting the rice fields, this crop is extensively grown on naturally dry lands, which may be readily checked off into flooding basins, to which the water may be admitted and withdrawn at pleasure. In these cases, there is added to the demands for water already mentioned the loss from seepage. This loss from seepage may be so large that rice irrigation cannot be economically practiced on uplands unless they are quite fine and close in texture, so that the rate of seepage will be small, or unless the normal level of the ground-water is within a few feet of the surface. Even here the subsoil must be pretty close, or the loss of water by under-drainage will be too large.

The various available sources of data regarding the duty of water in rice irrigation place the amounts of water used as varying all the way from one second-foot for 25, 28, 30, 35, 40, 55 and 66 acres of rice, thus making an average of 38.6 acres per cubic foot of water per second, and this is equivalent to covering the surface with water about 6.2 inches deep every 10 days.

THE DUTY OF WATER ON WATER-MEADOWS

In this form of irrigation, immense volumes of water are used on the land. In Italy, where the practice has attained the highest stage of perfection, where it may have had its origin, and from which been introduced into France, and even into England at the time of the Roman invasion, the duty of water appears to average only about 1.5 acres per cubic foot per second. On these meadows in Italy there is maintained a nearly continuous flow of water, night and day, from September 8 to March 28 of each year, this being the legal time allotted to *Marcite*, or winter-meadow irrigation.

The lands are so laid out that the roots of the grass over the whole meadow are continuously submerged beneath a thin veil of relatively warm running water, this being turned off only long enough to cut the grass, which is done two or three times during the winter season, the green grass being used for the winter feed of dairy cows, which are largely kept in the irrigated portions of Italy. So large is the quantity of water used during a single season on these meadows that did none of it drain away they would become submerged to a depth of 300 feet.

Carpenter, quoting Mangon, states that in southern France and in the Vosges, where the most careful measurements of the water applied to the meadows have been made, amounts are used in some cases sufficient to cover the surface 1,400 feet deep ; and that of this great volume, as much water as 160 feet on the level sinks into and percolates through the soil of the field during a winter season. But even in the summer irrigation, as much as 374 feet of water on the level are applied between April and July, while of this amount no less than 88 feet percolates into the ground or is evaporated.

The meadows upon which these large volumes of water are applied are usually permanent ones, and have had their surfaces fitted with the greatest care, so that the relatively warm water may be kept steadily flowing over the surface about the roots of the grass in a thin veil until it is ready to cut, when it is turned off only long enough to remove the crop.

In Italy these heavy and continuous irrigations stimulate
the grass to grow the year round, and in the vicinity of Milan,
where the irrigation canals are led through and beneath the
city, relieving it of all its sewage, this warm and highly ferti-
lizing water so stimulates the growth of grass that seven heavy
crops are taken from the ground each year, aggregating, accord-
ing to Baird Smith, 45 to 50 tons per acre, and in exceptional
cases one-half more than this.

It will be readily understood that the application of water
to these winter and summer water-meadows in such large vol-
umes has quite a distinct purpose from that of supplying the
needed moisture for the transpiration of the grasses. In short,
the practice has been found to be a sure way of greatly pro-
longing the growing season of each year, and a cheap means of
permanently maintaining a high state of fertility of the soil.

THE DUTY OF WATER IN CRANBERRY CULTURE

In the irrigation of cranberries, as in the case of rice and
water-meadows, the purpose of the treatment is quite distinct
from that of ordinary irrigation. It is true that this crop
demands a large amount of water, but its normal habitat is such
that ordinarily it is abundantly supplied by natural sub-irri-
gation. In this case, the water is demanded chiefly to protect
the crop against the ravages of insects and injury from frost,
and to prevent winter-killing.

As the surface of the ground-water is seldom more than one
to two feet below the surface of the bog, and as the peat and
muck above the water are at all times nearly saturated, the
amount of water required for cranberry irrigation is but little
more than that necessary to submerge the vines, which will
rarely be more than .8 to 1.5 acre-feet. But, except for the
flooding for winter protection, the demands for water are so
peremptory and the time so short which can be allowed for sup-
plying it, that but a low duty is possible when this is measured
by the rate at which the water must be delivered.

When it is protection against frost which is required, the marsh must be given as much as 4 to 6 inches of water on the level in nearly as many hours. To do this will require a stream of 1 to 1.3 cubic feet per second per acre. But when the flooding is to destroy insects, the haste need not be so great ; while for winter flooding, a relatively small stream will answer the needs, as six weeks, if need be, may be taken in the flooding, and as the ground-water surface around the marsh is usually above the marsh itself, the loss from seepage is small, as must also be that by evaporation during the winter.

CHAPTER VI

To have become able to apply water to crops at
the right time, in the right amounts and in the best
manner is to have attained the acme of the art of
irrigation. Unfortunately, it is no more possible to
bear a man to this position on the vehicle of language
than it is a cook to the art of making the best bread.
Both arts are founded upon the most rigid of laws,
which may be readily and certainly followed when the
conditions have been learned. But the minutiæ of
essential details are so extreme that words fail utterly
to convey them to the mind, and they must be per-
ceived through the senses, to be grasped with such
clearness as to lead unerringly to the right results.
There are, however, general principles underlying the
art, which may be readily stated, and, when com-
prehended, place one in position to more quickly grasp
the details essential to complete success in the appli-
cation of water to crops.

THE AMOUNT OF WATER FOR SINGLE IRRIGATIONS

In humid climates, there is always more or less
soil-leaching, resulting from super-saturation of the

(222)

soil during times of heavy or protracted rains. This leaching is usually looked upon as a necessary evil, which results in a waste of fertility. Whether this conviction is well founded, or whether a certain amount of soil washing is indispensable to unimpaired fertility, it appears to the writer is one of the important soil problems awaiting positive demonstration. The accumulation of alkalies in the soils of arid climates, where relatively small leaching is associated with large evaporation, and the tendency of alkalies to become intensified where irrigation has been long practiced, are facts which suggest that there may be such a thing as too great economy of water in irrigation.

But, waiving this possibility of demand for water, and all of those cases where the water is applied for other purposes than meeting the ordinary needs of vegetation, the fundamental conditions which determine the amount of water which should be applied at a single irrigation are : (1) the capacity of the soil and subsoil to store capillary water ; (2) the depth of the soil stratum penetrated by the roots of the particular crop ; (3) the rate at which the soil below the root zone may supply water by upward capillarity to the roots ; and (4) the extent to which the soil and subsoil have become dried out.

On the other hand, the conditions which determine the frequency of irrigation are : (1) the amount of available moisture which may be stored in the soil ; (2) the rate at which this moisture is lost through the crop and through the soil ; and (3) the degree

of desiccation of the soil which the particular crop
will tolerate before serious interference to growth re-
sults.

THE CAPACITY OF SOILS TO STORE WATER
UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS

The amount of water which may be stored in soils under
field conditions varies between wide limits with the character
and texture of the soils, and also with the distance of standing
water in the ground below the surface.

When a fine sand will hold in the first foot above the
ground-water 23.86 per cent of its dry weight of water, at 4 feet
above it was found to hold only 8.12 per cent, and 8 feet above
only 3.14 per cent of the dry weight. When these amounts are
expressed in pounds per cubic foot, they stand only a little more
than 23.86 pounds, 8.12 pounds, and 3 14 pounds, a cubic foot
of the dry sand weighing about 105 pounds.

In the case of a natural field soil of sandy clay loam with
clay subsoil changing to a sand at 4 feet, and where the
ground-water changed during the season from 7.6 feet below
the surface to 8.4 feet, the water content of the soil was found
to be as follows:

	1st ft. lbs. water	2d ft. lbs. water	3d ft. lbs. water	4th ft. lbs. water	5th ft. lbs. water	6th ft. lbs. water	7th ft. lbs. water
July 25	10.44	16.91	14.81	10 38	7.82	13.66	22.29
October 2	9.49	16.27	14.41	6 99	7.74	7.85	19.35
Loss	.95	.64	.4	3.39	.08	5.81	2.94

During this interval · there had been a rainfall of 10.84
pounds per square foot. There is no doubt that in the upper
4 feet a considerable part of the water was lost through surface
evaporation. It is quite likely, also, that a portion of the loss
shown in the 5th, 6th, and 7th feet was due to an upward capil-
lary movement. But there is little reason to doubt that the

chief loss shown in the lower three feet is due to downward drainage or percolation, owing to a lowering of the ground-water surface.

The 8-foot column of fine sand, referred to above, lost water by percolation in 22 hours and 46 minutes, after full saturation, equal to 6.35 per cent of the dry weight of the whole column ; and as this must have come almost wholly from the upper 4 feet, the water there must have been reduced in that time more than 12 per cent, which would leave a saturation of only 8 per cent.

But as plants would suffer severely for water in a soil of this texture when the moisture was brought down to 4 per cent, it is plain that only from 2 to 4 per cent of the weight of such a soil can be added at one irrigation without entailing severe loss by percolation below the depth of root-feeding. Taking a cubic foot of such a soil at 105 pounds, the maximum irrigation which could be applied without severe loss, supposing the ground to be wet down 5 feet and the soil to have dried 3 per cent, would be 15.75 pounds per square foot, or 2.86 inches in depth. The sand in question, however, is more open than most agricultural soils; hence it follows that more than 2 inches of water may be safely applied at one irrigation to any crop much in need of water.

By taking samples of soil in a field of maize and clover when the corn leaves were badly curled and when clover wilted quite early in the forenoon, the following moisture conditions were found:

Soil moisture relations when growth is brought to a standstill

Depth of sample	Clover PER CENT	Maize PER CENT	Fallow ground PER CENT
0–6 in. clay loam	8.39	6 97	16.28
6–12 " " "	8.48	7.8	17.74
12–18 " reddish clay	12.42	11.6	19.88
18–24 " " "	13.27	11.98	19.84
24–30 " sandy clay...................	13.52	10.84	18.56
40–43 " sand.........................	9.53	4.17	15.9

O

The moisture contained in the fallow ground, determined at the same time, shows how much water such a soil may hold against a drought and against percolation below root action.

The amount of moisture, too, in this fallow ground happens to stand just at the under limit for most vigorous plant-growth in this type of soil, while the upper limit is given in the table below for comparison:

Showing upper and lower limits of best amount of soil moisture for one type of soil

Kind and depth of soil	Lower limit of soil moisture	Upper limit of soil moisture	Available soil moisture
	PER CENT	PER CENT	LBS. PER CU. FT.
Clay loam, first foot	17.01	25.77	6.92
Reddish clay, second foot..........	19.86	24.3	4.112
Sandy clay, third foot.............	18.56	24.03	5.722
Sand, fourth foot...................	15.9	22.29	6.786
Total 23 55

It will be seen from this table that to bring the surface four feet of soil from the lower limit of the best productive stage of water content to the upper limit requires an application of 23.55 pounds per square foot, or a depth of irrigation equal to 4.527 inches.

It is quite certain that with a greater distance to standing water in the ground, the 4th foot, and probably also the 3d foot, could not have retained the amount of water shown by the table ; and, hence, that an irrigation of 4.5 inches on such a soil would have resulted in some loss by percolation below the depth of root feeding.

If it should happen that a soil like the one in question became as dry as is shown in the table on page 225, then the depth of irrigation required to bring the moisture content up to the upper limit of productiveness would be for the maize 11.37 inches, and for the clover 9.39 inches, supposing the ground-water to be at the time not more than 7 feet below the surface.

It follows, therefore, from the observations and data presented, that the amount of water required for one irrigation, where the soil has not been permitted to become too dry, and

where the aim is to bring the soil moisture to the upper limit of productiveness without causing percolation below 4 or 5 feet, will range from about 2.5 inches on the most open soils to 4.5 inches on soils of average texture. But when excessive drying of the soil has taken place, then the amount of water applied may range from 3.75 inches on the most open soils to as high as even 11 inches on that which is of medium or fine texture. It should be understood that many soils, when they become very dry, develop shrinkage cracks, which permit very rapid and abnormally large percolation if excessive amounts of water are applied at one time, and this without saturating the soil, the water simply draining through the large open channels. In such cases repeated smaller applications of water will ensure less loss by percolation, permitting the soil to expand and close up the shrinkage cracks.

THE DEPTH OF ROOT PENETRATION

The greater the depth to which the roots of a crop may feed to advantage in the soil, the larger may be the amount of water applied to the field at a single irrigation without any passing beyond the zone of root action, simply because 2 feet of soil will store more water than 1 foot, and 10 feet more than 5. But, further than this, where the roots of a plant penetrate the soil deeply and spread widely, a *much smaller per cent of water* in the soil will enable the plant to obtain enough to carry on its functions to good advantage. This is so because the roots go to the moisture, and do not, therefore, need to wait for the moisture to come to them at the extremely slow rate it is known to travel in a relatively dry soil. Then, too, when a crop, by reason of its great spread of root, is able to meet

Fig. 37.   Penetration of roots of prune on peach in arid soil of
California.   (Hilgard.)

its needs in a dryer soil, it is evident that a much higher duty of water is possible, for the simple reason that none can be lost by percolation, and much less will be lost by surface evaporation, even with deficient tillage.

We have already called attention to the probable deeper rooting of plants in soils of arid regions, where

Fig. 38. Penetration of apple root in Wisconsin, 7 years planted. Depth 9 feet. (Goff.)

there is less distinction between the soil and subsoil, than in those of humid climates. Since writing that section, we have received Professors Hilgard and Loughridge's Bulletin 121, in which they emphasize this point by placing in evidence a photo-engraving of a prune tree on a peach root exposed in the soil to a depth of 8 feet, and represented in Fig. 37. The method they have used in exposing the root appears,

from the photograph, to have destroyed nearly all but the main trunks, unless it was true that the active

Fig. 39.   Penetration of grape roots in Wisconsin soil.
Depth 6 feet.   (Goff.)

absorbing surfaces were chiefly still more deeply buried in the soil than the excavation extended. This appears quite likely to have been the case, for this penetra-

tion is no greater than has been found in soils in Wisconsin.

Fig. 40. Penetration of raspberry roots in Wisconsin soil.
Depth 5 feet. (Goff.)

Professor Goff has washed out the roots of the apple, grape, raspberry and strawberry, showing the extent of their development in a loamy clay soil

underlaid by a reddish clay subsoil, which changed through a sandy clay into a mixed sand and gravel, at 4 or more feet. His photographs, reproduced in Figs. 38, 39, 40 and 41, show to what extent the roots of these fruits penetrate the soils and subsoils of

Fig. 41. Penetration of roots of strawberry in matted rows in Wisconsin soil. Depth 22 inches. (Goff.)

Wisconsin, where the annual rainfall ranges from 28 to 40 inches. It will be seen from the legends that the roots of the apple have extended to a depth of fully 9 feet, the grape more than 6, and the raspberry more than 5. It is plain, therefore, that even in the soils of humid climates the roots penetrate so deeply that the moisture of the surface 8 to 10 or 12 feet is

laid under tribute by them, and this makes it clear that the storage room for water in the soil for many of the fruits may be much greater than we have pointed out above.

In the case of the strawberry, however, the figure shows that it is a particularly shallow feeder, and, therefore, is certain to suffer severely in dry times if not irrigated.

In Fig. 42 are shown the roots of alfalfa only 174 days from seeding. These had forged their way through so close a clay subsoil that more than four days of continuous washing were required to dissolve away a cylinder of soil 1 foot in diameter and 4 feet long. The roots, however, had penetrated this soil to a depth exceeding four feet, and the nitrogen-fixing tubercles were already developed 22 inches below the surface.

In the rigid data here presented, combined with that shown in Figs. 10 and 11, we have a rational basis upon which to build a practice of irrigation, so far as that relates to the depth of soil

Fig. 42. Roots of alfalfa in Wisconsin 174 days from seeding.

which may be moistened and yet be within the reach
of plants.

THE FREQUENCY OF IRRIGATION

The data presented in the last two sections are a
portion of those required to understand the rationale
of this important subject. Viewed from the standpoint
of labor involved in distributing water for irrigation,
it is evident that the fewer the number of irrigations
the smaller may be the labor involved and the lower
the cost. Moreover, the less often the surface of the
soil is wet, the smaller will be the loss of water by
evaporation and by seepage in bringing the water
to the fields ; hence, the higher will be the duty of
water.

The most general rule which can be laid down
governing the frequency of irrigations and the amount
of water to be applied at one time, is to apply as much
water to the soil which is available to the crop as the
crop will tolerate without suffering in yield or quality,
and then husband this water with the most thorough
tillage practicable, in order to reduce the number of
irrigations to the minimum.

It has been shown that a crop of maize yielding
70 bushels per acre may be brought to maturity in 110
days with 11.75 acre-inches of water. It has also been
shown that a soil of medium texture may carry in the
surface 4 feet 4.5 inches of available water, or, if ex-
tremely open, 2.5 inches. Could so high a duty of
water as this be attained under field conditions, three

irrigations would be required for such a crop of maize on the medium soil and five on the most open one, making the intervals between waterings 37 and 22 days; but if the yield was 100 bushels per acre instead of 70, the number of irrigations required would be four or seven, and the intervals between waterings would be · 27 days for the medium soil and 15 days for the most open one.

Computing for wheat on a similar basis, with a yield of 40 bushels per acre, requiring 12 acre-inches of water under the conditions of the highest duty, the number of irrigations would have to be three or five, at intervals of 33 or 20 days, according as the texture of the soil was medium or very coarse; while a crop of barley yielding 60 bushels per acre in a period of 88 days would need 12.84 acre-inches, to be applied in three or five irrigations, at intervals of 29 or 18 days.

These three cases may be taken as types of the highest limits likely to be attained under the best of field conditions, and they may serve as standards toward which we may strive with the satisfaction of knowing that extremely good and thorough work has been done if they are attained.

It will be desirable, now, to review the literature of the frequency of irrigation, and see how actual practice in various parts of the world corresponds with the conclusions stated.

In southern Europe, wheat is irrigated three to four times; in India, five times during the hot seasons and four times for the crop of the cool season. In the United States, Colorado irrigates two, three and, occa-

sionally, four times, two being the usual number; in New Mexico, the ground is irrigated once before and once after seeding and five times later, making seven times in all; while in Utah the number of waterings is three to five.

The average number of irrigations appears to be from three to five for wheat in all parts of the world. But it should be understood that these irrigations are, in all cases, supplemented more or less with natural rainfall. In Colorado, for example, where the usual number of irrigations is two, the rainfall from April 1 to July 1 is often as great as 8 inches, or two-thirds the amount of water required for a yield of 40 bushels per acre, thus making the number of irrigations amount practically to six rather than two, and the mean interval 16⅔ days, instead of 33 to 20.

It must be remembered, further, that while the irrigations of wheat are in all cases supplemented with natural rainfall, the yield per acre does not average 40 bushels; hence the agreement of the theoretical frequency of irrigation, 33 to 20 days, with that actually practiced is more apparent than real.

In Egypt, maize is irrigated every 15 days, which would make seven waterings for the crop. Barker states that six irrigations are given to a crop in the Mesilla valley, New Mexico; while in Italy three is the usual number. But here, again, the spring and early summer rainfall is quite large; so large, indeed, that much maize is grown without irrigation. It appears, therefore, that where this crop must really depend upon irrigation for the water needed, it must be applied as often as

every 15 to 20 days, and our experimental studies place it at 15 to 27 days for yields of 100 bushels per acre.

The intervals between the irrigations for other cereals will be found to fall between those for wheat and maize, oats requiring the largest amount of water and barley the least, to mature a large crop.

In the irrigation of clover and alfalfa, the usual practice is to irrigate once for each crop. But there is little question that larger yields for each crop may be secured where the number of irrigations is doubled, giving six where the number of crops is three, and ten where it is five, thus making the length of the interval 10 or 20 days.

With other meadows, the general custom is to give these as much and as many waterings as the water supply will permit. In Italy, the summer meadows are watered every 14 days. In southern France they are watered every 5 to 18 days, and on the average every 10 days. Winter water meadows, as has been stated, are watered with a nearly continuous flow of water over their surfaces.

With potatoes, the custom is usually to depend upon the natural rainfall to bring the crop nearly or quite to blossoming, and then to irrigate twice on nearly level fields, and three to four times where the slopes are steep or where the soil is very porous and coarse in texture, thus making an interval for this crop of 20 to 40 days.

For this crop our experimental studies indicate that 8.24 acre-inches may produce 400 bushels per acre ; hence, that two to four irrigations might be sufficient

for a full season, starting with the ground in good con-
dition as regards moisture at time of planting, making
the possible interval 33 to 65 days.

Fruit trees in Sicily and southern Italy are watered
12 to 25 times during one season or once every 7 to 14
days. The peach and apple in Mesilla, New Mexico, are
watered once at the beginning of winter, once early in
January, and four or five times between April 1 and
September 30, thus making the interval for the growing
season 30 to 40 days.   In Algeria and Spain, oranges
are irrigated the year round—every 15 days in spring
and summer, but at longer intervals the balance of the
year ; and it is only on the heavy soils that irrigation
is dispensed with during the rainy season.   Grapes,
when irrigated, are usually watered every 10 to 20
days, and young vineyards oftener than those more
mature.

Rice in Italy is kept flooded from the time of
seeding until the plants are coming into bloom, and
then the water is drawn off, but the fields are irrigated
afterwards every few days.   In Egypt the water in
the rice basins is changed every 15 days, and in India
a crop of rice gets as many as twelve waterings.

In South Carolina, Mr. Hazzard informs me that
their custom is to clay the seed to prevent it from
floating, and then to flood the fields, keeping them so .
until the rice is well up, when the water is drawn off
for 3 days to allow the plants to become rooted in
the soil, when the fields are again flooded for 3 weeks,
but changing the water every 7 days.   The water
is again drawn off for 30 days, to give the fields two

dry hoeings, when flooding is again resorted to and maintained until the crop is matured.

THE MEASUREMENT OF WATER

The man who has become expert in handling water for irrigation really needs no means for measuring the amount required for the watering. His judgment, based upon an examination of the soil, is more reliable as to when enough has been applied than any measurement which could be made. But as soon as the same source of water becomes the joint property of a community, or wherever water is sold to consumers, means for measurement and division become indispensable. For the user of water, too, a definite knowledge of the exact amount he is putting upon a given area of land is very important, until he comes to know the needs of his land and of his crops for water; because without this knowledge he is liable to run on for years, using too much or too little water, leading the water too slowly or too rapidly through the furrows, causing waste by deep percolation or too shallow wetting of the soil. If he knows that he has put the equivalent of 3 inches of water upon his field and only a quarter of the surface has been wet, it is certain that his method has been faulty and a large part of the water used has been lost.

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

From the standpoint of the agriculturist, there is no unit for the measurement of water used in irrigation

so satisfactory as one which expresses the depth of
water to be applied to a unit area, and the acre-inch
for English-speaking people, or the hectare-centimeter
for those who use the metric system, should become
universal.   Rainfall is now universally measured in
units of depth, and, as irrigation is intended to make
good deficiencies of rainfall, it would simplify matters
greatly if the irrigator could call for the depth of water
he desired.

An acre-inch is enough water to cover 1 acre 1 inch
deep; and 10 acre-inches of water is enough to cover 1
acre 10 inches deep, or 10 acres 1 inch deep.   As an
acre contains 43,560 square feet, 12 acre-inches is equal
to 43,560 cubic feet of water, and 1 acre-inch equals
one-twelfth of this amount, or 3,630 cubic feet.   As
there are 1,728 cubic inches in a cubic foot, and 231
cubic inches in a gallon, 1 cubic foot equals 7.48+
gallons, and 1 acre-inch equals 27,150 gallons.

As 1 cubic foot of water at 60° F. weighs 62.367
pounds, 1 acre-inch equals 226,392 pounds, or 113.2
tons of 2,000 pounds.

Another measure frequently used in the gauging of
streams, and also used as an irrigation unit, is the
second-foot, which means a discharge or flow of water
equal in volume to 1 cubic foot per second of time;
and a stream having the volume of 1 second-foot would
supply an acre-inch in 3,630 seconds, or in 30 seconds
more than one hour.   In 24 hours, a stream of 1
second-foot would supply 23.8 acre-inches, and would
cover 7.93 acres of land with water 3 inches deep.

Still another unit in common use in the western

United States is the miner's inch, which is the amount of water which may flow through an opening 1 square inch in section in one second under a certain pressure or head. But the legal pressure varies in different states; hence, the miner's inch has not a fixed and definite value. In California 50 miner's inches are usually counted equivalent to 1 second-foot, while in Colorado only 38.4 statute inches are required for a second-foot.

Where a larger unit of measure is desired than either of those named, the acre-foot is sometimes used. This is an amount of water required to cover an acre 1 foot deep, and is, therefore, equal to 12 acre-inches.

METHODS OF MEASUREMENT OF WATER

Much and long as irrigation has been practiced, and important as the subject is, especially in communities where water is scarce and where each user has need of every drop of water he can get, there appears even yet to have been devised few methods of measuring or of apportioning water among the users which possess the degree of precision which could be desired.

In the case of individual irrigators, where the water is pumped and stored in reservoirs, to be used as desired, the area of the reservoir and the amount the water is lowered in it furnish the needed data for determining the amount which has been applied to a given area of land. Or, in the case of direct application of the water pumped to the land, the rate of the pump may be known, and thus, through a knowledge of the time of pumping, furnish an approximate measure of the water used. In the great majority of cases, however, a knowledge of the amount of water used in irrigation must be gained in some other way.

P

The Method of Time Division

Where the amount of water carried in a ditch, lateral or pipe is not so large but that an individual may use the whole of it to advantage, the usual and the simplest method of dividing the water is on the basis of time, allowing each user to have the whole stream a specified number of hours and minutes, making the length of the time proportional to the amount of water to which each user is entitled.

With this method, it is customary to issue to the various users under the ditch, at the beginning of the irrigation season, printed schedules or tickets, covering the whole or a portion of the season, which specify the dates upon which they will be entitled to the use of water, and the length of time they can have it, as illustrated by the following ticket:

With this system, if one man is entitled to two, three or four times the amount of water that a neighbor is entitled to, the length of his period is two, three or four times as long; and, as shown by the ticket, a regular rotation is followed, the water returning to the same user after the same number of days.

Where the water must be used day and night, as should be the case where water is scarce and is allowed to run continuously to reduce waste, in order to prevent the night use of water falling always upon the same individuals, the rotation period may

be made to include a fraction of a day, say 8½ days instead of 8, as in the one cited; or, after a certain number of rotations, the water may be given first to a different member in the circuit, and thus change the time of day at which each gets his turn.

In those cases where the supply of water in the ditch is always the same, this is the most accurate and best method of dividing water which has been devised, and where the amount of water which the ditch carries is known, it gives every one a definite knowledge of the amount of water he is using.

It often happens, however, that the volume of water changes from time to time, and when this is true those who chance to be using water when the supply is high will receive most. But if the period of rotation is short, the injustice will seldom be very great, and where the periods of rotation are short, the service is usually more convenient and better for other reasons than that of a more equitable division of the water, because it permits a user to apply his water to certain fields one date and to another on his next turn, thus permitting him to do his fitting and cultivation between irrigations to a greater advantage.

The Subdivision of Laterals

Where the lateral carries too much water to be used to advantage by single individuals, this may be subdivided readily into two exactly equal portions, and these two divisions may be again subdivided into two precisely equal streams. But in order that the division may be exact, it must be done in certain

Fig. 43.   Branching of canal to divide water equally (*A* and *B*) and unequally (*C*).

ways, as represented in Fig. 43. If the two branches of the lateral form equal angles with the main, have the same fall, and their bottoms at the same level where they start, they will carry equal

volumes of water if their dimensions are exactly the same as shown at A and B. But if the division is made as at C, or in any other manner, which makes the two arms in any way unlike, one will carry more water than the other. So, too, if care is not taken to keep the main and the two branches clean where the division is made, it will not be exact.

When an effort is made to divide the main into two unequal parts, or into an odd number of equal parts, the task becomes an extremely difficult one, and one which is not likely to be accomplished, and the attempt should be avoided.

The cause of the difficulty is found in the fact that the water travels with the greatest velocity in the center of the stream and diminishes in speed as the sides are approached, so that if the main is divided into two branches which have cross-sections in the ratio of 1 to 2, the larger arm will carry more than twice the amount of the smaller one, because it must take a larger share of the water moving in the central portion of the main. Or if the main is divided into three equal laterals, then the central branch is sure to carry more water than either of the two taking the water from nearer the sides, and it is not practicable to so adjust the dimensions of these branches that with varying volumes of water moving in the main the desired ratios shall always be secured in the divisions.

The Use of Divisors

When it is desired to remove from a ditch a certain portion of the amount of water which it is carrying, this is sometimes attempted by means of an arrangement represented in Fig. 44, called a *divisor*, in which the portion A is set into the channel some fractional part of the whole width, determined by the amount which it is desired to take out. Thus, if it was desired to take out one-fifth of the stream, and the lateral had a width of 40 inches, the divisor would be set in toward the center 8 inches. But from what has already been said, it follows that less than one-fifth of the water can thus be removed, for the two reasons, that the section of the stream removed does not

have the mean velocity of the part remaining, and, having to change its direction to one at right angles, its velocity is still further checked in making the turn. The smallest users of water by this system, therefore, invariably receive an amount which is less than they are entitled to use, while the larger users receive more. In order to reduce this inequality of division, the practice of inserting a weir-board in the canal just above the divisor, so as to restore a more nearly equal velocity across the stream, is sometimes adopted; and if the canal is broadened above the measuring-box, so that the water approaches the weir slowly and passes over it smoothly without contraction, Carpenter states that the method will give as satisfactory results as any with which he is acquainted.

Fig. 44. One form of water divisor.

The Use of Modules

A module is defined as a means of taking out of a canal a definitely specified quantity of water, measured as so many inches, cubic feet per second, or other units, rather than the simple division of a stream into a certain number of parts, as is the case where the divisor is used.

Two types of modules are employed, one based upon the principle of the weir as a means for measuring water, and the other on the laws governing the flow of water through orifices. If it were readily practicable to establish and maintain any desired pressure at a weir or an opening, water could be apportioned for irrigation with satisfactory precision with the aid of modules, but no method for doing this has yet been devised, although much study through many centuries has been devoted to it.

The spill-box, invented by A. D. Foote, and represented in Fig. 45, is, perhaps, as satisfactory a means for maintaining a

nearly uniform head against either a weir or an opening as has
yet been devised. Its essential feature is a long, sharp lip,
over which the water may spill back into the canal in a thin
sheet, and thus maintain a nearly constant pressure back of

Fig. 45. Spill-back method of dividing water.

the lip of a weir or above an opening. But this arrangement
does not and cannot maintain a constant pressure where there
is any considerable fluctuation in the volume of water in the
main canal; and, since the depth of water above the opening
or lip of the weir must always be small, even a slight change

in the depth of the water over the lip of the spill-back must make a perceptible difference in the discharge.

Further than this, where the form of the opening is designed to be made longer or shorter by means of a sliding valve according as more or less water is desired, the amount discharged, even when the head is maintained rigidly constant, is not directly proportional to the length of the opening, because the number of inches of margin upon which the resistance to flow depends does not maintain a constant ratio to the cross-section of the opening. The more margin there is in proportion to the area of the opening, the greater must be the loss of discharge through friction and contraction, so that the most exact and generally satisfactory way of apportioning water among users which has yet been devised, is that of bisecting the stream until its volume has become suitable for individual use, and then subdividing by time under some system of rotation.

CHAPTER VII

THE characteristics which determine the suitability of water for the purposes of irrigation must depend upon the chief objects for which the water is used : whether it is to control temperature, as in the case of winter-meadows and in cranberry culture ; to supply plant-food, as in the case of summer water-meadows ; to meet the simple need of water for the transpiration of the growing crop, or to deposit sediments for the purpose of building up the surface of low-lying areas, as in the case of warping.

TEMPERATURE OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION

Where one of the prime objects in the use of water for irrigation is to stimulate plant-growth, the warmer the water is within the natural ranges of temperature the better are the results. According to Ebermayer, when the temperature of the soil in which a crop is growing has been lowered to from 45° to 48° F., physiological processes are brought nearly to a standstill in it, and the maximum rate of growth does not become possible until after the soil temperature has risen above 68° to 70°. It is plain, therefore, that if large volumes of cold water were applied to the soil at

(248)

one time, and especially if a flooding system were
adopted by which the cold water were kept moving
over the ground in the growing season during several
days, the temperature of ' the soil might easily be
brought so low as to seriously interfere with normal
growth.

The dangers, however, from using cold irrigation
waters are not as great as might at first be supposed;
and it is seldom, where good judgment is exercised, that
the low temperature of the water of wells and springs
need prohibit its use for the purposes of irrigation.

In the first place, there are few cases where the
temperature of well or spring water during the irri-
gation season will be found as cold as 45° F., the
more usual temperature being nearly 50° or above.
In the second place, water warms very rapidly during
bright summer days, when spread over the surface
of the ground, or when led along furrows, and even
while flowing through ditches, for it absorbs the direct
heat from the sun readily, as the rays of light pene-
trate it, and is further indirectly warmed by the
balance of the sunshine which, passing through the
water, is arrested by the dark soil beneath.   While
the water is flowing over the surface of the ground,
if its temperature is below that of the soil, it really
stores much heat which otherwise would be lost, be-
cause relatively much less will be lost by radiation
from the hot surface of the soil and stored in the
water, leaving less to pass away from the dry ground
whose immediate surface becomes very warm, and
hence fitted to lose heat rapidly.

In the third place, the temperature of the surface
foot of soil in the daytime of midsummer, with its
contained moisture, is usually as high as 68° to
75°, and to lower its temperature 1° F. requires the
absorption by water added of from 25 to 40 heat units,
according as the soil varies from a nearly pure sand,
weighing 110 pounds per cubic foot, and containing
4 per cent of water, to a humus soil, containing 30
per cent of water and 50 pounds of dry matter per
cubic foot.

One heat unit is taken as the amount needed to raise 1
pound of water at 32° to 33° F. With the relations stated, it
appears that 4 inches of water having a temperature of 45° F.
applied to a field having a soil temperature of 75° might lower
the surface foot to 65° or 61.7°, according to the specific heat of
the soil ; and with a soil temperature of 68°, the lowest tem-
perature the 4 inches of water could produce would range be-
tween 60° and 57.6°. But this assumes that the water is applied
at once, with no opportunity for warming until it is brought into
contact with the soil, which, of course, cannot be the case. If
the irrigation water has a temperature of 50° F., then the lowest
degree 4 inches of water could force upon the surface foot of
soil would be some amount above 66.7° to 63.7° when the origi-
nal soil temperature was 75°, or 62° to 59.9° if the initial soil
temperature were 68° F.

The results summarized on page 214 indicate that the mean
amount of water used in single irrigations is at the rate of 2.02
inches once in 10 days. Hence, were the coldest water used in
this quantity, the greatest depression of the temperature of the
surface foot could not exceed 6.7° F. This assumes that neither
the water nor the soil receives any heat during the time the
water is being applied. It is clear, therefore, that where good
judgment is exercised in the application of either well or spring
water, it may be used without in any serious way interfering with
normal growth. The chief danger will, of course, lie in the ap-

plication of excessive amounts of water, when injury would follow certainly, and sooner than where warmer water is at hand.

Warm water is better than cold, and in making a choice of waters it is, of course, best to select the warmest where this can be done. But the point we wish to emphasize is, that well and spring water and mountain streams may be used to advantage for irrigation where warmer water is not at hand. Mr. Cranefield* has experimented with tomatoes, radishes and beans grown in a greenhouse and in the garden, irrigated with water at 32°, and has found them to do nearly as well as those given water at 70° or 100°.

The writer waters his own garden and lawn directly from a well with water having a temperature of 48° to 50° F., and the present year we cut with a lawn mower, on 21,869 square feet of lawn about the house, between May 6 and November 5, enough grass to feed one cow all she needed for 95⅓ days. On 90,709 square feet, including the lawn, or 2.08 acres, we this year fed, by soiling, two cows and one horse from May 6 until November 5, and put into the barn besides 4.75 tons of hay, .14 acres of this ground being in Stowell's Evergreen sweet corn. Three crops of clover were cut from the same ground, and the third cutting, November 1, averaged a ton of hay per acre, and was a little past full bloom, and yet the watering was done directly from the well with water at 48° F.

FERTILIZING VALUE OF IRRIGATION WATER

In traveling from place to place in Europe, it was a continual surprise to the writer to learn from those who were using water for the irrigation of meadows that the fertility which the river waters added to the soil was generally regarded as the chief advantage derived from them. The vast volumes of water which are sometimes used for this purpose have already been cited.

*Fifteenth Ann. Rept. Wis. Agr. Exp. Station, p. 250.

As an example of the amount and kind of material which would be added to the land where what is regarded as exceptionally pure water is used, we compute from the results of analyses of the water of the Delaware river* the amount of material contained in solution in 24 acre-inches, as follows:

Materials in 24 acre-inches of Delaware river water

	Pounds
Calcium carbonate......................	242.6
Magnesium carbonate	166.16
Potassium carbonate....................	31.74
Sodium chloride........................	20.54
Potassium chloride	1.86
Calcium sulphate......	35.48
Calcium phosphate	26.14
Silica..................................	93.34
Ferric oxide............................	5.6
Organic matter containing ammonia	117.62
Total...........·.....................	741.08

The average amounts of nitrogen compounds, as computed from the chemical analyses of the waters of twelve streams in New Jersey, are as follows:

Nitrogen Compounds dissolved in 24 acre-inches of water from 12 streams in New Jersey

	Pounds
Free ammonia...........................	15.63
Albuminoid ammonia	81.12
Nitrates	772.67
Nitrites	.86
Total	870.28

*Rept. New Jersey Geol. Survey 1868, p. 102.

Using the figures of T. M. Read* regarding the amount of materials which the great rivers of the world bear in solution to the sea, it appears that the Mississippi and St. Lawrence rivers, in North America, and the Amazon and La Plata, in South America, carry an amount such that the average is 655.6 pounds per each 24 acre-inches of water.

Goss and Hare†, from analyses of the water of the Rio Grande at different periods from June 1 to October 31, compute that 24 acre-inches of the water contained in sediment and in solution 1,075 pounds of potash, 116 pounds of phosphoric acid, and 107 pounds of nitrogen. The water of this river contains a sufficient amount of sediment so that 24 acre-inches of it furnishes 81,309 pounds, or more than 4 tons per acre.

It is evident from these data that the ordinary clear waters of rivers, lakes, springs and wells cannot be expected to bear to the fields upon which they are applied a sufficient amount of plant-food to meet the needs of crops, unless the water is applied in much larger volumes than is required to meet the demands of soil moisture.

SEWAGE WATERS FOR PURPOSES OF IRRIGATION

It may be laid down as a general rule that the water of highest value for the purposes of irrigation is the sewage of large cities, unless it contains too

---

*Am. Jour. Sci., vol. xxix., p. 290.
†New Mexico Expt. Sta., Bull. 12.

large amounts of poisonous products from factories in the form of injurious chemical compounds.

The organic matter of sewage, in both its soluble and finely divided, suspended form of solids, when sufficiently diluted with other water, is of the highest value as a fertilizer for many crops, and in all warm climates it is often practicable and very desirable to use such water for this purpose.

Reference has already been made to the use of sewage waters from the city of Milan on the water-meadows of Italy. The far-famed Craigentinny meadows, outside of Edinburgh, are another emphatic illustration of the value of sewage in the production of grass, and Storer, after visiting them in 1877, writes as follows:

"In 1877 there were 400 acres of these 'forced meadows' near Edinburgh, and they are said to increase gradually. The Craigentinny meadows, just now mentioned, were about 200 acres in extent, and they had then been irrigated 30 years and more. They were laid down at first to Italian ray grass and a mixture of other grass seed, but these artificial grasses disappeared long ago, couch-grass and various natural grasses having taken their place. The grass is sold green to cow-keepers, and yields from $80 to $150 per acre. One year the price reached $220 per acre. They get five cuts between the 1st of April and the end of October. This farm of 200 acres turns in to its owner every year $15,000 to $20,000 at the least calculation, and his running expenses consist in the wages of two men, who keep

the ditches in order. The sewage he gets free. The yield of grass is estimated at from 50 to 70 tons per acre."

In 1895, 18 years later, the writer visited the meadows described above, and Figs. 46 and 47 were taken at the time. The first figure shows a load of grass, estimated to weigh 2,500 pounds, cut to feed 23 cows during one day, from an area of 2,734 square feet. Seven acres of this grass had been purchased to feed the herd of 23 cows from May 1 to

Fig. 46. Two thousand five hundred pounds of grass cut on 2,734 sq. ft. of Craigentinny Meadows, Edinburgh, Scotland.

October 20, during which time the grass would be cut four or five times, and the price paid for this grass, sold at auction, varied from $77.44 to $111.32 per acre, according to the quality of the several plots making up the seven acres purchased. The increase of these meadows about Edinburgh, it was said, was tending to lower the price which this grass could

command, but the superintendent informed me that
during the past twenty years the average price per
acre for the whole estate had been $102.20.   Yet
this grass is cut by the purchasers and hauled three

Fig. 47.   Distribution of sewage on Craigentinny meadows, Edinburgh,
Scotland, just after cutting grass.

to four miles day by day to feed their cows, stabled
and milked in the crowded business portions of
the city.

When it is further stated that much of the land
upon which this grass is now grown, and has been
continuously grown for nearly a century without
rotation, was originally a waste sandy sea beach, it
will be the better appreciated how valuable is such
sewage water for the purposes of irrigation.

Regarding the healthfulness of milk produced from grass
grown under sewage irrigation, statements like the following
are repeatedly being made : "The only question is, whether
there may not remain adhering to grass which has been bathed

with sewage some germs of typhoid, cholera or other vile disease which are propagated in human excrement;" and in view of what is now known regarding the nature of such diseases, it is not strange that such fears should arise in the minds of sanitarians.

But in view of the fact that milk has been produced from such feed for nearly a century immediately within the city of Edinburgh, the sewaged grass traversing the streets daily during the whole season in sufficient quantity for several thousand cows, and the milk so produced wholly consumed by its people without protest, must be taken as the safest possible evidence that there is practically little danger in this direction ; and when it is remembered that the large city of Milan, Italy, has been supplied with milk produced from such grass fed the year round for more than two centuries, the evidence against the fear expressed is more than doubly strong, coming, as it does, from a warm southern climate and covering so long a period.

The question, however, is still discussed, and in order that there may be no tendency to throw public vigilance off its guard in so grave a matter, we quote from the Edinburgh Evening Dispatch of July 5, 1895, parts of a discussion which was being had at the time of my visit, as follows:

" Last week we called attention to the peculiar tactics adopted by some medical gentlemen, sanitarians and others, who are attempting to float a new dairy company. * * * One of the strategic movements of these 'philanthropic' speculators was to try and create a prejudice against the milk produced in the Edinburgh dairies, on the ground that the cows were largely fed on sewage grass during the summer. In regard to this, we pointed out that the royal commission which investigated the whole subject of sewage farming some years ago, reported that they had failed to discover a single case where injury to health had resulted from the use of milk drawn from cows fed on sewage grass. Since our article on the subject appeared last week, our attention has been called to some further evidence which fully confirms the conclusions at which the royal commissioners had arrived. In his evidence given before the Rivers

Q

Pollution Commissioners, the medical officer of health for Edinburgh, Dr. Littlejohn, now Sir Henry Littlejohn, said:

" 'The cows in Edinburgh are chiefly fed with sewage grass that is grown on Craigentinny meadows. I have thought that there might be objection to feeding cows upon grass so grown, because I was of opinion that grass so grown might be of inferior quality. But practically I have failed to detect any bad effects resulting from the use of such grass.'

"Another point which these philanthropic sanitarians tried to make out against milk from sewage-grass-fed cows was that such milk 'turned putrid in a very short space of time.' The most ample evidence is forthcoming to show the absolute groundlessness of this contention also. Mr. Spier, the Scottish Dairy Commissioner, who has conducted most of the dairy experiments which have been carried on for the Highland Agricultural Society, has fully tested the matter, and he writes to us as follows on the subject:

" 'By way of testing this point, I set aside eighteen cows for the experiment: Of these, six were fed in the house on sewage grass, six were fed in the house on vetches, and the other six were pastured in the fields. Milk from each of these sets of cows was repeatedly set aside in separate vessels until it became decidedly tainted, and out of the numerous tests the milk from the cows fed on sewage grass never once turned sour first. In the majority of cases, the milk from the cows fed on the vetches was the first to turn sour, while the milk from the sewage grass and on the pasture was about equal in keeping properties. On several occasions the milk from the three lots of cows was kept for the same length of time and churned separately, but on no single occasion did the butter from the cows fed on sewage grass become rancid before the other lots did. Samples of the butter from the three different lots of milk were sent to the chemist of the society, and he was unable to tell which was which.' "

These statements will serve to call attention to the fears which have been expressed on theoretical considerations, and the nature of the evidence which appears to indicate that there is little ground for them.

THE VALUE OF TURBID WATER IN IRRIGATION

Next in value to warm sewage water for irrigation must be placed that of streams carrying considerable quantities of suspended solids. It is generally recog- nized that the richest and most enduring soils of the world are those formed from the alluvium of streams laid down by the water on its flood plains, and reworked many times over as the stream shifts its course from side to side in the valley; and when this is true, it will not be strange that the water of turbid streams has generally been held in great esteem for irrigation, on account of its high fertilizing value.

In the case of the Rio Grande river, Goss has shown that the application of 24 inches of this water would add nearly one-quarter of an inch of soil to the field in the form of river sediment, and that this sediment would contain per acre 1,821 pounds of potassium sulphate, 116 pounds of phosphoric acid (P_2O_5), and 107 pounds of nitrogen. Four years of irrigation at this rate would add an inch of soil to the field, and 24 years would cover it 6 inches deep with a sediment containing three times the amount of potash found in the average clay soil, and the same percentage of phosphoric acid and a high percentage of nitrogen.

When such sediments are laid down upon coarse, sandy soils, it will be readily appreciated that the gain to the field is far greater than that due to the mere plant-food which the sediments contain; for such sediments, being composed of very fine grains, their

influence in improving the texture of the soil is quite as great as that due to the fertilizers contained.

The sediment carried by the Po is given by Lombardini as $\frac{1}{300}$ of the volume of the river, and on this account the waters are held in high esteem for irrigation.

The river Nile, during the time of the rainy season of mountainous Abyssinia, comes loaded with sediment constituting $\frac{1}{811}$ of the volume of the water; and this, under the old system of the Pharoahs of basin irrigation, which permitted the rich mud to collect on the fields, kept them fertile for thousands of years, and they are so today; whereas in Lower Egypt, where the old practice has been abandoned in recent years for an "improved" system, which does not permit the utilization of the rich Nile mud, the fields are fast deteriorating in fertility, although only half a century has passed.

The Durance, in France, is famous for its fertile waters, and they carry at the ordinary maximum $\frac{1}{33}$ of their weight of sediment, or nearly 1.9 pounds per cubic foot, equal to 82,464 pounds per each acre-foot of water. In rare cases the sediment of this stream rises to $\frac{1}{10}$ of the water by weight, and the average proportion for nine years has been found to be $\frac{1}{160}$. When such waters are used year after year on poor lands, the improvement becomes very great, while on the better lands a high and permanent degree of fertility is maintained indefinitely, with heavy yields per acre as the result.

IMPROVEMENT OF LAND BY SILTING

Nature's method of depositing the fine silt borne along by streams, whenever they overflowed their banks, early suggested the idea of directing this work so that the materials should be laid down on sandy or gravelly soils, to so improve the texture and fertility as to convert comparatively worthless areas into extremely productive lands.

In other cases, where marshy, low-lying lands, or shallow lakes and estuaries were lying adjacent to turbid streams, the waters have been so turned upon them and then led away as to lay down mantles of rich soil of sufficient thickness to raise the surface to such a height as to permit of drainage, and thus reclaim worthless swamps, converting them into rich, arable fields.

In England, where the method was introduced from Italy to reclaim waste lands near the sea, the process is called "warping," and in France "colmatage." In England, as on the Humber, where the tides rise several feet, and the waters of the river are turbid, much land has been reclaimed by warping. Centuries ago low, flat lands were dyked off from the sea to prevent inundation; but in more recent years, to this improvement was added the one under consideration. Tide sluices, provided with gates to admit the turbid water held back by the sea, were set in the dykes, and the low lands were laid out in fields surrounded by banks for retaining the water until the sediment borne in upon the area should have time

to settle, when the clear water returned to the stream
with the fall of the tide.

So large was the amount of sediment carried in
the water, and so rapid was the silting-up, that fields
of 10 to 15 acres are said to have been raised from
one to three feet during a single season, thus convert-
ing worthless peat bogs in so brief a time into fields
of the richest soil. One season spent in warping,
one for the ground to settle and become compacted,
and a third to get it into grass, is the usual time
required for reclamation, and after this such fields
produce enormous crops of almost any kind suited to
the climate.   In other regions, where less sediment
is carried in the water, or where greater depths of
silt must be laid down in order to secure the desired
level of the surface, longer time is required for the
work, but in Italy fields have been raised as much
as 6 to 7 feet in 10 years.

In other portions of the world, notably in the
Nile valley, a modification of this system of silting
for the yearly enrichment of the soil is practiced.
To this end the ancient irrigators, both in upper and
lower Egypt, had laid out the accessible lands for
basin irrigation, by which the turbid and fertile waters
of the Nile, at its flood season, could be led upon the
settling areas and held until the rich sediments were
laid down, thus converting otherwise comparatively
worthless sandy soils into the richest and most de-
sirable of fields, and so maintained for thousands of
years by periodic inundations.

Then, again, in France, as in the Moselle valley,

and in the district of the mouths of the Rhone, between Arles and Mirimas, for example, on broad, flat plains of extremely coarse gravel, where in earlier years the uncontrolled waters have permitted no soil to form, this system of silting, "colmatage" or

Fig. 48. Head-gate on the Durance above Avignon, France.

"warping," has been introduced, and rich deposits laid down among and above the coarse materials, until productive fields, orchards and gardens have taken the place of wide reaches of naked gravel beds.

Fig. 48 is a head - gate on the Durance, above Avignon, where a portion of the water of the district is taken out. The soil here, for depths exceeding 10 feet, as shown by cuts observed, is made up, seem-

ingly, of 70 per cent of coarse gravel from ¼ inch up to 4 and 5 inches in diameter, and a surprisingly large per cent is composed of the larger sizes. Among this gravel the river silt has been deposited until fields of alfalfa and wheat, as well as gardens and almond orchards, are grown upon these extremely pervious beds.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR SILTING IN EASTERN UNITED STATES

East of the Mississippi, extending from Wisconsin through Michigan, New York, and into New Jersey, as well as in New England, there are extensive areas of very sandy lands which, if they were subjected to this process of silting, so as to render them less open in texture, and to increase the per cent of plant-food they contain, would become productive and very desirable lands. At present they are gently sloping sandy plains, bearing a scant vegetation, but presenting ideal slopes for irrigation, and very many of which are so situated that water could readily be led upon them, both for silting purposes and for permanent irrigation, at relatively small cost.

Then, again, in the southern states, notably in the Carolinas and Georgia, there are vast areas of sandy soil which stand greatly in need of such improvement as flooding with silt-laden waters could bring about. These lands possess surface features and slopes which readily permit of this being done; and, what is more to the point, the streams are abundant and heavily

laden with silt which they are carrying out to sea in
great volumes, thus robbing the Piedmont country at
a fearful rate, through lack of sufficient care, of its
most fertile soil, and transporting it directly through
the fields to which it should be applied and upon
which it could readily be led to great advantage.

On the sea coasts of these three states, and par-
ticularly in South Carolina, there lie those extensive
and once wonderfully productive rice fields upon which
so much labor and capital have been spent, but which
are now largely abandoned, since the war of the re-
bellion, for the lack of sufficient energy to bring the
needed capital to the region.

Here are opportunities for capital to find splendid
permanent investment at good rates of interest, to
reclaim the vast rice fields now fast falling into ruin,
and to apply the methods of warping to these and
other lands until they become what they may certainly
readily be made, both thoroughly healthful and the
richest of fields, adapted to a wide diversity of pro-
ductions.   The opportunities for warping are better
nowhere in the world, and there must certainly be a
great future awaiting intelligence, energy and capital
here to work out the needed improvements.

ALKALI WATERS NOT SUITABLE FOR IRRIGATION

In many portions of the world, and oftenest in
arid and semi-arid regions, the waters of some
streams and wells, and particularly those of lakes,
are too heavily charged with the salts of sodium—

common salt, sal soda and Glauber's salt or sodium chloride, carbonate and sulphate respectively — to make it advisable to use them for the purposes of irrigation.

These salts are a part of the waste products of soil production which ordinary vegetation is unable to use with profit, and which in countries of heavy rainfall are washed out of the soil nearly as rapidly as formed. Where these salts, however, do accumulate to any notable extent, it is designated an alkali soil, and will not produce normal crops of many of the forms grown in plant husbandry. The general subject of alkalies and their treatment is discussed in the next chapter, but we cite below the composition of waters which have been regarded as safe and as unsafe, without treatment, for purposes of irrigation:

Table of safe and unsafe alkali waters in parts per 1,000*

No. of sample	——Safe water—— Black alkali	White alkali	No. of sample	——Unsafe water—— Black alkali	White alkali
740	.022	.067	739	.141	.135
742	.005	.306	741	.009	8.756
743	.007	.155	753	.026	.818
744	.022	.399	751	.011	7.374
755	.009	.334	746	.101	1.063
749	.026	.306	747	.115	1.082
750	.014	.111	757	.036	1.577
754	.026	.033	760	.132	.084

It is very unfortunate that after an analysis of a sample of water has shown accurately the amounts of various elements it may contain, it has not been pos-

*Computed from Bull. 29, p. 4, Oklahoma Exp. Sta.

sible to state with certainty precisely how these ele-
ments were combined in the sample. It is more
unfortunate that chemists are not agreed as to how
results should be interpreted, and that different sys-
tems are followed by different analysts. But what is
most unfortunate of all, is that many chemists have
published their computed results, as though. there
were but one interpretation of them, and have not
given the data upon which their computations were
based. Hence, we have found it impossible to arrive
at what may be regarded as the safe amount of
black or white alkali an irrigation water may contain.
The table given above represents the opinion of two
chemists as shaped by their system of computing the
amounts of the alkalies in the samples analyzed, but
it must be understood that another chemist using the
same data, with a different system of apportionment,
would compute either less or more black alkali and
more or less white alkali than the authors have
credited the samples with as given in the table above.

We make this explanation, that the irrigator may
understand that when the water from a given source
is said to contain .022 parts in 1,000 of black alkali,
more allowance must be made in regard to accuracy
than is required for the statement that the water car-
ries in solution 11.234 grains of solids per gallon.

ⲓ It should be understood further, as will be shown
in the next chapter, that a given quantity of ·black
alkali may prohibit the use of the water for irrigation
purposes on one soil, when upon another it may be
used with perfect safety.

It sometimes happens that waters draining from swamp lands where there has been considerable stagnation, or where there are too strong solutions of humic acids or salts of iron, are not suitable for irrigation purposes, and must be avoided. In portions of Europe, too, there are streams used for irrigation which· are known as "good" streams and "bad" streams. Crops irrigated from one produce heavier yields than when irrigated from the other, and cases are cited where the differences in yield are so large that they can hardly be assigned entirely to difference in the amount of plant-food carried by the two.

CHAPTER VIII

ALKALI LANDS

CHARACTERISTICS OF ALKALI LANDS

THE use of the term "alkali lands," as commonly
employed, has quite a loose or wide application. Hil-
gard states that in California the term is applied
almost indiscriminately to all lands whose soils con-
tain unusual amounts of soluble salts, so that during
the dry season or after irrigation the surface becomes
more or less white with the deposits left by the evapo-
ration of the capillary waters. Throughout much of
Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, and other states lying
within the glaciated areas of this country, there are
black marsh soils which, after being drained and
tilled, come to acquire in spots a deposit of white
salts at the surface whenever there is much evapo-
ration from the soil, and these are frequently spoken
of as "alkali spots." Where these salts are well
marked in character, crops are killed out entirely, or
the growth is stunted much as is true of the black
alkali spots of arid regions. On the rice fields of
South Carolina, there appear during the dry stage
of growth of the crop "alum spots," as they are there
called, upon which the rice may die out or be of
inferior quality. Then, too, on the margins of the

sea, where there are low-lying lands periodically in-
undated by high tides, white deposits are again left
when the surface becomes dry, and are injurious to
cultivated crops when they have accumulated to suf-
ficient strength, and these are sometimes spoken of
as "alkali lands."

In the wide application of the term, then, "alkali
lands" are those upon which soluble salts have ac-
cumulated in sufficient quantity, through evaporation
and capillarity, to attract attention by their usually
white appearance and their injurious effects upon
vegetation.

Hilgard states that "alkali lands must be pointedly
distinguished from the salt lands of the sea margins
or marshes, from which they differ both in their
origin and essential nature;" and, in the sense he
wishes to be understood, the distinction should be
made; but there are important advantages, as will
appear, in treating them all under one head.

CAUSE OF INJURIES BY ALKALIES

When the soil water about the roots of plants or
germinating seeds becomes sufficiently strong with
salts in solution, the osmotic pressure is so modified
that a discharge of the cell contents into the soil takes
place to such an extent as to produce what is equiva-
lent to wilting.   The cells are not maintained suffi-
ciently turgid to permit normal growth, or they may
have the pressure so much lowered as to cause death.
The case is like placing the plump strawberry or

currant in a strong solution of sugar, where it is observed to greatly shrink in volume. So, too, it is like placing meat under strong brine, and the use of sugar in preserves, where there is so strong a solution about the products preserved that the germs of decay cannot thrive in them.

This, then, is one of the modes by which the injurious effects of alkalies are produced, and it should be understood that it matters very little what substance may be in solution in the soil water, so long as it is there in sufficient quantity to produce the osmotic shrinkage referred to.

Every one is familiar with the fact that too concentrated fertilizers may produce death to the plant, and it may be by this action. Applying the principle to the alkalies in the soil, it must be recalled that these compounds are all relatively very soluble in water, so that if only large quantities of water containing even small amounts of the salts are evaporated in contact with the roots of growing crops, the solution surrounding the soil grains may become too strong for good plant feeding, and even death may result.

On this fundamental principle of action, it is plain that the black as well as the white alkalies fall into the same category, and this, too, no matter what may be their composition, origin or geographic range.

It is more than probable, if not even certain, that the action of some of these salts may be that of true poison; but the real nature of toxic effects is not as yet understood in any full sense.

HOW ALKALIES ACCUMULATE IN THE SOIL

Everywhere in the soil where there are sufficient changes in the air and the moisture, the soil grains are being broken down and dissolved by both physical and chemical means, and unless the rains are sufficiently heavy to carry the ever-forming dissolved salts away in the country drainage, they will be brought to the surface by capillarity and there concentrated until precipitated. The more insoluble of the plant-foods, and other salts which are not such, cannot charge the water sufficiently high to do serious harm, hence in common language and in the sense the term is here used, they do not become "alkalies."

But with the other salts the case is different. They are precipitated when the solution becomes strong enough, and form deposits on the surface or about the roots in the soil where water is being removed, but before this actually occurs one or both of the actions referred to above begins to take place.

In arid regions, where the alkalies proper are most abundant, rains enough may fall to slowly carry forward their formation, but not enough to carry them out of the land. From the higher levels and steeper slopes they are readily moved by surface drainage and wind action to the lower lands, where the amount may become so large as to form thick beds. During the wet season of such countries, these salts may sink into the soil, but to rise again when dry weather restores the action of capillarity.

In the humid regions, there is necessarily an even

:nore rapid formation of all the true alkalies of arid climates; for fundamentally similar rock ingredients are subjected to identical weathering processes, but of a more intense nature, because the rainfall is greater. If, therefore, there occur conditions favorable to the accumulation of the soluble salts formed at and near the surface of the soil, these should be expected to show as alkalies.

Most of the marsh lands of the world, excepting those under the influence of tide waters, owe their wet character to the underflow of ground-water which has percolated into the adjacent higher lands, and which rises to or near the surface wherever this is sufficiently low to permit of it doing so. When such lands are drained, the rate of surface evaporation and the rise of capillary water from below may exceed the annual rainfall, and thus lead to an accumulation at the surface of salts of such intensity and character as to interfere with the normal growth of plants.

It must be kept in mind that where the ground-water level is near the surface, the rate of capillary rise may many times exceed what it could be under other conditions, and since the rate of evaporation is most rapid where the surface soil is wettest, the conditions are extremely favorable for the accumulation of soluble salts at the surface of marsh lands in humid climates after they have been drained. The waters leaching through the more open, higher lands become charged with salts, and as these waters come again near the surface under the low areas they are raised by capillarity and evaporated, leaving the salts which

R

had been taken up along the underground path
to accumulate over the low-lying lands, and since
the evaporation of 12 inches of salt-laden water may
produce more deposits than the same depth of rain
would be sure to remove in leaching downward, the
chances are favorable to accumulation.

INTENSIVE FARMÍNG MAY TEND TO THE ACCUMU-
LATION OF ALKALIES

It has already been pointed out that during the
growing season, after vegetation has come into full
action, nearly all of the rains which fall in humid
climates are retained near the surface until they are
evaporated, either through the growing crop or from
the soil, and since these waters tend to form salts
when they are in contact with the soil grains, they
must tend to increase the salt content near the surface.
It is plain, too, that the heavier the crops produced
and the greater the number of them in the season, the
less is likely to be the loss of any water from the field
by under-drainage ; hence the greater the tendency
for soluble salts to accumulate. Then, if during the
winter season of a country the rainfall is deficient, so
that little leaching can take place, conditions become
still more favorable for the accumulation of alkalies.

Further than this, if irrigation is practiced during
the growing season only, and this water also is
evaporated from the soil in addition to the natural
rainfall, it is plain that the amount of soluble salts
in the soil must increase, both on account of that
which may have been in the water applied, and that

which this additional water may have been instrumental in producing from the soil on the spot through the processes of weathering.

Indeed, the more we study and reflect upon this problem, the more we are led to fear that in all arid climates, where irrigation is practiced, it will not be found sufficient to apply simply enough water to the soil to meet the needs of the crop growing upon the ground at the time, but, on the contrary, there must be enough more water applied to take up and carry away into drainage channels and out of the country to the sea not only the soluble salts which the irrigation waters carry, but also those which it causes to be produced from the soil and subsoil. In other words, it appears that an excess of soluble salts in a thoroughly irrigated field is not only a normal but an inevitable condition, unless sufficient leaching takes place; and if this is true, the sparing use of water can only increase the number of years required to bring the salts up to the danger point of concentration.

AMOUNT OF SOLUBLE SALTS WHICH ARE INJURIOUS IN SOILS

Storer states that it is a matter of record that long experience in the south of France has shown that any soil which becomes visibly covered with a slight incrusation of salt in times of drought is improper for cultivation, unless special pains are taken to prevent the surface from becoming dry.

Plagniol insisted, in his time, that soils containing more than 2 per cent of salt are unfit for the growth

of any other than samphire, saltwort, and the like, and that even these cannot thrive when the salt becomes as high as 5 per cent.

Dehérain concludes, from his studies in France, that while soils kept very moist may produce crops even when 2 per cent of salt is present, yet if the soils dry out badly they become sterile with no more than 1 per cent present. Gasparin has maintained, however, that while soils containing .02 per cent of salt may produce good crops of wheat, .2 per cent is more than this crop can bear.

Speaking, next, of the alkali salts of arid climates, we may cite some of the data procured by Hilgard in his extended and careful studies of the alkali problems of California. At their Tulare Experiment Station, he gives both the amount and the distribution of soluble salts in the surface 18 inches of soil where, in one case, barley grew to a height of 4 feet, and in another the amounts of the salt were so great that this crop would not thrive. The data which we give in tabular form have been read from his plotted curves, hence the values must be regarded as not quite exact.

Table showing amount and composition of alkali salts in parts per 100
Taken September, 1894, Tulare Experiment Station, California

| Depth in 3-in sections | Ground upon which barley grew 4 feet high | | | | Ground upon which barley did not grow | | | |
	Sodium carb'ate Na_2CO_3	Sodium sulphate Na_2SO_4	Com'n salt NaCl	Total soluble salts	Sodium carb'ate Na_2CO_3	Sodium sulphate Na_2SO_4	Com'n salt NaCl	Total soluble salts
0 to 3 in...	.008	.68	.36	1.2	.07	1.22	.68	2.44
3 to 6 in...	.009	.26	.07	.34	.1	.16	.1	.38
6 to 9 in...	.013	.1	.03	.168	.009	.11	.05	.28 .
9 to 12 in...	.024	.057	.02	.143	.009	.148	.06	.834
12 to 15 in...	.038	.037	.02	.119	.14	.1	.04	.29
15 to 18 in...	.04	.02	.02	.09	.18	.06	.02	.263

Sodium nitrate · is also given in these cases as a constituent, but as this may be regarded as a plant-food, we have omitted it from the table. It will be observed that the total soluble salts in the surface 3 inches where the barley grew well was about half that found in the case where it would not grow, the amounts in the two cases being 1.2 and 2.44 per cent of the soil. The difference between the amounts of the black alkali in the two cases stands as 8 to 70,. or much more.

Referring to the possibility of these salts interfering with plant life simply on account of their plasmolitic action, it may be said that DeVries found, as represented in Fig. 49, that when the living cells of a plant were immersed in a 4 per cent solution of potassium

Fig. 49. Effect of too strong solution of potassium nitrate on the protoplasm of plant cells. (After De Vries.)

nitrate, there was first a shrinkage in volume through a loss of water, as shown between 1 and 2. When the solution was given a strength of 6 per cent, then, in addition to the change in volume, the protoplasmic lining P began to shrink away from the cell wall h, as shown at 3, and when the strength of the solution was made 10 per cent, the conditions shown in 4 were

produced.   When such conditions as those represented
in 3 and 4 are set up, marked wilting must result and
growth be brought nearly or quite to a standstill.

It is not possible to state with certainty what
strength of salt solution existed in the soil moisture in
the cases cited above, but an approximate estimate
may be made.   Hilgard's analyses show, in the case
of the sample from where barley would not grow,
that the soluble alkalies amounted to 2.44 pounds per
100 pounds of soil.   If these salts were all in solution
in the soil-water, and if the soil-water amounted to
30 per cent of the dry weight of the soil, then the
salts in solution would have a strength of 8.13 per
cent.   But if only 15 per cent of moisture existed in
the soil, as might easily have been the case, and all
the salts were in solution, then its strength would
have been double that above, and much stronger than
DeVries' most severe trial.   It does not appear im-
probable, therefore, that even were there no poisonous
effect exerted upon the barley by the salts in the soil,
the plants could not have grown, on account of the wilt-
ing which would have resulted from the presence of
too strong a salt solution outside the cell walls of the
root-hairs in the soil.

COMPOSITION OF ALKALI SALTS

To show the character of the salts which accumu-
late in the manner under consideration, we have
computed the mean composition from a number of
analyses as given by Hilgard, and the results are
stated in the table which follows :

Table showing composition of alkali salts

Acids and bases	California	Washington	Montana
Silica (SiO_2)	1.663	1.552	.42
Potash (K_2O).....................	3.602	9.588	1.774
Soda (Na_2O)..................	40.058	45.387	30.442
Lime (CaO).................	.519	.048	1.464
Magnesia (MgO)...................	.258	.115	5.956
Peroxide of iron (Fe_2O_3) and alumina (Al_2O_3)	.079	.028	.04
Phosphoric acid (P_2O_5).............	1.457	.81	.012
Sulphuric acid (SO_3)............. ..	18.946	2.12	44.482
Nitric acid (N_2O_5)	1.923	.000	1.074
Carbonic acid (CO_2)....	13.982	34.058	2.208
Chlorine (Cl)	7.46	1.077	5.148
Ammonia (NH_3)	.047	.000	.000
Organic matter and water of crystallization...	11.282	5.073	8.136
	101.276	99.856	101.156
Less excess of oxygen corresponding to Cl.........................	1.623	.238	1.166
Totals.......................	99.653	99.618	99.990

When these results are computed as salts they stand, according to Hilgard, as expressed below:

Table showing composition of soluble portions of alkali salts

	California	Washington	Montana
Potassium Sulphate (K_2SO_4)........	6.796	3.715	3.774
" carbonate (K_2CO_3).......	.732	12.378	.000
Sodium sulphate (Na_2SO_4)	31.956	.000	61.432
" nitrate ($NaNO_3$)	3.64	.000	1.878
" carbonate (Na_2CO_3)...... .	39.413	80.053	2.94
" chloride (NaCl)............	14.703	1.913	9.864
" phosphate (HNa_2PO_4)......	2.273	1.943	.000
Magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$).......	.307	.000	21.12
Ammonium carbonate ($NH_{42}CO_3$)...	.157	.000	.000

It will be seen from these two tables that there may be associated with the undesirable salts quite notable quantities of others which are valuable plant-foods. This is as should be expected, for the more soluble plant-foods, as well as the salts not suitable for plant life, must be moved by the same waters, and tend to collect with them.

Hilgard points out that where the soluble phosphates and considerable quantities of humus are associated with the sodium carbonate or black alkali, it is often desirable to first transform the sodium carbonate into sodium sulphate through an application of land plaster. By so doing both the humus and phosphates are rendered insoluble, but not unavailable for plant-food, hence may be retained in the soil for future use after the alkalies, which are harmful, have been washed out or otherwise disposed of. This is an important suggestion to keep in mind.

THE APPEARANCE OF VEGETATION ON ALKALI LANDS

When cultivated crops are grown upon alkali lands, characteristic effects are produced which serve to point out the difficulty with the soil and the remedy which should be applied. If the salts in the soil are not too concentrated, the crop may germinate in a perfectly normal manner, but after a time begin to languish in spots, and remain dwarfed in stature or entirely die out. It is very common to see a field upon which the crops present an extremely uneven stand, some areas

being entirely destitute of plants, or bearing only those which are small, while closely adjacent spots may be covered with large, vigorous, and perfectly normal growths. Fig. 50 illustrates this feature, as it is exhibited in the San Joaquin valley of California, and Fig. 51 shows essentially similar features as they develop on black marsh soils in Wisconsin after they have been tile-drained. In this latter case, the crop on the afflicted areas comes to an early standstill, or a plant

Fig. 50. Vegetation on alkali lands in California. (Hilgard.)

may go through all the phases of growth, reaching maturity, but with a very dwarf habit, so that maize in tassel and ear may not stand higher than 6 to 10 inches, while close by may stand another hill or group of them where the growth has been unusually rank and luxuriant. On these soils the afflicted plants possess a very imperfect root system, the older roots turning brown, soft, and apparently decaying. while new ones form above.

DISTRIBUTION OF ALKALIES IN THE SOIL

The position in the soil where the alkalies may be found in greatest abundance varies under different con-

Fig. 51. Growth of maize on black marsh soil in Wisconsin.

ditions. Where there is a large and prolonged evaporation at the surface, the alkalies may be nearly all collected within the surface 3 or 4 inches, and hence become so strong as to do serious injury, when if this

concentration had been prevented no serious harm could have resulted. So, too, if the salts have been gathered into a thin layer near the surface, heavy rains or an application of water by irrigation may move them at once bodily and nearly completely to a depth of 1, 2 or 3 feet, varying with the amount of water applied, the capacity of the soil to store water, and the amount of water it contained previous to the application. Under these circumstances, it is plain that fields afflicted with alkalies may exhibit at one time the most intense symptoms of poisoning and at another be entirely free from them, so far as revealed by a crop upon the ground.

In examining soils for alkalies, it is a matter of the utmost importance to recognize that the distribution of them is extremely liable to be capricious, and that it is easy to overlook their presence by stopping the sampling of the soil just short of the level at which all of the alkalies had chanced to be concentrated ; or, again, by taking a sample of the 1st, 2d and 4th feet, or of the 1st, 3d and 4th feet when, owing to the capricious distribution, all of the salts had been collected in the 2d or 3d foot, and thus were overlooked because it may have been thought not worth while to make a complete section of the soil in question.

CONDITIONS WHICH MODIFY THE DISTRIBUTION OF ALKALIES IN SOIL

If the surface of the ground is kept naked and compact, so that the rate of evaporation may be

strong, the alkalies will necessarily be brought to the surface and become concentrated there, hence in position to do the greatest harm to growing crops.

If thorough tillage is practiced early, so that but little water is evaporated except that which passes through the roots of the crop, then the salts cannot become concentrated in a narrow zone, but, on the contrary, will be left all through the soil where the roots which are taking water are distributed. In those cases, therefore, where the general soil water is not too highly concentrated to permit normal growth, crops may prosper so long as the surface is kept shaded and thoroughly tilled.

It must be observed, however, and kept in mind, that the roots of plants cannot withdraw moisture from a soil without at the same time tending to concentrate the salts in solution in the zone where the roots do their feeding; hence, that if alkali waters are being used for irrigation, and in the long run if the purest waters are being used under conditions of no drainage, sooner or later the soil of the root zone must become so highly charged with the alkali salts that reduced yields are inevitable.

USE OF LAND PLASTER TO DESTROY BLACK ALKALI

Hilgard long since pointed out that in regions where the water contained sulphate of lime in solution, there sodium carbonate was absent, or existed in such small quantities as not to be harmful to crops, and he early saw and recommended that where fields were

troubled with black alkali in not too large quantities, land plaster could be used as a fertilizer, which would have the effect of changing the sodium carbonate into the less harmful sodium sulphate, and in this way transform sterile lands into those which are capable of being worked at a profit.   He clearly saw, however, that such a remedy was not an absolute corrective, but rather of the nature of a substitution of a lesser for a greater evil, as, sooner or later, the sodium sulphate comes to be too strong to be endured.   ·

Hilgard has further pointed out that the application of land plaster to a soil rich in sodium carbonate very greatly improves the texture or mechanical condition of such a soil, because black alkali tends to break down the granular structure of clay soils, and thus puddles them and renders them nearly uninhabitable by most plants, largely on account of their bad mechanical condition.

Still further has Hilgard pointed out that the presence of black alkali in a soil-water tends to dissolve the humic nitrogen and the comparatively insoluble phosphates of the soil, so that if leaching is taking place under the influence of a water containing much sodium carbonate, great harm is being done by depriving the soil of two of its most important ingredients of plant-food.   Hence if alkali lands are to be improved by drainage, this should not be done until steps have been taken to first transform the sodium carbonate to the sulphate, and thus precipitate the humic nitrogen and the phosphate so that these may be retained.

KINDS OF SOIL WHICH SOONEST DEVELOP ALKALI

Where alkali waters are used for purposes of irrigation, and where sweet waters are being used under conditions of little or no drainage, the clayey soils are the ones which soonest begin to show the bad effects of concentrated salts. This is so for many reasons.

In the first place, the soils of clayey texture, as has been established by experiments recorded on page 201, are not as effective mulches as the sandy soils, hence, even where thorough tillage and shade are resorted to, there must necessarily be a larger rise of salt-bearing water to the surface to produce accumulation than is the case with the coarse, sandy soils.

In the second place, when water is applied to a sandy soil, not nearly as much remains adhering to the surface of the soil grains and entangled between them, so that it quickly spreads downward farther below the surface than is the case with the clay. This being true, it takes less water to produce effective drainage, and the roots of the crop spreading farther in the sands, the salts cannot become concentrated as they may in the clays.

In the third place, since more water is held in contact with the soil grains of the clays, and since the total surface for chemical action to take place upon is very much larger in the clayey soils than in the sands, it is plain that soluble salts, including alkalies, may form more rapidly in one case than in the other, and hence, that the open, sandy soils cannot become

alkali lands except under conditions which are ex-
tremely favorable to their formation.

CORRECTION OF ALKALI WATERS BEFORE USE IN IRRIGATION

In case an irrigation water is known to contain an
injurious amount of black alkali, it is possible to con-
vert this into the sodium sulphate by the use of land
plaster in the water before applying it to the field.

To do this in the cases where water is stored in
reservoirs, it is possible to arrange cribs of uncrushed
gypsum through which the water flows in entering the
reservoir, and if this should not be sufficient to effect
the whole change, other cribs could be built at other
points in the reservoir and at the outlet. So, too,
where the lateral is taken to the field, it would often
not be difficult to arrange so that the water flowed
through a basin, wide ditch or reservoir in which hang
crates of gypsum, over which the water passes on its
way to the field, or the same method may be applied
in the larger canals.

If the fields upon which alkali waters must be used
are heavy and especially likely to be injured by the
puddling process, it would seem to be much the better
method to apply the corrective for black alkali to the
water itself, rather than to the field, after there has
been opportunity for some damage to be done.

DRAINAGE THE ULTIMATE REMEDY FOR ALKALI LANDS

If it is true that alkali salts are formed from the
decomposition of the soil and subsoil through the ac-

tion of water and air, it is only too plain that where conditions are persistently maintained which allow the formation of the salts without permitting them to be removed by any cause whatsoever, there must come a time, sooner or later, when the amounts produced and accumulated in the soil shall reach the degree of concentration which is intolerable to cultivated crops. Under the natural conditions of rainy countries, there is usually a sufficient amount of leaching to permit the white and black alkalies to be borne away in the country drainage with sufficient completeness to prevent their effects attracting general attention, and if the same processes obtained in irrigated countries, it is plain that in these, too, the difficulties would not arise. The conclusion is irresistible, therefore, that some method must be devised by which, periodically at least, sufficient water is applied to irrigated fields to pick up and carry out of the country the soluble alkali salts which are fatal to cultivated crops.

In the old-time irrigation of the Nile valley, the greater part of the land was under basin irrigation, and thus thoroughly washed during some fifty days every year. Lands not so treated were the lighter sandy soils near the Nile, protected by only slight banks from inundation, and these dykes usually gave way as often as every seven or eight years, so that they, too, were occasionally thoroughly flooded. Under this system of washing and drainage, the fields of the Nile were kept free from alkalies for thousands of years. But at the present time, when what are called more rational methods are being applied, but with no

attention being paid to freeing the soil from the accumulation of alkalies, these salts have been concentrated to so serious an extent that already many acres have been abandoned.

The probabilities are that long, long ago the same more rational methods (?) now being practiced had been tried and found inadequate or inapplicable, on account of the accumulation of alkalies which they permitted, and the old irrigators learned to be content with a system which, although more wasteful in some ways, still kept the dreaded alkalies under control.

It is not improbable that if the full history of many abandoned ancient irrigation systems could be known, it would be found that, not being able to command water sufficient for drainage, or not appreciating its need, alkalies were' allowed to accumulate until the lands were no longer productive.

It is a noteworthy fact that the excessive development of alkalies in India, as well as in Egypt and California, are the results of irrigation practices modern in their origin and modes, and instituted by people lacking in the traditions of the ancient irrigators, who had worked these same lands for thousands of years before. The alkali lands of today, in their intense form, are of modern origin, due to practices which are evidently inadmissible, and which, in all probability, were known to be so by the people whom our modern civilization has supplanted.

The subject of Drainage will be discussed in Part II.

8

CHAPTER IX

IT is not the purpose in this chapter, nor has it been the purpose in this work, to discuss the larger questions of water supply for irrigation. These are quite purely engineering problems, involving a mass of detail and technicality which concern the agriculturist only in the final results which they bring to him ; hence, he is interested in them only in a general way.

We shall aim, therefore, in dealing with the supply of water to whole communities for purposes of irrigation, to present only a general idea of the systems which have been evolved and adopted under the varying conditions of different countries and climates, reserving the main part of the chapter for the discussion in detail of the cases where water is supplied by individual effort for individual use.

DIVERTING RIVER WATERS

By far the most general method of supplying water for the use of large sections of country is to throw a dam across a stream, and divert from the channel a portion of the river water, leading it out into the district to be watered through canals provided for the purpose.

An excellent example of such a large scale system is represented in Fig. 52, which shows the Sirhind canal, taken out of the Sutlej river, in the Punjab of India, at Rupar. This canal was designed to have a carrying capacity of 6,000 cubic feet per second, and extends as a single main trunk 41 miles, where it is bisected. Three miles further on the western trunk it is divided again, forming two canals of 100 and 125 miles respectively, while the eastern main branch divides into three of 90, 56

Fig. 52. Sirhind canal system, Punjab, India.
(Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

and 25 miles respectively. There are in the whole system 41 miles of main canal, 503 miles of main branches, and 4,407 miles of main distributaries, supplying 800,000 acres of irrigable lands.

The annual rainfall of the region in which this system has been developed varies from 10 to 35 inches.   The sytem is said to have cost $7,831,000, and to have yielded in 1899 an annual revenue of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the cost, although less than half of the available land has yet been brought to use the water.

We have already referred to the head gates of one of the

canals of the Durance, and given an engraving of it in Fig. 48. In further illustration of the methods used in diverting by gravity the water of a stream for purposes of irrigation, Fig. 53 shows diagrammatically how the Kern Island canal, in California, is taken from the Kern river, together with the position of the regulator, and of the waste gate by which the unused water finds

Fig. 53. Head of Kern Island canal, California.
(Grunsky, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

its way back into the channel. Figs. 54 and 55 are bird's-eye views of the same thing, showing the regulator and the waste gate. In Fig. 56 is given a nearer view, looking across the canal over the waste gate, the regulator being at the left.

In aligning these canals, they are led back from the stream as far as the general fall of the valley will permit, and in taking out the laterals and distributaries, these are carried to the highest portions of the fields to be irrigated, and at the same time are

held as far as possible above the level of the surface, in order that there shall be no difficulty in taking out the water upon the land to which it is to be applied.

If reference is again made to Fig. 52, it will be easy to

Fig. 54. Bird's-eye view of head of Kern Island canal, looking up stream.
(Grunsky, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

understand that where such vast volumes of water are taken across a country in open canals, carried as high as possible and even above the surface, there must necessarily be an extensive seepage into the subsoil, which in the course of time must tend to raise the original ground-water level much nearer the

surface, and tend to develop swamps in the lowest-lying and flattest sections of the area traversed.

It is further clear, too, that under the conditions set up by such a network of canals, there must be a much more rapid

Fig. 55. Head of Kern Island canal, looking down stream.
(Grunsky, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

action of water upon the subsoil to form alkalies ; and since, with the nearer approach of the ground water to the surface, the capillary action and evaporation must be much augmented, it is plain that the deterioration of land through the increase of alkalies is the thing to be feared rather than wondered at.

In laying out such a system of irrigation as the one under consideration, it thus becomes a matter of the greatest moment that proper attention be paid to drainage, and that ample provision be made for it. If this is not done, a relatively few

Fig. 56. Waste gate and regulator at head of Kern Island canal, looking across the canal. (Grunsky, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

years are almost certain to convert a great benefit into one of the most serious of scourges. Drinking waters are likely to become polluted, malarial fevers prevalent, and the land unproductive, both on account of water-logging and the excessive accumulation of alkalies.

The dangers in this direction will be least in countries where the natural drainage facilities are best ; where the streams, draws and washes are sunk deepest below the surface of the fields; and where the subsoil is the most open, thus providing an easy escape of the seepage waters into the natural drainage channels. Under such conditions as these, it would be only the most wasteful, extravagant and inexcusable use of water, with no attention to proper methods of tillage, which could lead to the evils pointed out.

But, on the other hand, in countries where the natural drainage lines are shallow and few, and where the soil and subsoil are close, it will require the greatest vigilance and the rarest skill and judgment to avert the evils of swamping, the development of a malarial atmosphere, and the formation of alkalies.   If, in addition to the conditions last pointed out, the irrigation water is naturally heavily charged with undesirable salts, then the situation becomes as serious as possible.

When capital, therefore, is seeking permanent investment in the development of an irrigation system, the difficulties pointed out are matters for first and most serious consideration; and when agriculturists propose to establish homes under such surroundings, the same serious attention should be given the probable permanency of the conditions of fruitfulness and healthfulness.

It sometimes happens that water for irrigation must be taken from mountain cañons and led out upon the mesas and over the valleys under great difficulties, such as tax the highest engineering skill to its utmost to accomplish. As an illustration of this type of irrigation engineering, the case of one of the canals supplying Redlands, California, may be cited.   In Fig. 57 the dark line on the flank of the mountain on the right is an open canal, with cement masonry lining, which winds up the valley until it can draw its supply from the Santa Ana river. Lower down the mountain valley it becomes necessary to cross the cañon, and this is accomplished by using the large redwood siphon represented in Figs. 58 and 59. This gigantic pipe has an inside diameter of 4 feet, and in one portion of its course is obliged

to withstand a pressure of 160 feet of water.   This pipe is made
of selected redwood staves, 2 x 6 inches, with edges beveled to fit
closely, and having their ends joined by a strip of metal fitting
tightly into a slot in the end of each stave ; the width of the
metal strip being a little greater than the width of the stave,

Fig. 57. Santa Ana canal on mountain side.

a close joint is thus secured.   The staves are bound together
with iron hoops, whose distance apart is varied according to the
pressure the pipe is required to withstand.

When the canal reaches the wash of Mill creek, it is carried
across in the flume represented in Fig. 60, also made of redwood
staves.   Further on, as the water nears its destination, one
branch discharges its water through the paved and cement-lined
canal into the paved and cement-lined distributing reservoir,
both shown in Fig. 61.

From the reservoir, the water is taken in a system of under-

Fig. 58. Redwood pipe conveying water of Santa Ana canal
into and out of a cañon.

ground cement pipes to the lands where it is to be used. These pipes extend beneath the surface, out of sight and out of the way, ranging from 14, 12, 10 and 8 inches in diameter for the mains, to 6 and 5 inches for the laterals ; and there were in 1888 some 13 miles of these pipes in the Redlands settlement.

In the general system, the lands are plotted in square 10-acre lots, and a 5- or 6-inch lateral supplies one tier of these, delivering the water usually at the highest corner. These pipes

Fig. 59. Pipe line carried on trestle.

are generally laid on the slope of the country, which one way ranges from 50 to 100 feet per mile, and do not carry the water under much pressure, but rather more nearly as though it were running in open channels. The accumulation of pressure as the face of the country falls is prevented by the introduction of small concrete chambers from 5 to 6 feet square, placed at frequent intervals, and at the places of branching. As the water passes along the supply pipes it enters these chambers, rising until it falls over measuring weirs in the partition walls of the chamber, and drops into other compartments from which other pipes lead away in their respective directions.

Fig. 60. Redwood stave flume carried across Mill creek wash on trestle.

Fig. 61. Cement-lined canal and reservoir at Redlands, California.

When the water reaches the irrigator, his delivery is made over a small weir, to which the water rises from below in a similar but smaller cement chamber, two of which are represented in Figs. 62, 63 and 64. In Fig. 62, the water is seen pouring from the cement chamber or " hydrant " over a small weir into a distributing flume. Two other weirs in the same hydrant are closed by gates, and it will be seen that by transferring either of the two gates to the weir now in use, the water would

Fig. 62. Cement hydrant, with weir and distributing flume.

be turned from its present course to the one of the other two desired. In Fig. 63, the water is seen flowing from the front weir, while the discharge is prevented from taking place into the compartment at the left and in the rear by the two gates now in place ; but in Fig. 64, the left gate has been removed without putting it in front, as would ordinarily be the case, so as to show the water pouring over that weir into its underground pipe for delivery in another direction.

The system for supplying water for irrigation, now briefly described, and illustrated by Figs. 57 to 64, represents the high-

est type of collecting and distributing systems yet devised, and it is one which meets the peculiar demands brought upon it with almost ideal nicety. From the collecting reservoir, up in the mountains, behind the great Bear valley dam, the water travels

Fig. 63.   Cement hydrant, with water discharging outward
into distributing flume.

hurriedly much of the way through closed pipes of redwood, steel or cement, in which all evaporation and seepage are effectually prevented, while for most of the balance of the distance the water glides swiftly along tight flumes and cement-lined

Fig. 64.   Same hydrant as Fig. 63, with water discharging
over left weir into underground pipe.

canals of nearly faultless alignment, reaching its destination with so little of erosion or silting that the annual expense for maintenance is almost a trifling matter. The dangers from alkalies are reduced to the narrowest possible margin, and the swamping of

Fig. 66. Ultima Thule of rural life.

the land is next to impossible with any rational use of water. When one stands upon Smiley Heights, in Redlands, and looks out over such panoramas of luxuriant growth as the one represented in Fig. 65, the reflective mind is almost convinced that here is in reality the *ultima thule* in rural life.

The cases now cited may suffice to illustrate the manner in which water is diverted from streams' for gigantic irrigation enterprises, where the government itself does the work, as in India ; where state aid supplements the united efforts of a district, as in the case of the Kern river canal, and where one or more stock companies develop the system as a means of finding permanent investment for capital, as is the case with the system worked out to meet the needs of the Redlands district.

It is, of course, practicable for individuals to divert portions of the water from streams passing through their property, provided the fall is such as to permit of this being done, and where large quantities of water are to be used there is seldom a cheaper or more effective method of supplying water, if only the land and the stream are properly related for it, and the water is not already held by prior rights.

DIVERTING UNDERGROUND WATERS

In mountainous and hilly countries, where river valleys have become deeply filled with sands and gravels, it frequently happens that much of the water of the drainage basin flows below the surface through the valley sands and gravels, the bed of the channel becoming nearly or quite dry for long distances.

In such cases, where the slope of the valley is considerable, and where the water has not fallen too far below the surface, tunnels are occasionally driven into the sands and gravels up the valley at a small grade until the water-bearing beds have risen above the line of drift sufficiently to allow the water to percolate into the tunnel and be led out upon the surface. Sometimes it is only necessary to dig open ditches, making them deeper up stream, to develop considerable quantities of water on the same principle.

Then, again, in steep valleys, where the streams carry plenty of water, but too far below the surface to be diverted, it frequently happens that at the foot of a terrace water may be flowing very near the surface toward the river channel, and by ditching or tunneling here this may be diverted to the surface when that in the river must be pumped.

Another method of utilizing the waters which have fallen below the surface in the valley gravels is by building what is called a submerged dam across the valley, excavating to bed

Fig. 66. Submerged dam at San Fernando, California.

rock and erecting a water-tight dam, which shall hold the underflow back until it has filled the gravels above the dam and flows over it at the surface high enough to be taken away in cement ditches, flumes or pipes to the land it is desired to irrigate. One such submerged dam is shown in Fig. 66, built near San Fernando, California. It was not, however, sufficiently well built to hold the water back until it could be made to overflow, and they were, in 1896, using two gasoline engines with pumps to lift the water held back by the dam, instead of depending upon gravity, as planned.

T

DIVERTING WATER BY TIDAL DAMMING

Where lands bordering rivers leading to the sea lie high enough above low tide to admit of adequate drainage, and at the same time below high tide level, these may be dyked off from the sea, and then, by erecting sluices controlled by gates at suitable places in the dykes, connecting with canals and distributaries on the land side, water may be led at will on or off the fields as the tides come or go. One of the most notable examples of this method of procuring water for irrigation is at the mouth of the Santee river, in South Carolina, to which reference has already been made, and a portion of which is represented in Fig. 67.

It will be readily understood that as the tide rises along the coast, the discharge of the fresh water coming down the river is prevented and the channels fill with it, it being held there by the dam of salt water formed by the tidal wave. When the fresh water has accumulated to a sufficient extent, the trunks may be opened and the fields flooded, or they may be kept closed and the water held off. The diverting of water from rivers by tidal damming is only practicable where the river carries a sufficient volume of fresh water to prevent the salt water from ascending the channel, for were the volume small the sea would drive it back, and only salt or brackish water would be found against the dykes.

DIVERTING WATER BY THE POWER OF THE STREAM

Where rivers run too low in their channels to permit the water being led out directly, many devices have been employed by which a portion of the water is made to drive machinery which, in turn, lifts another portion out upon the land, where it may be led away. One of the oldest, commonest and simplest devices used for this purpose is the undershot water-wheel, set up in the stream and carrying buckets on its

Fig. 67. Section of rice fields in South Carolina.
(U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.)

circumference, which raise the water in the manner represented
in Fig. 15, page 76. This view was taken on the river Regnitz,
a branch of the Main, in Bavaria, where in a distance of one

and one-fourth miles the writer counted no less than twenty such wheels.

The wheels were 16 feet in diameter, provided with a row of 24 churnlike buckets on one or both sides, emptying their contents into a trough, from which the water was led away in a flume hewn from a log. At the time the view was taken, this wheel was making three revolutions per minute, and discharging 450 gallons, or enough to supply nearly 120 acres with 2 inches of water every 10 days, the water being raised 12 feet.

On the Grand river, near Grand Junction, Colorado, the Smith Brothers have placed two 36-inch turbine wheels so that they drive a battery of two centrifugal pumps, one above the other, on the same 8-inch discharge pipe, and lift water 82 feet, discharging it into a flume, as represented in Fig. 68,

Fig. 68. Mouth of 8-inch discharge pipe 82 feet above Grand river, Grand Junction, Colorado.

at the rate of 2,200 gallons per minute. The two wheels were together rated at 90 horse-power, and were developing not far from 54, as measured by the water lifted. They were supplying water for 80 acres of alfalfa and 120 acres of orchards, working only during the daytime, the water being carried a mile in flume and ditches.

Other forms of water wheels, like the overshot, undershot and breast wheels, are used for driving centrifugal and other pumps to lift water for irrigation, and in large streams, where

there is considerable fall, large amounts of water may be raised at a very small cost after the plant is once in place.

Mr. F. H. Harvey, of Douglas, Wyoming, has set up a half-breast and undershot wheel, 10 feet in diameter and 14 feet long, between two wing-dams on a swinging frame, in such a manner as to permit it to rise and fall with the current. Being connected by means of a sprocket wheel and chain to the stationary driving pulley, the changes in the position of the wheel with the level of the river do not disturb the action, and the

Fig. 69. Hydraulic ramming engine. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

device runs night and day without attention, except for oiling, pumping 1,000 gallons per minute to a height of 16 feet, using a 3½-inch centrifugal pump, thus supplying more than 50 acre-inches per day, or enough to irrigate 200 acres at the rate of 2.5 inches every 10 days. His plant is described as very effective, satisfactory and, for the amount of water supplied, cheap, the total cost being $1,200.*

*Bulletin No. 18, Wyoming Agr. Exp. Station.

The very large sizes of hydraulic rams may also be used
on streams of relatively small fall for lifting water for the irri-
gation of small areas, especially if used in connection with
reservoirs. They are very simple, relatively cheap, durable, and
require but little attention. The ramming engines, Fig. 69, are
similar to the hydraulic rams, but are built larger and have
greater capacities. They are more complex in structure, and
more expensive. The engine represented in the figure is said to
be able to elevate water to a height of 25 feet for every foot of
fall, or to deliver one-third of the water used in its operation at

Fig. 70. Siphon elevator. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

two and one-half times the height of the fall, and one-sixth of the
water at five times the height of the fall. Those having a drive
pipe 8 inches in diameter and a delivery pipe of 4 inches are
capable, under a head of 10 feet, of elevating about 6 acre-inches
to a height of 25 feet in 24 hours, and this will irrigate 24 acres at
the rate of 2.5 inches every 10 days. Such an engine will cost
$500 (Wilson).

The siphon elevator, represented in Fig. 70, is an appliance
utilizing the principle of the hydraulic ram in connection with a
siphon. The amount of water lifted by this varies with the dimen-
sions of the appliance, the height to which the water is lifted, and
the difference between the lengths of the two legs of the siphon.
It can only be used where there is a dam, or similar condition,

which permits a considerable difference between the long and short legs of the siphon.

To start the action of the siphon, the long arm must be filled with water; then, as this descends again, more water rises through the suction arm passing into the receiver (a) and through the check-valve (c) into the regulator (b). In passing the check-valve, the drag of the water closes it, and thus stops the current; but no sooner has this occurred than the momentum of the water opens the puppet valve (d), and a portion escapes into the storage tank or reservoir. While the water has been discharging through the puppet valve and coming to rest, the fall of water in the discharge arm has created a vacuum in the regulator, which permits the atmospheric pressure on the corrugated heads to force them inward and open the check-valve, thus starting the flow again. These pulsations are very rapid, ranging from 150 to 400 per minute, so that a nearly continuous flow is maintained. Wilson states that these water elevators have been built with sufficient capacity to deliver 3 acre-feet in 24 hours, an apparatus of this capacity costing $1,200.

UTILIZING STORM WATERS FOR IRRIGATION

There are many sections of country where the topography is such as to permit storm waters to be caught by individual farmers in reservoirs formed by cheap earth dams thrown across the axis of a run, draw or ravine, and the floods produced by rains held back and used in irrigating lands below in times of drought. This is a very common practice in many parts of Europe, where the collected waters are oftenest used on meadows. Suitable arrangements are made for taking out the water, and a waste weir is provided by which the water may escape before the height of the dam has been reached.

Where water is supplied to large districts, the use of dams with reservoirs is very common, especially on streams which are subject to large fluctuations in volume during the irrigation season.

Fig. 71. Exposure of windmill which during one year pumped 79.1
acre-feet of water 12.85 feet high.

It will frequently happen, also, that streams or rills whose
volume of water is too small to be used advantageously may be
dammed and the water accumulated in reservoirs, and used by
single individuals ; or two, three or more farmers may be located
so as to make it mutually desirable for them to unite their efforts
and take advantage of small streams in this way. So, too, may
the water of springs be led out to suitable places and accumulated
and warmed for use in irrigation.

WIND POWER FOR IRRIGATION

When relatively small areas of land are to be irrigated where
the lift is not greater than 10 to 25 feet, and where pumps may
be used of such forms and capacity as to economically utilize the
full power the mill is capable of developing, wind power may be
employed to good advantage in supplying water for irrigation.

The writer* has conducted a series of observations with a
16-foot geared Aermotor windmill during one whole year, which
shows just how much water was lifted 12.85 feet high each hour
of every day under one set of conditions. The amount of the
water pumped each and every hour of the day, and the number of
miles of wind which passed the mill and did the work, were auto-
matically recorded, giving for the first time a complete record for a
full year of the amount of work one windmill did in lifting water.

The mill stands on a steel tower 22 feet above the roof and
82 feet above the ground, as represented in Fig. 71, and lifted
the water 12.85 feet from a reservoir having an area of 285
square feet, into a measuring tank holding 141.2 cubic feet,
which, when filled, emptied itself in 45 seconds back into the
reservoir. The number of times this measuring tank was filled
each hour of the day during each month of the year, and the
miles of wind which did the work, are given in the table on page
315, and the results are shown graphically in Fig. 72. In this
table the numbers at the head of the columns are the hours

*Bulletin 68, Wis. Agr. Exp. Station.

Fig. 72. Upper curve shows miles of wind each hour of the year. Lower curve
shows the number of tanks of water pumped by the same wind.

A   B
Fig. 73.   Aermotor 14-inch reciprocating pump used by windmill.
A, pump ; B, piston head and suction valve.

of the day. The lines of numbers opposite the name of the
month express the total number of miles of wind for the hour
of the day at the head of the column, while the other lines ex-
press the number of times the tank was emptied during each hour
of the day. In the footings of the table, the upper line is the
total number of miles of wind during each hour of the day for the
full year; the second line is the total number of tanks emptied.

Table showing the total number of tanks of water pumped each hour of the day for each month, and the total wind movement in miles for the same time.

Month.	Noon	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Midnight
March..	425.0	446.0	438.0	436.5	411.0	382.5	378.5	365.5	332.0	323.0	322.0	298.0	319.0
	113.4	112.8	111.8	101.6	94.5	80.1	61.8	67.0	60.3	46.0	62.7	50.1	50.9
April ...	521.3	512.5	476.0	476.0	408.0	435.5	392.5	394.0	365.0	424.0	431.5	464.0	412.0
	157.0	153.8	138.0	137.2	126.7	108.2	78.7	74.6	63.3	85.8	103.5	108.5	90.3
May..	446.5	453.5	437.0	440.5	411.5	361.0	346.0	366.5	378.0	373.0	375.0	367.0	342.0
	115.6	122.4	116.2	106.3	93.8	77.6	52.7	66.7	68.9	68.7	74.5	72.5	73.9
June..	320.0	326.5	310.5	320.0	320.0	305.5	300.5	292.5	299.5	310.0	267.5	267.0	292.5
	73.4	78.0	67.5	66.2	61.8	38.0	44.0	48.0	51.0	51.0	38.0	47.0	40.0
July..	328.0	351.5	347.5	351.5	325.5	306.0	273.0	253.0	261.5	276.5	258.0	228.9	236.5
	75.1	71.7	64.5	67.7	57.5	58.2	34.7	22.4	23.5	26.0	29.2	25.7	29.6
Aug.....	354.0	352.0	358.0	354.0	326.0	305.0	282.0	255.5	241.0	239.0	247.0	242.0	270.5
	76.0	79.3	82.9	75.4	64.4	54.0	35.0	35.0	34.0	33.0	34.0	38.0	36.0
Sept.....	339.0	354.0	362.0	351.0	331.0	276.0	246.0	256.0	271.0	264.0	272.0	252.0	251.0
	89.6	101.6	96.7	93.1	82.1	49.4	30.6	30.3	37.8	37.0	40.3	38.7	44.4
Oct.....	392.0	401.0	389.0	376.0	359.0	318.0	341.0	355.0	342.0	350.0	329.0	314.0	325.0
	107.2	111.1	111.3	103.9	96.9	63.0	63.4	83.0	74.4	83.3	82.3	72.3	74.5
Nov.....	436.9	443.0	439.0	425.0	388.0	345.0	359.0	373.0	368.0	385.0	373.0	365.0	371.0
	151.9	135.0	139.0	136.0	116.0	112.0	110.0	114.0	110.0	110.0	100.0	94.0	92.0
Dec.....	395.0	389.0	359.0	331.0	326.0	329.0	334.0	339.0	351.0	359.0	348.0	348.0	364.0
	133.2	119.8	102.7	80.0	79.8	84.3	89.4	84.3	85.2	83.0	95.1	105.0	101.0
Jan.....	388.0	409.0	376.0	356.0	331.0	317.0	352.0	362.0	326.0	334.0	325.0	308.0	330.0
	117.5	128.9	113.7	91.5	79.1	77.3	85.2	86.1	84.4	76.6	71.8	73.4	74.1
Feby..	406.0	412.0	401.0	408.0	381.0	345.0	365.0	365.0	347.0	363.0	368.0	365.0	392.0
	119.2	131.1	135.0	122.9	116.4	103.2	99.8	102.6	100.9	108.7	106.3	109.3	115.1
	4741.0	4850.0	4693.0	4625.5	4318.0	4026.5	3969.5	3977.0	3885.0	4000.5	3916.0	3816.9	3905.5
	1329.1	1346.5	1279.3	1181.8	1069.0	907.3	790.3	814.0	793.7	809.1	837.7	834.5	821.8
Correc'n	95.2	98.5	92.1	77.6	67.1	53.0	42.2	43.6	45.8	49.0	49.8	49.5	46.1
Totals	1424.3	1445.0	1371.4	1259.4	1336.1	960.3	832.5	857.6	839.5	858.1	887.5	884.0	867.9

Month.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Totals.
March......	354.5	344.0	352.0	347.0	433.0	331.0	363.5	383.5	410.0	427.0	388.0	8765.0
	64.9	54.9	55.7	56.9	53.3	64.8	75.1	74.0	85.1	95.3	84.4	1777.4
April.......	414.5	410.0	408.0	410.5	404.0	429.5	453.5	410.0	488.5	493.5	475.0	10417.0
	95.1	89.7	89.9	87.6	84.8	92.6	120.2	124.8	139.4	148.0	150.8	2648.5
May	334.5	324.5	329.5	347.5	353.5	356.0	389.5	397.0	409.5	435.5	410.0	9472.0
	75.4	68.8	65.6	77.5	78.8	76.5	89.6	104.8	102.4	96.4	89.4	2085.6
June	269.0	278.5	275.5	261.5	269.0	281.0	353.5	322.5	310.0	291.5	297.0	7149.0
	36.0	29.0	27.4	20.4	35.0	63.0	76.0	80.0	63.0	58.0	51.0	1242.7
July........	220.0	215.5	211.0	208.0	218.0	227.0	247.5	258.0	286.0	311.0	319.5	6412.0
	27.3	27.0	18.9	18.0	21.9	27.7	37.3	37.1	47.9	60.1	64.0	973.0
August......	232.0	220.5	243.5	273.0	259.0	275.0	239.0	269.0	289.5	306.5	298.0	6702.0
	26.0	30.0	37.2	42.2	31.0	36.0	44.0	48.0	57.0	62.0	60.4	1150.8
Sept........	263.0	265.5	249.5	255.0	254.0	261.0	266.0	255.0	289.0	310.0	301.0	6591.0
	44.1	45.0	43.4	61.4	45.2	52.8	49.8	53.9	62.8	73.4	75.1	1378.5
Oct..........	316.0	309.0	307.0	284.0	265.0	288.0	273.0	312.0	318.0	351.0	349.0	7934.0
	72.4	69.2	66.1	55.0	45.7	57.3	47.5	66.9	72.8	89.5	90.4	1869.4
Nov.........	372.0	388.0	412.0	408.0	408.0	416.0	416.0	424.0	448.0	434.0	438.0	9303.0
	102.0	107.0	102.0	96.0	95.0	108.0	126.0	129.0	142.9	148.9	145.6	2822.3
Dec.........	378.0	377.0	372.0	352.0	330.0	358.0	338.0	340.0	349.0	370.0	380.0	8557.0
	102.0	104.5	103.0	91.6	85.9	99.9	83.0	94.1	99.0	115.2	110.5	2331.5
Jan.........	350.5	354.0	334.0	325.0	339.0	348.0	335.0	365.0	384.0	389.0	374.0	8474.0
	78.4	76.7	76.6	70.0	70.0	83.8	95.7	96.2	95.1	111.5	100.5	2112.7
Feby........	405.0	396.0	419.0	397.0	384.0	383.0	384.0	373.0	390.0	382.0	348.0	9120.0
	117.1	107.9	106.5	95.2	92.3	97.8	112.1	112.7	118.6	115.5	100.9	2646.2
	3908.5	3882.5	3913.0	3868.5	3916.5	3953.5	4058.5	4112.0	4371.5	4501.0	4377.5	98905.0
	840.7	809.7	792.3	772.4	738.9	860.2	956.3	1021.5	1086.0	1173.8	1122.1	22988.0
Correction*..	50.8	47.1	44.1	41.6	40.2	52.6	63.5	66.6	72.3	82.8	73.9	....
Totals.....	891.5	856.8	836.4	814.0	779.1	912.8	1019.8	1088.1	1158.3	1256.6	1196.0	24433.0

*Approximate correction for water pumped during the time the tank was being emptied.

The total water pumped during the year by this windmill was enough to cover 79.1 acres 12 inches deep, thus showing an average daily rate of 2.6 acre-inches. The largest amount of water pumped on any single day was 39,540.2 cubic feet, or a rate for 24 hours of 27.46 cubic feet per minute. There were short times occasionally, however, when more water than this was pumped, but the capacity of the siphon was such as to cause it then to discharge continuously, and thus prevent a record being made.

Most of the water was lifted by two pumps, working singly or in combination. These were an Aermotor 14-inch reciprocating pump, worked on a 9-inch stroke, represented in Fig. 73, and a Seaman & Schuske bucket pump, with 1-gallon buckets, as represented in Fig. 74. When the wind was light the mill was given the bucket pump, when stronger the reciprocating pump, and when strongest both pumps at the same time, and more work was accomplished in this way than would have been possible with any single pump.

Fig. 74. Bucket irrigation pump.

WATER PUMPED DURING 10-DAY PERIODS

Since the availability of wind power for irrigation is limited not so much by the total work of the year as by the water which may be pumped in times of special need, a clearer idea of the possibilities of wind power for irrigation can be gained by tabulating the work done during the year by 10-day periods. This has been done in the table which follows, but first reducing the results to a lift of 10 feet instead of 12.85 feet, the height the water was actually raised :

Table showing computed amount of water lifted 10 feet high during consecutive 10-day periods for one full year, expressed in acre-inches

DATE	Water pumped	DATE	Water pumped	DATE	Water pumped
	Acre-in.		*Acre-in.*		*Acre-in.*
Feb. 28–Mch. 10....	33.540	July 8–18	21.53	Nov. 15–25	52.77
Mch. 10–20.........	36.620	July 18–28	29.73	Nov. 25–Dec. 5 ..	47.46
Mch. 20–30.........	52.77	July 28–Aug. 7 ..	9.87	Dec. 5–15	39.52
Mch. 30–Apr. 9.....	47.01	Aug. 7–17	36.26	Dec. 15–25 ...:..	31.18
Apr. 9–19..........	54.11	Aug. 17–27	20.20	Dec. 25–Jan. 4...	51.22
Apr. 19–29	63.05	Aug. 27–Sept. 6..	21.27	Jan. 4–14........	33.92
Apr. 29–May 9......	59.97	Sept. 6–16	18.00	Jan. 14–24.......	29.16
May 9–19..........	28.69	Sept. 16–26......	40.42	Jan. 24–Feb. 3...	59.36
May 19–29.........	51.38	Sept. 26–Oct. 6..	23.79	Feb. 3–13........	33.45
May 29–June 8.....	40.54	Oct. 6–16........	55.07	Feb. 13–23	75.73.
June 8–18	27.50	Oct. 16–26.......	18.45	Feb. 23–28	16.20
June 18–28	13.82	Oct. 26–Nov. 5...	36.71		
June 28–July 8.....	26.68	Nov. 5–15........	49.40		

Referring to the table, it will be seen that the smallest amount of water pumped in any 10 days was 9.87 acre-inches, this occurring between July 28 and August 7, at a time when most water is needed. In this period there were 7 full days when no water was pumped, all the water being raised during 3 days of the period.

The mean amount of water pumped during the 100 days from May 29 to September 6 was 24.5 acre-inches per 10 days, and as this is the season in the United States when most water is needed for irrigation, the figure may be taken as representing the capacity of such a pumping system. That is to say, such a plant is able to supply 10 inches of water to 24.5 acres during 100 days when the lift is 10 feet, and to 12.25 acres where the lift is 20 feet. If the crop irrigated demands 20 inches of water in 100 days, then the area which could be supplied under a 10-foot lift would be only 12.25 acres, and under a 20-foot lift only 6.12 acres. It must be understood, however, that these results are possible only under conditions of no loss between the pump and the land to which the water is applied.

From theoretical considerations and the above data, it appears probable that for different sizes of wheels and for different lifts, but under otherwise similar conditions, areas may be irrigated as given in the table below.

*Number of acres a first-class windmill may irrigate to a depth of 10 inches
and 20 inches in 100 days*

	Lift 10 feet		Lift 15 feet		Lift 20 feet	
Diam. of wheel	10 ins. per 100 days	20 ins. per 100 days	10 ins. per 100 days	20 ins. per 100 days	10 ins. per 100 days	20 ins. per 100 days
8.5 ft.	2.40	1.20	1.60	.80	1.20	.60
10 ft.	7.58	3.79	5.06	2.53	3.79	1.90
12 ft.	13.61	6.81	9.08	4.54	6.81	3.40
14 ft.	17.44	8.77	11.70	5.85	8.77	4.30
16 ft.	24.50	12.25	16.34	8.17	12.25	6.13

In computing this table for other sizes of wheels, we have
used the ratios calculated by Wolff ; * but as our observed work
is about 12 per cent less for the 16-foot wheel than he com-
putes for this size, the values in the table are correspondingly
lower than his table would give. It is the writer's conviction,
however, that the results he has observed for the 16-foot
wheel are quite as high as will be likely to be realized by
average practice with the pumping devices of to-day.

NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE HIGHEST SERVICE
WITH A WINDMILL

In order that the largest service may be secured from a
windmill, there are certain essential conditions which must be
observed. First among these is a good wind exposure. It is
useless to purchase a windmill and then set it up in such a
manner that the wind cannot have free access to it. Strong
towers, having a height of 70 to 90 feet, should usually be
used, and these placed where hills, groves or other obstructions
cannot break the force of the wind.

Second in importance to a good exposure of the mill is a
pumping outfit thoroughly adapted to the power of the mill. It
should not be so heavy as to force the mill to stand idle in winds
of 9 miles per hour, and yet it should be capable of utilizing
the full power developed in a 25- to 30-mile. wind.

---

*A. R. Wolff, the Windmill as a Prime Mover.

If reciprocating pumps are used, the strokes should be made as long as possible and the number not higher than 20 to 25 per minute, to avoid loss of energy in pounding. Suction and discharge pipes should, as a rule, be as large as the cylinder, and where water is to be raised above the surface, this should be done by carrying the discharge pipe up into the tower to the necessary height to avoid the use of stuffing boxes. The large wooden plunger rods, which displace one-half the volume of the water raised with each stroke, are in the direction of economy in making the pump in a measure double-acting. If a screen must be used over the end of the suction pipe, it should be given large capacity, and be carefully watched, to see that it does not become clogged. All valves should have large ports, easy action, and be tight fitting, so that every stroke, whetner slow or quick, shall discharge the full capacity of the cylinder.

There should be two pumps of different capacities, so arranged that either may be used alone, or the two used at once, thus providing three loads, to be applied when the wind is light, medium or strong. This can readily be arranged by attaching the lighter pump directly to the mill and the larger one to a walking-beam ; or both may be attached to a walking-beam, one end of which is carried by the driving rod of the mill.

The geared windmills may readily be made to work a pump of the bucket type, Fig. 74, and if the buckets can be provided with valves which do not leak, a pump of large size may be used, speeded back so as to be driven by the mill in the lighter winds, and with increasing speed in the higher winds, without reaching the limit at which the buckets fail to empty.

But as the power of the mill increases more rapidly than the velocity of the wind, what is needed is a device which is capable of increasing the load more rapidly also. Attaching an additional pump secures this end, but the objection to the plan is that it is not automatic, and much service must be lost by the mill being either too heavily or too lightly loaded until an attendant can make the change. Still, this plan is worth following until something better can be had.

THE USE OF RESERVOIRS

To employ wind power for irrigation to the best advantage, a reservoir is required in most cases. There are localities on the seashore where nearly every day a sufficient breeze springs up to drive the windmill, and in such cases, if the supply of water is large, the lift small, and the demand for water moderate, the ground for many crops may be laid out in such a manner that a system of rotation may be followed, and the reservoir dispensed with ; but in such cases the time and attention required for the distribution of the water will usually be greater than where a reservoir is used.

The reservoir should be placed where it is high enough to serve all the ground to which it is desired to supply water, but it is very important to keep it just as low as possible, because since the economic lift of the mill is only 10 to 25 feet, every foot saved on the height of the lift into the reservoir is a large percentage gained in efficiency. The elevated wooden tanks, placed on towers far above the ground to be irrigated, are very expensive in themselves, and greatly reduce the area which a windmill can irrigate.

In constructing a reservoir where soil and subsoil are reasonably fine and close, the first step is to remove from the area all rubbish and coarse litter that may interfere with the close packing of the soil. The land upon which the walls of the reservoir are to be built is then plowed, leaving a dead furrow in the center, which may be filled with water until the whole area is thoroughly saturated. When the water has drained away sufficiently to permit of teams driving over the ground, the soil should be thoroughly trampled and puddled, after which dirt from the bottom of the reservoir may be scraped on and trampled with the teams continuously and thoroughly. It is recommended as an excellent plan to maintain the sides of the walls higher than the center, but all portions nearly enough horizontal, so that water may be pumped into the furrow at night, to help in settling the materials more closely and render the puddling more complete.

After the walls have been raised to the proper height, the
bottom of the reservoir is plowed, harrowed fine, and the whole
flooded with water, if practicable, to better fit the soil for
puddling. In case the soil is at first too open for flooding all
at once, the water may be led in furrows close together, filling
as many at a time as the capacity of the pump will permit,
turning the water into others when a sufficient saturation has
been reached. When the bottom of the reservoir has been
thoroughly puddled over the whole area and continuous with the
puddled bottom and sides of the walls, there will usually be but
little loss from seepage.

The sluice for taking out water for irrigation should be laid
in the wall at the level of the ditch outside which carries the
water to the fields or garden, but at some distance above the
bottom inside, so that the water may not be entirely withdrawn
and permit the sun to dry the soil, thus destroying the effect of
puddling. In cold climates, it is also important to retain enough
water in the reservoir to prevent the bottom from freezing, as
this may destroy the effect of puddling.

The sluice should project entirely through the walls on both
sides, and be provided with a suitable gate or valve for closing
and opening it, either fully or only in part, according to the
amount of water needed, and the dimensions should be such as to
permit more water to be taken out than is likely to be needed.

The most thoroughly satisfactory and permanent outlet for
a reservoir can be provided by using wrought iron pipe of suit-
able size, provided with an elbow at the inside, which opens
upward. This may be closed by means of a plug worked by a
T lever or handle, keeping the threads well protected with
cylinder or wagon grease, to prevent rusting in.

Oftener the sluice is made of 2-inch plank, tightly put
together and provided with a gate, as represented in Fig. 75*.
In other cases, the mouth of the sluice is cut off obliquely, and
a gate is hinged to the upper side and provided with a handle
reaching above water, to which a cord is attached for opening

the gate by simply pulling upon it. This is very simple and
easily operated. In placing the sluice in the wall of the reser-
voir, great care is needed to get the dirt thoroughly tamped and
puddled about it, so that water shall not follow its sides and
develop a leak.

To prevent injury from waves, the walls of the reservoir
should be sloping and not steeper inside than a rise of 1 in 2.

Fig. 75. Sluice and gate for reservoir. (Kansas Agr. Exp. Station.)

At the outlet ditch there should be provided an overflow weir
sufficiently below the top of the wall to prevent wave action
from starting a cut in the top by breaking over. A reservoir,
completed and filled with water, is represented in Fig. 76,
but where these are made circular in form there must be less
seepage through the banks in proportion to the amount of water
stored, because less wall is required to enclose a given area
when this is circular.

The amount of seepage from reservoirs must vary with the character of the soil, but Carpenter cites a case where the loss from this cause did not exceed 2 feet for a whole year, and this is satisfactorily small.

Where the soil is very open and sandy, it may be necessary to haul on clay or fine soil to use in puddling, or the reservoir may require covering with coal tar, asphalt or cement. These

Fig. 76. Rectangular reservoir for windmill irrigation.

materials, however, are expensive, and usually not within the reach of small irrigators.

The loss of water from a reservoir by evaporation in dry, windy climates is much larger than the necessary seepage, and this can only be lessened by planting windbreaks about the reservoir.

A circular reservoir 4 feet deep and 40 feet in diameter will supply .35 acres with 4 inches, and .69 acres with 2 inches of water. One, 100 feet in diameter and 4 feet deep will irrigate 4.32 acres with 2 inches of water and 2.16 acres with 4 inches, while a reservoir 209 feet on a side and 4 feet deep will supply water enough to irrigate 12 acres with 4 inches of water, 16 acres with 3 inches, and 24 acres with 2 inches.

PUMPING WATER WITH ENGINES

The amount of water which was pumped by a 16-foot geared windmill with a lift of 12.85 feet has been given as 79.1 acre-feet as the work of a year.

A 2½ horse-power Webster gas engine was used on the same pumps with which the windmill did most of its work, and with the same lift, to see what amount of water could be supplied by such a power. During a 6-hours' run the engine lifted 13,202.2 cubic feet 12.85 feet high, with a consumption of 458 cubic feet of gas costing $1.25 per thousand, or at a rate of 95.4 cents per day of 10 hours.

Fig. 77. Webster 2½ horse-power vertical gasoline engine.

At this rate of pumping and cost for fuel, the engine could supply in 100 days 50.67 acres with 12 inches of water at a cost for fuel of $95.40 or $1.88 per acre for the season, and $3.76 where 24 acre-inches of water is applied.

On our own place the same make and size of engine as that used above, and represented in Fig. 77, but using gasoline at 9 cents per gallon for fuel, and lifting the water against a head of 50 feet with a double-acting pump, discharging 75 gallons per minute, the cost for a 96-hours' run was $4.95.

The water pumped in this time was 432,000 gallons at the rate of $1 for 3.214 acre-inches. In 100 days of 10 hours this plant would lift, under its conditions, 601,605 cubic feet of water, or 13.81 acre-feet, at a cost for fuel of $51.56, thus making the expense $3.73 for 12 inches in depth of water per acre, and $7.46 for 24 inches.

Fig. 78. Persian wheel for lifting water. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

Fig. 79. Bucket pump for use with horse power. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

Such a pumping plant as this would easily irrigate 10 acres 12 inches deep and 5 acres 24 inches deep without the aid of a reservoir, and with the aid of a reservoir the area could be made 15 acres or 7.5 acres, according to amount of water used.

For the field irrigation on the Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station farm, we have used an 8-horse-power portable steam engine driving a No. 4 centrifugal pump. Soft coal at $4 per ton has been used for fuel, and with a lift of 26 feet, drawing the water through 110 feet of 6-inch suction pipe and discharging it through varying lengths of the same pipe up to 1,200 feet, the coal consumed has been at the rate of one ton for an average of 80,210 cubic feet, or 22.1 acre-inches.

At the above rate the fuel cost of an acre-inch of water is 18.1 cents, making 12 inches of water amount to $2.17 per acre, and 24 inches $4.34 as the cost for fuel.

Willcocks states that taking the mean of some 60 observations carefully made in the delta and Upper Egypt, the actual discharge obtained for a 4-meter lift is 480 cubic meters per horse-power per 12 hours, taking the 8-horse-power engine as the standard, and he italicizes this statement : "*A discharge of 480 cubic meters per nominal horse-power per 12 hours is the mean in Egypt.*"

He also estimates the cost of working a 10-horse-power engine in the interior of Egypt as follows :

	£	$
Driver and stoker, per day	.15	.73
Oil, etc., per day	.05	.24
Coal, away from canals per day	1.00	4.84
$\frac{1}{365}$ of 10 per cent per annum on cost of engine, for depreciation, repairs, etc	.10	.48
Total	£1.30	$6.29

The amount of water pumped by the 10-horse-power engine to a height of 13.12 feet is 3.891 acre-feet, which from the above table makes the cost per acre-foot $1.62 where the ground is covered to a depth of 12 inches, and $3.24 per acre where the depth is made 24 inches.

Fig. 80. Shadoof of Egypt, or Paecottah of India. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

Taking an average 8-hour day for pumping, the above pumping plant should irrigate during a 100-day season 259.4 acres to a depth of 12 inches and 129.7 acres to a depth of 24 inches, at a total cost for pumping of $420.23.

THE USE OF ANIMAL POWER FOR LIFTING WATER
FOR IRRIGATION

Many and very old are some of the devices invented to
utilize both human strength and that of cattle and horses.
Fig. 78 represents the Persian wheel, very extensively used in
Asia Minor and in Egypt for lifting water, two cattle raising
as much as 2,000 cubic feet per day on low lifts.   A more

Fig. 81. Doon of India. (Wilson, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

modern device is represented in Fig. 79, where one horse may
elevate through a height of 20 feet 500 cubic feet of water per
hour and 5,000 per day of 10 hours, or a rate which, if followed
for 100 days, would give more than 11 acres 12 inches of water
in depth.

Much land is irrigated in India, Asia Minor and Egypt,
where the water is lifted by man-power, and Figs. 80 and 81
show two of the forms of lifting devices upon which men are
worked.   Two men, working alternately, are said to irrigate an
acre in 3 days with the shadoof, lifting the water about 4 to 6
feet.

CHAPTER X

METHODS OF APPLYING WATER IN IRRIGATION

WHEN water has been provided for irrigation and brought to the field where it is to be applied, the steps which still remain to be taken are far the most important of any in the whole enterprise, not excepting those of engineering, however great, which may have been necessary in providing a water supply which shall be constant, ample and moderate in cost; for failure in the application of water to the crop means utter ruin for all that has gone before.

To handle water on a given field so that it shall be applied at the right time, in the right amount, without unnecessarily washing or puddling the soil or injuring the crop, requires an intimate acquaintance with the conditions, good judgment, close observation, skillful manipulation, and patience, after the field has been put into excellent shape; and right here is where a thorough understanding of the principles governing the wetting, puddling and washing of soils, and possible injury to crops as a result of irrigation, becomes a matter of the greatest moment. There is great need of more exact scientific knowledge than we now have to guide the irrigator in his handling of water.

PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE WETTING OF SOILS

When water is applied to a soil which becomes more open in texture and coarser grained as the depth below the surface increases, it will travel downward in nearly straight lines, and will spread laterally but very little except by the relatively slow process of capillarity. This fact is forcibly illustrated in Fig. 82, where the experiment consisted in maintaining the level of the water in a hole at the place designated by the arrow until 200 cubic feet had percolated into the soil. The heavily shaded area in the figure shows the mass of soil completely filled with water on the two dates, October 15 and 17, while the water was running. It will be seen that although the hole was kept full and the water-level within 8 inches of the surface, the water did not spread sideways more than 2.5 feet until below a depth of 11 feet.

If we imagine this to represent a cross-section of the soil under a water-furrow extending across a field, it will be readily seen how much water would be lost by rapid percolation directly downward, and how little, even after a long time, would have spread laterally to wet the field. To irrigate such soils satisfactorily and economically, the water must be spread over the whole surface, or be led in furrows which are near together across the field, so that the soil between the furrows may quickly become wet.

While the water is in the furrows, it will travel sideways by capillarity fastest in those soils which are coarsest, for the same reason that it flows downward

fastest ; namely, because the pores are largest and offer less resistance to the flow. The truth of this statement will be readily apprehended by studying Fig. 83, which shows how greatly the diameter of the

Fig. 82. Slow rate of lateral spread of water in soil.

waterways in a soil is modified by the size and arrangement of the soil grains. This being true, it is plain that water should be moved most rapidly over the coarsest soils, in order that unnecessary waste by deep percolation may not take place.

If a soil decreases in fineness of texture as the depth increases, then there may be a considerable lateral spreading of the water due to gravity, and

Fig. 83.   Size and arrangement of soil grains as influencing pore space and capillary waterways.

this, aided by capillarity, will permit the furrows to be placed farther apart and the water to be run more slowly over the ground.

Where a fine, loamy soil is underlaid at 3 to 5 feet with a subsoil of much finer texture, through which the water percolates slowly, then water may be led quite rapidly through furrows some distance apart and considerable quantities applied at once, depending upon it to spread laterally by gravity, and to rise by capillarity under the spaces between the furrows, in this way wetting the larger part of the soil of the

field by a sort of sub-irrigation, which should be utilized to the fullest extent possible, for then the intervals between irrigations may be longest and the duty of water will be highest.

If the soil is allowed to become very dry before watering, especially if the texture is close and the grains fine, water will percolate downward less rapidly, and it will move sideways and rise under the influence of capillarity more slowly, because the air of the soil must be displaced ahead of the water.

A fine soil, flooded under these conditions, will take water very slowly, because the surface pores become filled with water, which is retained with so much force that air bubbles cannot readily rise through it, and the conditions are similar to a jug ·filled with air bottom upwards under water,—the one cannot escape nor the other enter. Such soils, therefore, which must be flooded should not be allowed to reach this dry condition. The case is not so bad when furrow-irrigation is practiced, because the water pressure in the furrow may displace the air laterally where it can escape upward between the · furrows unhindered by the water.

On the other hand, there are conditions when it is desirable to take advantage of this hindrance of air to percolation. Where a clover, alfalfa, grass or grain field must be watered by flooding, and where the head of water is small, the fall slight, and the distances the water must be led long, the spreading will be much more rapid and better when the surface soil has become dry. Indeed we have repeatedly tried to

water a certain piece of land when the surface soil was yet quite moist, and found it impossible to do so with the available head, because the water would sink into the ground faster than it could be supplied ; but by letting the soil become dryer the same head spread the water easily over the whole area, wetting it evenly, though there was greater hindrance from the clover having become thicker and larger.

In furrow irrigation, the same principle may be taken advantage of in cases where the rows are long and the head of water too small, though not to the same extent ; but the difference is sufficiently pronounced to be sometimes quite helpful in open soils.

PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE PUDDLING OF SOILS

A puddled soil is one in which the compound soil kernels or crumbs have been broken down more or less completely into separate grains and run together into a closely compacted mass. Such a soil may hold its pores between the grains so completely filled with water until lost by evaporation that little free air is present except that absorbed in the water itself. In such a soil roots quickly suffer for lack of air, the process of nitrification cannot go on, and, what is even worse, the nitrates already present in the soil when the puddling occurred may be rapidly lost by the process of denitrification.

The water-logging of a soil has the same disastrous effects regarding the roots of plants and on the processes of nitrification and denitrification. Both

conditions should, therefore, be studiously avoided by
every irrigator.

If soils to be irrigated contain black alkali, and
this has been permitted to accumulate at the surface
during the interval between waterings, it is evident
that the flooding of such soils will redissolve the
alkali, and as this, in solution, tends of itself to pro-
duce puddling, it is evident that the irrigation of
such lands should always be done with the greatest
care, in order not to complicate the difficulties of the
crop by adding that of a puddled soil to the dele-
terious action of the carbonate of soda.

It is extremely difficult to completely submerge
a recently stirred soil of any kind without breaking
down the crumb structure so essential to perfect tilth,
and all are familiar with the fact that there is no
way to so effectually compact loose soil in a trench
as to completely fill it with water. It is, therefore,
plain that soils should be watered before plowing
and fitting, when the running together cannot take
place, rather than after the ground is seeded. Indeed,
water enough should always be present in a soil at
seeding time, not only to germinate the crop, but to
carry it well on in growth, so that if baking of the
soil must take place, less harm will be done. There
are few soils which it would be safe to flood just
after a crop like oats, wheat or barley is up, for fear
of packing the soil and seriously injuring the crop.

When the plants have attained some size, when
the soil has gained in firmness by the natural pro-
cesses of settling, and when the roots have spread

and occupied the soil, the shading, the firming and
the root binding all conspire to prevent puddling
and baking, so that flooding may then be practiced
with less danger of harm; and so grass lands, alfalfa
and clover may always be flooded with little danger
of injuring the texture of the soil, because the exten-
sive root systems prevent it.

When water is applied in furrows without wash-
ing, so that it rises and spreads through the soil
between the furrows by capillarity, it then has the
opposite effect from puddling, and tends rather to
improve the texture by drawing the loosened soil
grains together into clusters by an action of surface
tension like that which rolls drops of water into spheres
on a dusty floor. As the soil crumbs become satu-
rated with capillary water the loose dust particles which
have been formed in tilling are drawn to them and
bound closely by the pull of the surface film; but
so soon as the whole soil becomes immersed in water,
as in the case of flooding, and as happens in the bottoms
of the furrows, there is then no surface tension, and
the soil grains fall apart under the water of their own
weight, and compacting and puddling are the results.

It follows, therefore, that all crops where the
ground is not covered by them, and where cultivation
is resorted to to prevent loss of water by evaporation,
should so far as practicable be irrigated by the fur-
row method; and since the bottoms of the furrows
must be subjected to the conditions which puddle,
it follows that the furrows should always be as far
apart as other conditions will permit.

PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE WASHING OF SOILS

One of the commonest mistakes of beginners in irrigation is the use of too large volumes of water in a place and hurrying it over the ground too rapidly. It must be kept ever in mind, in all sorts of irrigation, that the eroding and transporting power of water increases with the velocity with which it moves, but in a higher ratio ; to double the rate at which water moves in a furrow or over the surface, increases its power to wash and carry the soil forward nearly fourfold.

In good irrigation, the water is forced to move so gently that it runs nearly or quite clear and without washing the sides or bottom of the furrows, and if one does not succeed in securing flows without washing, the only conclusion which should be drawn is that the right way has not yet been learned, not that it cannot be done.

Naturally, the steeper the slope of the furrows the faster the water tends to run. So, too, when the slope remains the same, the larger the volume of water in the furrow the faster the water will flow, and these two principles give the irrigator nearly complete control of the situation.

If the ground is flat and the water moves too slowly, increase the amount in the furrow, and if there is not water enough to do this, decrease the number of furrows handled at one time. If the water runs too fast and washes, divide up the stream, leading it into more furrows until the movement comes

v

to be the rate which does not wash or erode. We have seen orchards in the foothills of California irrigated by carrying the water in furrows down the hill where the slopes were too great to readily plow with a team and yet it was done with such skill that no appreciable wash was produced, neither did any water run to waste. Everything was adjusted with such nicety that by the time the streams had reached the ends of the furrows the whole of the water had been absorbed by the soil. The 30 acres referred to were owned and managed by a Swede, and when he was asked if he did not find it difficult to handle the water so as not to wash his soil and waste the water on these steep hills, with no grading or terracing, the reply was : " Easy now ; but was very hard when I didn't know."

The most essential point in the distribution of water is to have the furrows on a nearly uniform slope, so that the velocity of flow will be closely uniform through their entire length. If the same grade cannot be secured throughout, it is better to change from a steeper slope to one more flat than the reverse, because then the reduction in velocity will be partly made up by a greater depth of water in the furrow on the flatter reaches.

FIELD IRRIGATION BY FLOODING

When large areas of land are to be irrigated in single blocks, there is no method of applying water which is so economical of labor and of time as the

Fig. 84. Distributing water with furrow and canvas dam. (Cowgill, U. S. Geol. Survey.)

systems of flooding, whenever it is possible to establish and maintain the best conditions for them, and there is no other system which permits of so uniform a wetting of the surface.

There are two fundamentally different systems of flooding. One covers the surface of a field with a thin sheet of running water, maintained until the desired saturation has been reached; the other covers the surface with a sheet of standing water, which is allowed to remain until the soil has absorbed enough, when the balance is drawn off; or, simply as much water as is desired is placed upon the land, and this remains on the surface until it is absorbed.

The two systems are used most for crops like the small grains, grasses and clovers, which closely cover the ground, and where intertillage is not practiced. They are also used extensively where fields for any crop must be moistened preparatory to plowing and seeding.

Flooding by running water is practiced with great nicety and thoroughness on large fields of 40, 80 and even 160 acres in the old Union Colony at Greeley, Colorado. Here, usually, the natural slope of the country is good, and a distributing ditch is carried along the highest edge of a field to be irrigated. When the time for watering has arrived, the field is divided into lands of 60 to 120 feet by parallel furrows, made by using a wide V-shaped plow, throwing the earth both ways, thus forming distributing furrows, represented in Fig. 84, about 30 inches wide at the top. These furrows are made rapidly with a 3- or 4-horse team, and when a crop of grain is ready

to cut, a common plow is driven up one side and down the other of the furrow, thus filling it and leaving the field in shape to be driven over with the harvesting machine. The ridge of earth on each side of the distributing furrow serves the purpose of

Fig. 85. Canvas dam taken up.

borders to the lands, which prevent the return of the water to the furrows after it has been thrown out by the dam, shown at the point where the man stands in the cut.

This dam is simply a piece of canvas tacked by one edge to a strip of wood 2 x 4 inches in thickness and 6 or 8 feet long, as seen in Fig. 85.

When in use, it is laid in the furrow with the canvas up stream and the free edge loaded with earth to hold it down, when it effectually holds back the water and throws it out upon the strip to be watered.

Water is turned into one, two, three or more of these distributing furrows from the head ditch, according to the amount available, and when the lands have become sufficiently wet as far below the canvas dams as the water will readily flow through the grain or grass, these are picked up and moved farther down and the stream again turned out. Water is thus led over successive lands until the whole field has been irrigated easily, rapidly, cheaply and, at the same time, well.

Where crops are grown in short rotation on a large scale, as they are at Greeley, wheat, alfalfa or clover and potatoes following one another in regular order, it is doubtful if a better or more satisfactory system of irrigation can be devised than the one described.

If the slopes of the field are steep, and especially if they incline in various directions, then the small grains and grasses may sometimes be irrigated better by the method represented in Fig. 86, where water-furrows are thrown across the surface of the slope nearly along contour lines, giving them only so much fall as is needed to lead the water forward.

These furrows for grain fields, where they are temporary, would be best formed with the ordinary plow, at the time of seeding, and the upturned earth smoothed down, so that it may become set before the

water must be led across it. Where help is scarce and the price of the crop small, it is often the practice to enter the field with the plow just before the water is to be applied, and form the furrows then.

In watering by this method, the aim is to throw

Fig. 86. Flooding field on steep slopes. (Grunsky.)

the water over the lower edge of the furrow in a continuous sheet or else at short intervals, to flow down the slope until the portion of the field within reach has received what is needed. To do this, canvas dams or temporary earth dams are used, as

described above ; then, when the water is to be carried forward, the dams are also shifted

As represented in the figure, water may be carried directly down the slope across a series of secondary furrows, as at C, D, D, D, and the main supply furrows may be set one below another at such intervals as the extent of the fields and the slope of the surface may demand. In the figure, a second water furrow is marked "supply and drain ditch," but if the best work is done in handling the water, there should be no surplus to drain away.

When slopes like those under consideration are in permanent meadows or pastures, or if they are in meadows for three or more years, it will be best usually to give more time to shaping the furrows, so that washing will not occur when less attention is given, and so that the mower and horse rake may readily work over and across them.

In European countries, where so much labor is done by hand, little attention has been paid to developing systems of applying water to fields which will readily permit of the use of machinery, as must be the case in this country, at least for a long time to come.

Where grain fields are not very long, and where the slope is gentle and uniform, the water may be distributed from a single head ditch by simply marking the field, after it has been sowed, with a tool like the corn-marker, but having runners close enough to give shallow furrows every 15 or 20 inches. These shallow furrows lead the water forward in par-

allel lines from which the lateral spread may be, to a large extent, by capillary creeping, and they guide the flow past minor inequalities, preventing the water from becoming concentrated so as to do injury through increase in volume and velocity and from running around areas, leaving them dry. This marking is so rapidly and cheaply done, and obstructs the surface so little, that it is to be highly recommended where applicable.

A corrugated roller might be used instead of the sliding marker to form the water lines, but this would have no tendency to throw the kernels of grain to one side, and the channels would be more obstructed by the plants. Neither could so great a depth be secured, especially on heavy soils not deeply and recently worked.

In the second flooding system, where the water is made to stand over the whole surface to any desired depth, the fields must be laid out in areas bounded by ridges or low levees, which check the flow of water and hold it as in a wide and extremely shallow reservoir.

The size of the checks in which a field is laid out will be determined by its general slope, by the head of water available, and by the height of the levees or check ridges. It is desirable, for meadow and grain irrigation, to make the checks as large as practicable and at the same time to keep the ridges so low as not to interfere with the movement of farm machinery over the field.

If the slope of the field is 6 inches in 200 feet,

and it is desired to place the upper edge of each
check under 2 inches of water, it would be neces-
sary to construct the levees, for checks 200 feet
square, about 10 or 12 inches high, because the water
would be 8 inches deep on the lower edge when the
surface was covered 2 inches at the higher side, and
a margin of 2 to 4 inches is needed for safety
against the water breaking across over slight depres-
sions or against wave action.

If the fields are to be used continuously for mead-
ows, pastures, alfalfa, or either of these, in rotation
with small grains or similar crops which may be best
irrigated by flooding, it will usually be desirable to
make the check ridges broad and flat, so that mowers
and harvesters and even plows may readily move over
them.   They thus become permanent features of the
field. If a 20-, 40- or 80-acre field is to be laid off
in regular checks, this would probably be most rapidly
and cheaply done by a system of plowing in repeated
back-furrows until the desired height of ridges is
reached.   The sizes of the checks would first be deter-
mined, and then all the ridges extending in one
direction formed, first at the distance apart found
desirable, after which the field would be crossed in
the other direction, forming in the same manner the
other sides of the checks.

In cases where a single plowing does not give
sufficient height to the ridges, and in countries
where the rainfall is sufficient to permit moderate
crops to be grown without irrigation, the labor of
fitting the ground in this way may be made a part

of the regular plowing for the crops, and permitted to extend through a number of years, thus making the expense of fitting the ground for irrigation mainly that of fitting the land for crops. By this plan the field would be plowed in lands in one direction, with the back furrows always in the same place, until the desired height is attained; then these back furrows would be crossed to form the other sides of the checks, plowing in the same manner.

In case the checks are large, the land between the ridges may be subdivided and plowed in the ordinary way, letting the back furrows and dead furrows alternate in position with the seasons, in the usual manner. There will be some finishing work required, especially where the check ridges cross one another.

It is not, of course, necessary that the flooding checks shall be square. If the field has a considerable fall in one direction and little or none in the other, the checks may be made much longer in the nearly level direction, and thus reduce the labor and inequalities in the field.

In cases where the slopes are more or less undulating, the check ridges which are horizontal will necessarily follow the course of contour lines, and may neither cross the others at right angles nor be parallel with one another, but they may still be formed in the same manner.

When it comes to flooding, the water may be taken from the head distributary and sent down first one tier of checks and then another, dropping the

water from the first into the second and the second
into the third, over one or more breaks or weirs in
the dividing check ridges. If, however, the checks
are large or very many, this plan will be unneces-
sarily wasteful of water, and a better plan is to take
the water down the crest between two lines of checks
in a secondary furrow. From this furrow the water
may be turned into the check on one side and then
on the other, flooding by pairs down the whole line.

In the San Joaquin valley of California, in Kern
county, there is laid out one of the largest flooding
systems in the world. Here are more than 30,000
acres of alfalfa in a single solid block. The slope of
the country ranges from 5 feet to the mile to less
than 2. Large volumes of water are at the command
of the company,—30 cubic feet per second,—and so
the checks, laid out with their level ridges on contour
lines, have various sizes and many shapes. The
largest checks contain 200 acres, while the average is
about 40. The ridges are 12 to 20 inches high, with
a maximum width at the base of 12 to 18 feet,
broadly rounded, and all covered with the growing
alfalfa.

Where the period of rotation is short, and where
crops not suited to flooding are used in the rotation,
then narrower and temporary check ridges would be
formed for the crops to be watered in this way. The
smallest ridges may be rapidly made on recently
plowed fields by using a V-shaped ridging scraper
drawn by horses, with the open side forward. The
spreading wings throw the loose earth into the angle,

Fig. 87.   Flooding field by rectangular checks.   (Grunsky.)

Fig. 88.   Flooding field by contour checks.   (Grunsky.)

where it is dropped in a continuous ridge, because a portion of each plank is cut away at the vertex, thus leaving an opening which passes over the gathered earth. If larger ridges are desired, a wider scraper, with wide opening in the rear, may be followed by one of smaller dimensions, to complete the gathering.

The mounted road grader may be used to advantage in forming such ridges, and it would be an easy matter to construct a special tool for this purpose on

Fig. 89.   Model of flooding by checks.

the principle of the road grader, but having two scrapers instead of one, mounted in such manner that they could be set closer together or farther apart, as desired.

After the earth has been gathered into ridges, this may be smoothed down and rounded with a light harrow, followed by a roller, if greater firmness is desired.   In Figs. 87, 88 and 89 are different forms of flooding checks, showing how the water may be handled in them.

FITTING THE SURFACE FOR IRRIGATION

Whichever system of flooding or other irrigation is used, it is very important that the smaller inequalities of the surface should be removed by some method of grading, in order that the water may spread uniformly, wetting the whole area. If this leveling is not done, some portions of the field will receive too much water while other areas will receive too little or none at all, and hence yields far below the maximum will be the result.

Various forms of leveling devices are in use, and Fig. 90 represents one of the best, made specially for this purpose, and an ordinary road grader would unquestionably form an excellent tool for doing this work.

There are many forms of scrapers of simple construction which are improvised on the farm to meet the needs of the moment. One of these is a letter **A** form, made of two 2x12-inch plank, put together so as to stand on edge and be drawn over the ground weighted with the driver riding upon it. The lower edges of the plank may be shod with strips of steel or band iron, and thus made more durable and effective.

Fig. 90. Shuart land grader.

Another form is represented in Fig. 91, and consists of two side runners held together by cross-bars

of strong plank, set at an angle and shod with steel, as shown. This tool is much used in France and Italy, and a modification of it we saw in use at Grand Junction, Colorado, where a pair of low wheels

Fig. 91. Simple land grader.

were attached to the front of the scraper on a bent iron axle, which could be worked by means of a lever to raise or lower the scraper at will, thus causing it to drop or take on dirt where desired.

FIELD IRRIGATION BY FURROWS

Where crops like maize, sorghum and potatoes are grown in large fields, and where intertillage must be practiced, it is usually best to irrigate by the furrow method after the crop is on the ground. In countries

where the soil must be prepared for planting by first watering, it is very important, especially with potatoes, that the soil should be thoroughly saturated to a depth of 4 feet before fitting the ground.

If these crops are to follow clover or alfalfa, as will usually be the case, the preliminary watering may be given in the late winter or early spring by one of the flooding methods, if the ground has been fitted for that ; but however the saturation is accomplished, the soil should have all it will carry at the time of fitting for seed, unless natural rainfall may be depended upon.

After planting, frequent surface tillage to conserve the moisture should be practiced, and the crop carried forward as far as possible without irrigation. The harrow should follow the planter at once for both maize and potatoes, and frequently thereafter as long as the crop will bear it without injury, which will be after both are well out of the ground.

Where a vigorous growth of vines can be maintained by intertillage alone until they cover the ground and the tubers begin to set, this is by far the best practice for potatoes. So, too, is it best for nearly all crops planted in rows which permit of cultivation ; and it should ever be kept in mind that 4 feet of good soil well saturated and well cared for by intertillage may easily carry 6 and even 8 inches of available water, and this, under good conditions, is far more effective than any which may be applied later.

When potatoes are ready to be laid by, the last

w

cultivation should be with a double-wing cultivator,
which will form a furrow midway between the rows
and at the same time throw the soil up under the
vines, forming a high, broad ridge of mellow soil
above the roots in which the tubers may set and over
which the water should never rise. The furrows thus
formed fit the field for irrigation.

When the time for irrigation has arrived, which
should be deferred as long as the vines continue to
grow vigorously, water will be taken from the head
ditch and subdivided between as many rows as it will
supply, as represented in Figs. 92, 93 and 94, where
the first one shows the canvas dam just put in place
in a head ditch in a field near Greeley, Colorado.
Fig. 93 shows the irrigator, with rubber boots and
spade, opening the head ditch to let the water into
the furrows ; while Fig. 94 shows the water 30 minutes
later, as it is flowing between rows 40 rods long.

It will be noted that the water has been let into
only alternate rows, and this is a common practice
where water is scarce. It is also a frequent practice
where water must be taken in rotation and the time
is too short to go over the whole field.   In such
cases, when the next turn comes the water would be
sent down the remaining rows.

Very great care is taken not to let in so much
water as to fill the furrows and flood the hills, for
:t is far better to let the water rise under the hills
by capillarity.

In another field near the same city, two men were
irrigating 47 acres of potatoes planted in rows 120

Fig. 92. Canvas dam in place, preparatory to turning water into potato rows of Fig. 94.

Fig. 93. Opening head ditch of Fig. 92, to turn water into rows of Fig. 94.

rods long and, from a single head ditch, sending the water the whole length. They were nominally using 175 Colorado inches of water, distributing it in alternate furrows.

Before going home at night they divided this head between 40 rows which had been once irrigated,

Fig. 94.　Irrigating potato rows 40 rods long from head ditch of Fig. 92.

gauging the flow in each, so that, in their judgment, the lower ends of the furrows would be nearly reached on their return in the morning. After watering once begins, it is kept up until the crop is matured, going over the field every 10 to 15 days.

In the growing of potatoes by irrigation, it is a matter of the greatest importance that the ground shall be kept well moistened continuously after the tubers have begun to form, so that they shall be kept

steadily growing. If the ground is allowed to become
dry enough to check their growth and another irri-
gation follows, the tubers will then throw out new
growths and become irregular in form and unsalable.

In Colorado the potatoes are usually planted in
rows 4 feet apart. This distance is much greater
than is required in humid climates, and it would seem
that were the same amount of seed planted upon
three-fourths of the ground, or even five-eighths,
making the rows 36 inches or 30 inches apart instead
of 48 inches, the ground could be more thoroughly
watered and larger yields per acre secured.

It is certain that the practice of only watering
alternate rows, which is common where water is scarce,
does not permit the largest yields to be secured. It
has been shown by studies in the humid climate of
Wisconsin, and with only 30 inches between the rows,
as a mean of two years' trials, that watering between
all rows gave a yield of 317.3 bushels per acre ;
watering between alternate rows gave 277.1 bushels
per acre, when the natural rainfall alone gave 211.6
bushels per acre. That is to say, the irrigation
between all rows increased the yield over the natural
rainfall 105.7 bushels per acre, while irrigating between
alternate rows only increased the yield 65.5 bushels
per acre, making a difference between the two methods
of irrigation of 40.2 bushels of merchantable tubers
per acre.

In these experiments the field was divided into alter-
nating groups, which were watered and not watered,
so that there were two rows in each irrigated plot

watered on but one side, and it was the yield from these rows which has been used in making the comparison.

It was also found that the first row not irrigated on either side, and hence standing 45 inches from the center of the water furrow, had its yield increased by the watering only 7.9 bushels per acre. This makes it appear that were the potatoes planted in rows 90 inches apart and the water applied in a single furrow between each two rows, the benefit derived from the water would be much less.

It is very clear, therefore, that in furrow irrigation care must be taken that the water is not led along lines too distant from the plants which are to use it.

Where the water is to be allowed to run some time in individual rows, and where considerable quantities are being handled, it will often be found desirable to take it out of the head ditch into short feeders which supply a certain number of rows, as represented in Fig. 95, where the water in the foreground is in the head ditch, the feeder standing next sending water into 8 rows of rape, 28 inches apart from center to center, from which the first cutting has just been removed.

Sugar beets, maize, and all field crops upon which intertillage is practiced would be irrigated in a similar manner ; but in such close planting as that above on sandy loams or lighter soils, it would probably be sufficient to lead water down every other furrow, keeping the other rows under frequent flat cultivation.

In Italy, where so much work is done by hand, it is a frequent practice to throw the field for maize into flat ridges or beds 6 feet wide with strong irrigation furrows between, planting the corn in an open broadcast manner on the beds, to be watered

Fig. 95. Dividing water between eight rows of recently cut rape.

by flooding through the heavy furrows. . .The same practice is followed to some extent for the small grains and clover also.

WATER-MEADOWS

Most water-meadows are laid out with the view of maintaining a continuous flow of water over the whole surface for considerable periods of time, with

but little personal attention. Large volumes of water
are usually used, and in Europe especially this is
applied more extensively out of the growing season
than during it, or, more exactly stated, during times
when the crop is off rather than when on the
ground.

Reference has already been made to the water-
meadows near Salisbury, England, where Fig. 16
shows a large part of the river Avon diverted into
a canal to be led out for water-meadow irrigation.
In Fig. 96 is represented a diagram of one of these
water-meadows covering about 15 acres. The solid
lines are permanent distributing ditches beginning in
the head distributary and ending near the river at
the foot of the field. They are placed about 3 rods
apart, upon the crests of ridges which are quite
steep, sloping from 1 in 12 to 1 in 15 feet toward
the dotted lines, which are permanent drainage fur-
rows. It is on this field that the photograph shown
in Fig. 17 was taken. In talking with a "mead-
man," whose business is to water one of these meadows,
it appears that water has been run over them year
after year for so long a period that no one knows
who laid them out. The mead-man in question was
past sixty years of age, and both his father and
grandfather had been mead-men for the same field.
It is quite probable, therefore, that the steep slopes
now found have been to a considerable extent a mat-
ter of growth due to deposit of sediments in the
distributaries, and to some extent to erosion along
the drainage lines. The plan of this system of irri-

gation is to hold the distributaries along the crests of the ridges full of water their whole length, so that it shall overflow from both sides and run down

Fig. 96.   Plan of old water-meadow, Salisbury, England.

the slopes into the drainage ditches in a thin and even veil ; and in order that this shall be realized, the distributaries are widest at the upper end, grow-

ing gradually narrower toward the foot, while the
drainage ways increase in width toward the foot. In
the meadow in question, the measured widths and
depths of the distributaries at their heads were 42
inches by 24 inches respectively, in all except Nos.
10, 11, 12 and 13, 10 and 11 being 28 by 24, 12
being 48 by 24 inches, and 13 14 inches wide and
12 inches deep ; but the capacity of the drainage
ditches was only about one-fourth that of the dis-
tributaries.

In Italy the winter meadows, when laid out in
what is regarded as the best manner, have sloping
faces not wider than 25 to 30 feet, and with the crests
12 inches higher than the hollows, while the lengths
are quite variable, depending upon the volume of
water at command, but usually being 8 or 10 times
the width.   The distributaries have a width of 12
inches and a depth of 6 to 7 inches, while the drain-
age lines have dimensions about one-half of these.

In the summer water-meadows of Italy, the sur-
face is much more nearly level between the distribu-
taries, and often there is no intermediate drainage
furrow, its function sometimes being fulfilled by a line
of drainage tile beneath the surface.

In the Campine of Belgium, extensive sandy plains
have been laid out in water-meadows, and Fig. 97
represents a small section of this system near Neer-
pelt, where the water is distributed through canals
on the crests of ridges, as already described, and
in the plan the heavy lines represent the distribu-
taries, while the lighter lines represent the drainage

Fig. 97. Plan of water-meadows for using water over again. Neerpelt, Belgium.

system.   It will be seen that the land is laid out
so as to use the surplus drainage water over again,
by collecting it into a foot ditch which is extended
to a lower level in the field, where it becomes the
head ditch, and discharges its water into another set
of distributaries, as represented in the plan, the over-

Fig. 98.   Model of field laid out for water-meadows, with slopes exaggerated.

flow water from the upper section being used upon
the third or lower section.   The area shown in the
plan is about 26 acres, the distance between the
distributaries about two rods, and the crests stand
nearly 10 inches above the troughs.   In Fig. 98, there
is represented a small piece of ground laid out upon
this plan on a reduced scale.

It will be seen that this system of irrigation not
only involves a large amount of labor to fit the land,

but it throws out of use a large percentage of the area irrigated, while at the same time greatly interfering with the working of the ground and harvesting of the crops. Evidently the system is not well suited to American conditions where machinery is to be used.

In the irrigated mountain meadows, such as the one represented in Fig. 14, the slopes of the fields are so steep that the water is usually led through irregular furrows whose direction is determined by the natural configuration of the ground, and the practice becomes a species of " wild flooding" where, on account of the great fall, the water is distributed without much labor having been expended in shaping the surface.

IRRIGATION OF CRANBERRIES

Cranberries are usually grown upon very level lands, where the ground water is naturally at or very close to the surface. During the growing season, the aim is to hold the water in the ground to within 18 or 24 inches of the surface, but on account of insect ravages and frosts, it is frequently imperative that the lands shall be flooded quickly to a depth of 6 to 10 inches, and the water drawn off again in a short time. To prevent winter-killing, it is also desirable to flood the vines and hold them under water until the danger from frost is past in the spring, and these requirements make it necessary to have the marshes laid out as represented in Fig. 99, where blocks of land are surrounded by low

dykes and wide ditches, and at the same time divided
into narrow lands of 30 to 60 feet by parallel nar-
rower waterways, which are at once distributaries and
drainage ditches, according as water is being applied
or removed. These minor distributaries and drainage
lines are made necessary chiefly by the necessity of
rapid and satisfactory drainage after the ground has

Fig. 99. Plan for irrigation of cranberries.

been flooded for protection against insects or frost.
The side ditches may be 3 to 5 feet wide and 2
to 3 feet deep, according to the size of the area
under treatment, while the minor cross-ditches should
be 24 to 30 inches wide and 18 to 24 inches deep.

There are many localities where the land is suit-
able for cranberry culture, but where running water

is not available for the purpose of irrigation. In some of these localities there are large quantities of water in the ground beneath the marshes, which could be utilized if it could be lifted cheaply. Where this water need not be lifted more than 10 to 20 feet, and where there is an abundance of it in the ground, it will often be practicable to lay

Fig. 100. Plan for cranberry irrigation by pumping.

out a piece of ground in the manner represented in Fig. 100, with a reservoir in the center capable of storing water enough to flood the balance of the ground whenever desired, and then set up a windmill of sufficient capacity to maintain this reservoir full of water, letting the surplus go to the ditches if needed there, to hold the water up to the desired height for best growth.

The object of placing the reservoir in the center
of the area to be controlled is to utilize the seepage
from the reservoir to hold up the ground water to
the desired level more readily. A 12-foot steel mill
should readily handle 3 to 5 acres if the water
supply is abundant, the ground not too porous, and
the lift not more than 20 feet. But if by such an
arrangement as this a farmer could have only two
acres or even one acre of cranberries under complete
control as regards frost and insects, as an adjunct to
his general farming, it would net him a handsome
profit which would supplement in an important way
his yearly income.

It would, of course, be necessary to be able to
drain the area quickly after flooding, and if facilities
are not the best for this, it would be possible to so
arrange the pump that the water could be thrown
back into the reservoir again, and this could readily
be done for small areas where an engine was used
instead of a windmill for power.

IRRIGATION OF RICE FIELDS

In the irrigation of rice fields, where this is to
be done under the best conditions and where the
highest quality of rice is to be produced, it is a
matter of prime importance that the fields shall be
properly laid out, and that an abundant supply of
suitable water shall be under complete control. It
has been pointed out, in discussing the duty of water
in rice culture, that available statistics make the

average amount used equal to a flooding of the field 6 inches in depth once every 10 days, and since so much water must be used on this crop, the means for handling it must be constructed with ample proportions.

In South Carolina, at the mouths of the Santee river, where the natural conditions for rice culture exist in almost ideal perfection, the fields have been laid off into flooding basins, varying in size from a few acres to thirty and more. Each basin is surrounded by a dyke, at the foot of which is a main distributing ditch 4 to 6 feet wide and 30 to 36 inches deep, much as has been described for cranberry irrigation, but on a larger scale, and the resemblance is made still closer by the division of the fields into narrow lands 20 feet in width by parallel ditches 36 inches wide and 36 inches deep, which are at once the ultimate distributaries and the drainage channels. Trunks or sluices are provided controlled by semi-automatic tide gates, which may be raised at will, on the sea side, to admit the water to these ditches and flood the fields to any desired depth, and then closed and the water retained ; or the gate on the field side may be raised and the water withdrawn.

After the fields have been plowed and seeded in the spring, they are flooded to a depth of 6 inches and allowed to so remain until the seed has germinated and the first three roots formed. At this stage the water is let off for three days to force rooting, when flooding again occurs to overtop the

x

plants and be sure to submerge the highest points
in the field and start the rice there. This done,
the water is drawn to a gauge and changed every
seven days until the stage for dry growth has
arrived, after 21 days, or the fifth irrigation.

The water is now held off during 30 days and
the fields are given two dry hoeings. This stirring of
the surface of the rice fields appears to have two
important objects to secure : (1) to destroy weeds,
and (2) to so aërate the soil as to admit air to
the roots and to the niter germs for the develop-
ment of nitrates. If the soil is not stirred, the
plants take on a yellow color, which quickly changes
to a dark green after the cultivation, proving this
tillage very important. During this time the dry-
growth roots are formed, which penetrate the soil
sufficiently to enable the plants to stand securely,
while at the same time they absorb the nitrates,
potash, phosphoric acid and other ash ingredients
required to mature the grain.

The cultivation is made more urgent on these
fields because of the fine silt borne in the river
water, which settles and overspreads the surface,
forming so impervious a film that air can only pass
it slowly, and if not broken would set up the pro-
cesses of denitrification, which in turn must check
the growth of the crop and cause it to turn yellow.

After the dry-growth stage has been passed and
the head is ready to form, the 7-day irrigations are
resumed and maintained until the crop has been
matured. The frequent irrigations are necessitated

because of the tendency of the waters to become stagnant and poisonous to the rice. So important is the complete removal of the stagnant water that provision is made at the farther corner of each field, by means of a trunk in the dyke, to permit the water which has been left standing in the ditches after draining to be forced out by the incoming water into another ditch leading to a canal or creek, and careful watch is kept until the yellow river water has finally reached the extreme corner and forced out all of the standing water which has been " bagged " in the ditches.

When the rice crop reaches maturity and is ready to harvest, a few of the topmost kernels are more advanced than the balance of the head and certain to shell and fall upon the field. These tip kernels, too, are liable to be red, and if allowed to germinate the next season would mature heads with kernels still more highly colored, and tend in a short time to develop the " red rice" which so seriously lowers the grade and market price.

To avoid the development of red rice on the marshes, it is the practice, after the harvest has been removed, to again flood the fields and germinate at once all of the shelled rice which has fallen upon the ground, so that the winter frosts shall kill the plants and thus remove the red rice. It is stated that if the seed is placed in the ground where it cannot germinate, it may retain its vitality for five years, and hence where the practice of fall flooding cannot be resorted to it becomes necessary to adopt some system

of rotation in rice culture which shall furnish oppor-
tunity for all of the red rice to have been germinated
and killed before another crop is placed upon the
ground, and it is the great ease with which the Caro-
lina planters are able to control this difficulty, and
the greater cost of rotation necessitated by other

Fig. 101. Plan of rice irrigation, as practiced in South Carolina.

conditions, which gives them one of their great
advantages over other rice-growers, enabling them to
command the highest price in the markets of the
world.

The detailed method of handling water on a Caro-
lina rice plantation is represented in Fig. 101, where
eight of the many fields shown in Fig. 67 are
represented enlarged.

When the tide falls, the gates on the inner ends of the trunks automatically close and prevent the escape of the water during any desired period, while the dropping of the outer gates prevents the entrance of any more water until they are again raised. To drain the fields with an outgoing tide, it is only necessary to lift the inner gates and the work goes forward to completion without further attention, so that the handling of the water both ways is extremely simple, effective, and remarkably cheap.

The irrigation of rice on higher lands more nearly resembles the irrigation of meadows where flooding in checks is resorted to, except that here the checks are filled to a standard gauge with water, and then a slow stream is kept moving into and out of them as long as desired, the water usually entering at one corner and leaving at the diagonally opposite corner. The dividing ridges which form the checks have a height of about two feet, and the rice fields are kept under water until the heads are formed, when the water is drawn off and let on again at short intervals until the kernels are well formed, when the water is removed and the fields allowed to become dry and the grain mature, preparatory to harvesting.

ORCHARD IRRIGATION

In orchard irrigation, several methods of distributing water are practiced, but there is none followed so generally and with so good results as the furrow method, represented in Fig. 102, where the water is

Fig. 102. Ideal handling of water in orchard irrigation.

being led through an orange orchard in an ideal
manner, both as to number and size of furrows and
volume of water which each is permitted to carry.
The aim is to allow small streams to flow slowly
through the narrow furrows for a long time, until the
water has penetrated by percolation deeply beneath the
surface and at the same time has spread broadly by

Fig. 103. Orchard irrigation, with wooden flume in foreground.

capillarity sidewise under the surface mulch. In Fig.
103 is shown a wooden flume box, which brings the
water to the orchard, delivering it to the several
furrows through holes in the side which are $\frac{3}{4}$-inch
to 1 inch in diameter, and which are provided with
wooden buttons or metal slides for regulating the
amount of water admitted to each furrow.

The appearance of the furrows after the capillary
spread has been considerable is represented in Fig.

Fig. 104. Capillary spreading of water through soil from water furrows in peach orchard, Grand Junction, Colorado.

Fig. 105. Foot ditch for one orchard and head ditch for lower one.

104. When the stage of surface wetting shown by the dark margins of the furrows has been reached, the water has usually percolated to a depth of three

Fig. 106. Lower orchard taking water from foot ditch of Fig. 105.

or more feet, and has at the same time spread laterally so as to meet beneath the furrows.

Orchards are frequently arranged as represented in

Fig. 107.   Head ditch or cement flume for orange orchard,
Redlands, California.

Figs. 105 and 106, so that the surplus water from the
furrows in the upper one is collected in a foot ditch
shown in the center of Fig. 105, and redistributed in
a second set of furrows crossing a lower level, shown
in Fig. 106.   The water may be controlled by a simple
gate in a sluice-box, shown at 1, 1 in Figs. 105 and

106, which permits as much water to pass from the foot ditch into the lower furrows as is desired. This method of irrigation is always less economical of water than where the water admitted to each furrow

Fig. 108. Large young orchard on gravelly flood plain of
Santa Ana river, with cement flume.

is so nicely adjusted that there is no waste into a foot ditch. So, too, is there less waste land.

Still another method of utilizing the water which may waste at the foot of the orchard is to have there a strip of alfalfa, clover or grass to take this surplus with little or no attention or waste.

But where cement or wooden distributing flumes, such as are shown in Figs. 107 and 108, are used, it is usually quite easy to so completely control the discharge that no waste need occur, and in cases where water is scanty and expensive this method is adopted to great advantage.

Fig. 109.   Model of orchard irrigation by ring furrows.

When the trees of an orchard are young, it is quite unnecessary to irrigate the whole ground, and a common practice is to make a furrow around each tree, as represented in Fig. 109, allowing the water to flow along the single distributing furrow, sending it into the side rings for 12 or 24 hours until a cone of saturated soil is secured below each tree. As the

trees become older, the encircling furrows may be made larger, until finally it is better to lead the water along two single furrows on each side of the row, as shown in Figs. 104 and 106. With increasing spread of root, the number of furrows would be increased until a watering of the whole ground has become needful.

CULTIVATION AFTER IRRIGATION

A cardinal principle in orchard irrigation should ever be thorough, deep saturation, followed, as soon as the soil will permit, with thorough cultivation, frequently repeated. In Fig. 110 is represented an excellent mulch-producing tool for orchard work. It is drawn by three horses ; can be set to run at any depth ; makes a clean cut of the whole soil without bringing the moist portion to the surface, and is provided with a steering wheel, which permits the driver to easily throw one end of the long cutting blade quickly and accurately to one side and bring it close to the trunk of a tree without driving the team near enough to endanger either the trunk or limbs. As the blade of the tool is 8 feet long, the orchard may be covered quickly with it. Smaller sizes, with 5-foot blades, are also on the market in California.

Another form of orchard cultivator to which furrow plows may be attached is represented in Fig. 111. Ordinary forms of cultivators must necessarily tend more to invert the soil and bring the wet portions to the air, and thus be less economical of moisture. They

Fig. 110. Three-horse orchard cultivator used at San José, California.

Fig. 111. Combined orchard cultivator and furrowing tool.

have, however, advantages over the other form for going over the ground the first time after irrigation, when it is important to break the moist soil into a crumbled condition.

Systems of flooding are also adopted in orchard irrigation, sometimes flooding the whole ground or small checks surrounding the trees, when these are young and the water scanty, but this method is far more wasteful of water and much more injurious to the texture of the soil, unless it is sandy. When following it, care must be taken to prevent water from coming against the trunks of the trees and standing there.

In humid climates, on lands where the soil will not wash badly, the methods of orchard cultivation practiced in the west would give far better results than leaving them so persistently in grass, as is the more common practice. The moisture of the soil should be saved for the trees as a rule, rather than used for any other crop after the trees become large.

SMALL-FRUIT IRRIGATION

In the irrigation of strawberries, raspberries, blackberries, and similar fruits, the furrow method will almost always be practiced, leading a slender stream along each side of the row and quite close to it.

Blackberry and raspberry roots penetrate to a sufficient depth to permit a thorough saturation of the soil and good cultivation before the berries are ready to pick, so that no irrigation will be required during

the picking. Strawberries, however, are so shallow-rooted that water enough cannot be placed within reach of the plants to make irrigation during the picking season unnecessary. It is, therefore, a common practice to lay out strawberry fields in such a way that the water may be led only between alternate matted rows in deep broad furrows, holding the water well up the sides so that it may better spread laterally under the plants. This practice, although not as economical of water as irrigating between every row, has the advantage of not seriously interfering with picking, there being always sufficiently firm ground upon which to walk.

GARDEN IRRIGATION

Garden vegetables are oftenest raised in beds and patches of such small dimensions, and on soils so light and open, that the irrigation of them is accomplished most readily by methods closely allied to those of flooding. A relatively large volume of water is quickly brought to the point needed and applied all at once, and without waiting for either percolation or capillary spreading to take place.

A method represented in Fig. 112 consists in laying the ground off into beds, and getting the seed planted, when the surface is overspread with a thin dressing of rather coarse litter or horse manure.

Water is turned into the head ditch, which is choked with a little soil or an irrigator's broad hoe set so as to turn the stream between the

beds, when the irrigator dams the current at his feet
with a gunny sack and with a long-handled basin
dextrously bales the water out as rapidly as it reaches
him, dashing it over
the littered surface
until, in his judgment,
water enough has been
applied. The dam is
then moved and a
second area irrigated,
the operation being
repeated until the
ends of the beds have

Fig. 112. Diagram of garden beds.

been reached, when the head ditch is opened and
closed in another place, turning the water in between
other beds.

When the water has had time to penetrate the
soil, when the surface is beyond danger of crusting,
and the delicate plants have begun to emerge from
the ground, the litter may be raked off. In this
manner a man was observed to irrigate an area 33
feet by 150 feet in one hour, using the water which
could flow through a short 3-inch pipe, filling it half
full, and Fig. 112 is a diagram of the beds, 15 feet
wide between the waterways.

Another type of irrigation is shown in Fig. 113,
where the garden is ridged and furrowed every 18
inches. Celery is planted on one side of each ridge
and lettuce on the other. When irrigation is required
the furrows, 6 inches deep, are flooded one at a time
from a stream led along their head, and these, when

Y

Fig. 113.   Furrow flooding in garden.

quickly filled, are supposed to hold sufficient water for one irrigation, enough to cover the whole ground 2.5 to 3 inches.   In Fig. 114 is represented a cross section of the rows.

In still other cases shallow basins are formed about each row of plants, as represented in Fig. 115, where cabbages have been set.   It will be noted that the basins are not only narrow but short, so that

Fig. 114.   Diagram of section of rows and furrows in Fig. 113.

each may be quickly filled, one after another, from a stream led along an alley between two sets.   As the plants become larger the ridges are gradually cut down to hill the plants, and thus form water furrows in their stead.   This is one

method, as practiced by the Italian gardeners, both in their native country and on the sandy lands at Ocean View, south of San Francisco.

In Fig. 116 is shown another cabbage field recently transplanted by the Chinese gardeners at San Bernardino, Cal. In this case the field is quickly and roughly-ridged and then the large plants hastily set low down in one side of the ridge. After irrigation, and when the water has settled away so as to permit working, a little soil from the ridge is pulled about the plants, as seen in the cut. In time the whole ridge has been pulled over, leaving the plants standing in the center of the crest.

The French about Paris throw their fields into broad double ridges, wide enough to carry two rows

Fig. 115. Basin flooding of cabbage in garden of sandy soil.

of vegetables 24 inches apart, and these are sepa-
rated by furrows a foot wide and 6 inches deep,
through which water is led for irrigation, and Fig.
117 is a plan of a section of the upper end of a cab-
bage field as laid out on the valley sands of the river
Seine, just outside the city walls.

Fig. 116.   Chinese method of irrigating cabbage,
San Bernardino, California.

Melons and cucumbers are planted upon still
broader beds, 6 to 8 feet wide, separated by water
furrows, as represented in Fig. 118, the hills being
planted near each margin of the bed and the vines
trained away from the furrows.

At Rocky Ford, Colorado, where melons are raised

on a large scale, fields are furrowed every 6 feet
with a double shovel plow. The seeds are planted
in the edge of the ridge away from the furrows, and
the soil watered through the furrow only, by lateral
capillary flow, great care being taken to avoid flood-
ing the surface. Cultivation follows each irrigation
after the plants are up until the vines become too
large, but watering must be kept up about once in
ten days until the crop is mature.

Fig. 117.   Diagram of cabbage irrigation at Gennevilliers, near Paris.

Another system of irrigating gardens is repre-
sented in Fig. 119, where the rows are hilled, leav-
ing shallow furrows between them, but arranged so
that a stream of water can be led across the ends
and turned into them one by one. The water is led
to the lower rows down the middle furrow, and with
a broad irrigating hoe, having a blade 12 inches

[Fig. 118.   Irrigation of melons and cucumbers by Chinese at San Bernardino.

long and 10 inches deep, the soil at 1 is quickly turned over to 2, to form a dam in the stream, thus allowing the water to flow between the two lower ,rows until that furrow has been filled to a sufficient height. The soil from 3 is then turned over to 1, thus closing 1 and allowing the water to enter 3. When 3 is full the soil from 4 is brought back to 5, which turns the stream in there. When 4 has received enough, the water is turned into 6 by moving the soil from there to 4. In this manner the irrigator advances from row to row until both sides of the whole bed have been watered.

In other cases, small or large areas of garden plants are enclosed in small, shallow basins by throw-

ing up minute dyke-like ridges not more than 6 inches wide and 4 high. These basins may be arranged in a single or double chain, and the water led down one side or between them. In this case, again, the watering would usually begin at the lower end, and with the hoe a section of the border of a basin would be drawn out to act as a dam across the stream, as shown in Fig. 120. The soil from 1

Fig. 119. Plan of furrow garden flooding by successive rows.

and 2 would be drawn around to 3, thus turning the water into both beds. When these were watered, the soil from 4 and 5 would be drawn around to 6, and the next two beds irrigated. In this manner the gardener advances rapidly from bed to bed with but little trouble and labor.

THE IRRIGATION OF LAWNS AND PARKS

It should ever be kept in mind, where shrubbery, trees and grass are grown together, as is so com-

monly the practice in humid climates, that two crops are being grown at the same time upon the land, and that under these conditions more water is demanded. The roots of shrubs and trees are more deeply placed in the subsoil than are most of those which feed the lawn grass, and hence all rains too light to over-saturate the surface 6 inches are practically secured by the grass, and since to maintain a good lawn

Fig. 120. Plan of basin flooding in garden irrigation.

requires more water than ordinarily falls as rain, even in quite humid climates, it follows that in all public parks, cemeteries and ornamental grounds about homes, there should be provided an abundant supply of water for thorough irrigation.

In watering lawns and parks, so much water is demanded that it ought usually to be applied by some flooding system rather than by spraying, as

is so commonly the practice. The truth of this statement will be readily appreciated when it is observed that in order to saturate good lawns sufficiently to force any water down where it will become available to the roots of trees and shrubbery, the ground must receive not less than 2 to 3 inches in depth of water. But to apply this amount with spraying nozzles is impracticable.

If public parks and cemeteries were more generally laid out with a view to thorough irrigation as a part of their proper care all through the central and eastern United States, not only would the growth of shrubbery and trees be far more luxuriant and satisfactory, but dry seasons would not destroy the many beautiful trees which so often succumb to drought just in their prime.

Wherever a good well can be had with abundance of water and a lift not to exceed 50 feet, a lawn of half an acre, with its shrubbery, together with a vegetable garden or fruit orchard of several acres, may easily be irrigated with a plant not costing more than $300 to $500. Such a plant is represented in Figs. 121 and 122. This, including well-house, $2\frac{1}{2}$ horse-power gasoline engine and double-acting pump, having a capacity of 80 gallons per minute, with over 1,000 feet of 2-inch distributing pipe and hose, cost, when put in place ready for work, $440.

In the portion of this plant shown in Fig. 122, part of the 2-inch iron distributing pipe for the lawn and garden, as represented at B, C and D,

are tapped every 3 feet for short half-inch nipples
with caps.   With this arrangement it is easy to
take out water at any desired place, pressure being

Fig. 121.   Small gasoline pumping plant for garden and lawn irrigation.

maintained in the whole system of pipes when the
pump is at work. The pipes for watering the lawn
are sunk just flush with the sod, and the nipples
rise obliquely upward so short a distance as not to
interfere with the lawn mower.   The arrows show
both the slope of the lawn and the way the water
is distributed. By opening only 7 to 10 nipples at
a time, a large volume of water is secured, which
spreads readily over the surface.   In the garden irri-
gation, 15 or 20 rows may be watered at once, and if

a particular stream is a little too strong, this may be regulated by thrusting a bit of stick into the nipple. For watering beds about the house, four of

Fig. 122. Plan of lawn and garden irrigation.

the nipples are made for attaching a garden hose, which may also be used to wash windows or a carriage. Altogether, this arrangement is very simple and satisfactory for a suburban or country home,

and would answer admirably for a small market-
garden, where vegetables and fruits are raised.

SUB-IRRIGATION

This method of applying water consists in plac-
ing lines of tile or perforated pipe varying dis-
tances below the surface of the soil, and distributing
water through these instead of in furrows or by
methods of flooding. This system of irrigation
quickly suggests itself to most thoughtful men when
they first begin to handle water for irrigation, on
account of the many difficulties and inconveniences
which are associated with surface watering; but there
are several very fundamental objections to it which
have usually led to its abandonment sooner or later
in nearly every place where tried.

Were it not for the objections just referred to,
sub-irrigation would constitute an ideal method of
applying water, and would be universally practiced.
Could it be used, much of the expense of fitting
the surface would be avoided; the fields would be
almost wholly unobstructed; all of the ultimate dis-
tributaries would become permanent improvements;
the surface of the soil could not become puddled;
mulches developed would not be periodically destroyed,
and the duty of water would be vastly increased.
Indeed, so many things appear to be in favor of the
method that it is only with great reluctance that it is
abandoned.

The most insuperable difficulty with sub-irrigation

is that of applying sufficient water to thoroughly wet
the surface, and yet those who have not tried the
plan feel confident that there will be a great saving
in this direction; but the rate of capillary movement
of water in soil is relatively so slow, and percolation
so rapid in most cases, that it becomes nearly imper-
ative that water shall be placed upon the surface,
where it is most needed and is of greatest service.

It has been shown under furrow irrigation, where
the water is applied at the surface, that the streams
must usually be led as close as every four feet, to wet
the whole ground, and from this it follows that lines
of tile laid even closer than this would be required
in sub-irrigation. In Fig. 123 is shown the wetting
of the surface which occurred by distributing the
water through 3-inch tile placed 18 inches below the
surface, in which hydrostatic pressure was maintained
sufficient to cause the water to rise one or two inches
above the top of the ground. In this experiment
the tile were arranged as represented at D, Fig.
124, 10 feet apart, and it will be seen that only
about 3 feet in width above each line of tile has been
wet, and yet water enough has been applied to cover
the area more than 6 inches deep. Even at C, Fig.
124, where the tile are only 5 feet apart, it was
necessary to apply 19.68 inches of water in depth to
completely wet the surface, but in this case the sub-
soil was more open than it was at D. It is plain,
therefore, that in order to thoroughly wet the sur-
face of the ground by sub-irrigation, much more
water will be required than by furrow irrigation,

unless the tile are as close as 4 feet apart and very near the surface.

The second great obstacle in applying sub-irrigation is the expense required to purchase and place the necessary lines of tile. In watering strawberries,

Fig. 123.   Difficulty of wetting surface soil by sub-irrigation.

blackberries, raspberries, and other small fruits, one line of tile would be required under each row. For orchard irrigation, two lines of tile would be needed, one on each side of the row when the trees are small, and the number would have to be increased as the trees reached maturity, until there was at least one every 5 feet. For general field crops, the number of

tile could scarcely be less than one line every 5 feet, and it would be necessary to place them at least far enough below the surface not to be disturbed in working the soil in crop rotation.

Fig. 124. Plan of fields for sub-irrigation experiments.

At one cent per foot for 3-inch drain tile, the cost for pipe alone would be $87.12 per acre where the lines are laid 5 feet apart. In addition to this expense, there would be the cost of transportation, breakage, and laying of tile connecting with the head

ditch, and maintenance, which, in the aggregate, could not be less than $12.88 per acre when done on a large scale and under the most favorable conditions. or a total cost of $100 per acre, at the very best figure which could be hoped for.

Only in those cases where tile could be placed barely below the surface could there be as high a duty of water as with furrow irrigation, and hence, where water is high and labor cheap, the cost of water would decide against sub-irrigation.

Where a field has been underdrained, as represented in Fig. 124, in the lower lefthand corner, it is easy to introduce the irrigation water at the upper end of the main, as shown at F, and allow it to set back through the laterals. By forcing the water in the main to rise to the surface of the ground at G, H and A before passing on to lower levels, the water in all the tile would be placed under pressure which would force it to the top of the ground without waiting for capillarity to bring it there. In this manner if the field were underlaid by sand at the level of the tile, the whole area may be quickly watered, provided the main has capacity sufficient to deliver the water to all the laterals as rapidly as percolation can take place from them. With the outlet of the tile at E closed and water admitted to the main at both F and A, the 7,022 feet of tile took water at the rate of 48 cubic feet per minute under the 5 acres, or at the rate of 5 gallons per 100 running feet of tile where these were placed in sand 33 feet apart. During the irrigation, water was brought

to the surface along most of the lines of tile, as
represented by the dotted area below A. To do this
work, 5.8 inches of water on the level were required,
but it is quite certain that half this amount applied
at the surface in the proper manner would have ren-
dered as much service. The time required to apply
the water at the surface would have been about the
same, but an extra man would have been needed to
distribute it, and the furrows would have to be made,
so that there is this labor to be offset by the cost
of the extra amount of water required for the sub-
irrigation.

But it must be kept in mind that had the field
not been underlaid by sand and the ground water
surface near the level of the tile, and had the pressure
not been held up so as to force the water to rise to
the surface, these results could not have been attained
with tile placed as far apart as 33 feet. The applica-
tion of sub-irrigation to tile-drained areas cannot,
therefore, be regarded as the best method of watering
in any but special cases.

It is quite probable that were this system of
irrigation to be applied to water-meadows to avoid
surface ditches, or even to orchards and small fruits,
there might be experienced difficulties arising from
the tile becoming clogged, either from sediments
moved by the water or by the growth of roots into
the lines of tile.

When the difficulties which have been pointed out
as standing in the way of sub-irrigation are con-
sidered, and when it is recalled that nitrification in

z

most soils can take place only near the surface, when roots are better aërated there, and when here alone can germination occur, it seems plain that there can be little reason to hope much from this method of applying water.

CHAPTER XI

THE methods of distributing water in sewage irri-
gation are essentially the same as those already de-
scribed. The topography of the field to be watered
and the character of the soil or of the crop, will
determine which method shall be employed. It re-
mains here to state, from the agricultural side of the
subject, under what conditions sewage irrigation may
be practiced to advantage and what crops are best
suited to utilize the water.

OBJECTS SOUGHT IN SEWAGE IRRIGATION

There are two main objects sought in the use of sewage
in irrigation. The first and primary one is to oxidize and
render innocuous the organic matter which it contains. The
secondary object is to utilize this organic matter, together with
the water and other fertilizers which it may contain, in the
production of crops. Reference has already been made to this
point in connection with the Craigentinny Meadows, where a
poor soil has been made to yield a gross income of $75 to
more than $100 per acre per annum for nearly a century.

The oxidation and denitrification of the organic matter borne
in the sewage water must be accomplished largely, if not wholly,
through the agency of fermenting germs, and this being true,
it is imperative that the methods of treatment shall be favor-
able to the activity of these forms of life.

(403)

CLIMATIC CONDITIONS FAVORABLE TO SEWAGE
IRRIGATION

Since the fermentive processes which convert organic matter either into nitric acid, which is the nitrogen supply for most cultivated crops, or into free nitrogen gas can take place rapidly only under temperatures above 50° F., it follows that sewage irrigation is best suited to warm climates, where crops may be grown the year round, and where the fermentive processes will be least checked by frosts. In tropical and semi-tropical climates, therefore, sewage disposal by surface irrigation may best be practiced when other needful conditions are also favorable.

In cold climates, like those of the northern United States and Canada, where the ground is frozen during five months or more of each year, it is plain that only about one-half of the sewage water can be used in crop production, and that during only about one-half of the year can there be much oxidation and denitrification of organic matter. Under these conditions, therefore, if water is applied to land one-half of it must be filtered by the soil without the concurrent purification which results from fermentation, and this being true, there can be only so much of purification as naturally results from the physical filtration and such chemical fixation as the soil may be capable of accomplishing.

It is true that the purification of sewage resulting from filtration through soil is very considerable, so that if isolated lands of sufficient area are selected for this purpose, the organic impurities reaching the ground water will be greatly reduced. It is also true that in cold climates fields to which no sewage has been applied during the warm season may be reserved specially for the reception of it during the winter. These soils would, therefore, be comparatively dry and capable of receiving 6 to 12 inches of water and of retaining it by capillarity until warm weather could subject it to organic purification, and when crops could also be made to utilize the nitrates developed and other fertilizers brought by the water.

To handle the sewage in this manner, it would be needful to bring it to the fields in underground conduits, and to have the lands laid out for flooding in checks of suitable size, surrounded by barriers of the desired height, but the great difficulty to be met is the amount of land needful for such a system. Allowing 50 gallons of sewage per day per person, a city of 30,000 would require 828 acres to receive the sewage during 180 days if each check were to be flooded to a depth of 12 inches.

THE PROCESS OF SEWAGE PURIFICATION BY IRRIGATION OR INTERMITTENT FILTRATION

The extremely careful and extended investigations conducted by the State Board of Health at Lawrence, Mass., begun in 1888 and still in progress, have shown that the purifying of sewage as it passes slowly over the surface of sand grains freely exposed to contained air, is the result of bacterial growth, and that when these germs are not present the sewage comes through the filter as impure as it went in so far as its dangerous nitrogen compounds are concerned. But if it is allowed to pass through slowly enough in the presence of an abundance of air, the water emerges with so nearly all the nitrogen compounds converted into nitrates that it is as free from them as the purest spring water.

The essential condition is that an inch or two of water shall be spread out over the surface of the soil grains in enough of the upper soil, where free oxygen may gain access to the colonies of niter-forming germs which multiply there and feed upon the organic nitrogen in the water, if only there is an abundance of free oxygen to meet their other needs. When a new quantity of water is added to the soil, the purified layer is swept downward by the new supply, which at the same time drags in after it a fresh supply of air, and thus the work goes on.

If the sewage water is added too rapidly, before the germs

have completely used up the organic nitrogen, then it will be
only partly purified ; or if the flow over the field is made con-
tinuous, then the supply of oxygen in the soil becomes so
small that the germs are unable to carry forward the work,
and organic nitrogen passes through largely unchanged and
liable to become the food in drinking water of other but
dangerous forms.

SOILS BEST SUITED TO SEWAGE IRRIGATION

In humid climates, where the rainfall is both frequent
and abundant, the lighter loams and sandy soils are best
suited to this type of irrigation, because upon them there is less
danger of water-logging. It should be understood, however,
that from the agricultural standpoint sewage may be applied
to any soil, provided it is not used in too large quantities or too
continuously ; but as the sandy soils are usually more in need
of artificial fertilization, and at the same time likely to be
deficient in water, they are preëminently suited to this use, and
will usually be chosen by city authorities when they are avail-
able, but simply because a smaller number of acres will answer
the purpose and the cost of the plant be less.

The agricultural value of sewage when properly applied to
land has been so thoroughly demonstrated under so many condi-
tions of soil and climate that there can no longer be any doubt as
to the desirability of its use if the expense of getting it to the
land were eliminated, and it would appear that lands enough in
the vicinity of most cities could profitably receive and use the
sewage if only it were led to them.

DESIRABILITY OF WIDER AGRICULTURAL USE OF
SEWAGE IN IRRIGATION

In countries like Italy, where there are extensive canal
systems largely used for irrigation, it would appear that sewage
disposal by irrigation should become the general practice, pro-

vided the canals are carrying constantly a sufficient volume of water to make the needful dilution. The disposal of the sewage of the city of Milan in this manner has already been referred to as extremely satisfactory from the agricultural point of view.

In speaking of the opportunities for and the desirability of improving sandy lands in various parts of the eastern United States and in the South by silting, it was pointed out that many

Fig. 125. Instruction of practical gardeners in garden irrigation.

hundreds of square miles of now nearly worthless lands could be reclaimed by methods of irrigation, and wherever this shall be undertaken the disposal of the sewage of the same sections through the canal waters could not fail to be of great advantage to the lands when applied either in winter or in summer.

Outside the walls of the city of Paris, on the once nearly worthless gravelly sands of the Seine, is located a garden whose sign is represented in Fig. 125, where, in the midst of a district

devoted to sewage irrigation, an effort is being made to teach in
a concrete way how thoroughly purified sewage water may be
made by irrigation, and what luxuriant growths may spring from
nearly sterile sands.   Fig. 126 is a view within the garden,
where grapes are growing on the left, with dwarf pears and
apples on the right, while in the center is a trench of water
cress grown for market in filtered sewage, the trench being at
the foot of one of the drainage lines leading the filtered water

Fig. 126.   Sewage irrigation, model garden, Paris.

to the Seine.   So clear was this water that it had the sparkling
brilliancy of that from the purest springs, and outside the
garden women and children came with their buckets and filled
them for use at home.   Inside, the superintendent keeps a glass,
and insists that every visitor shall taste and convince himself
how sweet and pure the water is.   Here and further out, at
Gennevilliers, the lands are laid out and divided much like
village lots, where homes, with their vegetable, fruit and flower

gardens, are being established, and sewage water was handled there in 1895 by small gardeners with great skill and profit. The lands are held at $1,000 per acre, and rent at a high price.

The sewage for irrigation is carried beneath the surface in closed pipes, which are provided with a system of hydrants for taking out the water where needed, and Fig. 127 shows one of these, while Fig. 128 is taken at the same place, standing at the hydrant and looking down the open ditch leading the water to gardens and orchards, where it is to be used. Flowers, garden vegetables and fruits were growing upon these grounds in great luxuriance for the city markets. If such results as these can be secured in France, why should not the philanthropic zeal of Greater New York join with the capital of that city and lead a portion of the water of the higher lands, together with the sewage of the inland towns and cities, which is now polluting the streams, down upon the flat New Jersey sands and convert them into gardens of industry and plenty, where the unfortunate mothers, with their children now in the dark streets, could be helped to comfortable homes surrounded by conditions which make physical, intellectual and moral growth possible.

CROPS SUITED TO SEWAGE IRRIGATION

There is no crop more generally grown on sewage farms than grass, which is fed green, as cited in the cities of Leith and Edinburgh and at Milan ; as silage, as has been done at Croyden and Nottingham, or made into hay, as at Preston. At Blackburn and at Croyden, also, the lands are extensively pastured, at the latter place by coach and draft horses of the city for a season, to allow their feet to recover from the jar and shock of stone pavements.

In England and in Italy very heavy crops of grass are grown, yielding all the way from 40 to 70 tons per acre per season. The grass most extensively grown in Europe is the Italian Rye Grass, but it is not permanent, and the land must be plowed and reseeded every three or four years if heavy

Fig. 127. Sewage hydrant at Gennevilliers.

Fig. 128. Stone distributing canal leading from hydrant in Fig. 127.

yields are desired. On the Craigentinny Meadows, most of the grasses are the native forms, which soon crowd out the Rye Grass if it is not reseeded.

Both oats and wheat are extensively grown on sewage land, but in these cases the land is usually only irrigated during the winter. Potatoes, turnips and mangels, as well as cabbage and cauliflower, are also grown.

At Croyden and Preston, potatoes are grown on a large scale on winter irrigated land and the crop sold at auction when mature at $60 to $75 per acre, the purchaser digging the potatoes. Fig. 129 shows a crop of early potatoes grown at Croyden which sold in July for £15 per acre, and Fig. 130 is a view of the cement ditch in which the water is brought to the fields from the city. When summer irrigation of potatoes is practiced at Croyden, the superintendent stated that he preferred to use the water only after it had drained from another field. He also stated that he thought the sewage water tended to intensify the scab.

At Nottingham, where much wheat is raised, this is grown on winter irrigated land, but cabbage, turnips and mangels are irrigated in the summer as well as winter. The cabbages raised here are the large stock varieties, planted in rows 4 feet apart with the plants 3 feet apart in the row, and enormous yields are secured of the vegetables named and fed to a herd of from 800 to 1,000 cows.

At Gennevilliers, nearly all varieties of garden truck were being raised with great success, and there were orchards of pears, prunes and apples, and vineyards of grapes, heavily loaded with fruit in August of 1895. So, too, at Berlin, mangels, turnips, celery, onions, parsnips, beans, cabbage and cauliflower were raised on their sewage farms.

While the general practice in Europe seems to be to favor summer irrigation of grass, and winter irrigation for small grains and cultivated crops generally, it appears clear that there are few if any crops to which sewage may not be applied with great advantage if only rational practice is followed.

It will be readily understood that where fertilization is the

Fig. 129.   Harvesting early potatoes on Croyden sewage farm, England.

Fig. 130.   Cement canal at sewage farm, Croyden, England.

main object, together with the disposal of the sewage, lands may be irrigated at once after the removal of a crop, such as wheat or any of the small grains, so that there may be ample latitude for distributing the water at almost any season of the year.

In climates where the winters are severe, it is necessary to apply the sewage to land not in grass or other perennial crop, as the freezing of thick coats of ice over the meadows is quite certain to greatly injure if not kill the grass. Another point which the agriculturist should keep in mind and guard against, is the application of sewage to crops in too concentrated a form, and especially should it be so much diluted or strained that the sludge will not collect upon the surface in sufficient quantity to close up the pores of the soil and interfere with proper aëration.

INFLUENCE OF SEWAGE IRRIGATION UPON THE HEALTH

Reference has been made to experiments and observations which show that the feeding of grass from sewage farms to milch cows produces no injurious effects upon the milk itself. The late Colonel Waring states that the health of the people living upon the sewage lands at Gennevilliers is generally excellent, and that "even in 1882, when there was a cruel epidemic of typhoid fever in Paris, there was none here." He further says : " If there is still room for doubt on any point, it is as to the character of the few bacteria which escape the action of the process employed, and are found in the effluent. It is not known that disease germs exist among these, and it is altogether probable that they do not. So far as these organisms are understood, it is thought that they cannot withstand the destructive activity of the oxidizing and nitrifying organisms which are always present, and it is believed that only these hardier organisms exist in the effluent of land-purification works. Certain it is that no instance has been reported where contagion was carried by such effluents, and experience at Genne-

villiers has shown that typhoid fever and cholera, when rife in
Paris, were completely arrested at the irrigation fields."

" In the Massachusetts table of comparison of the purified
effluent of seven sewage filters and the waters of seven wells
used for drinking by many persons, it is shown that there
were three and one-half times a⸱ many bacteria in the well
waters as in the effluents "

PART II

FARM DRAINAGE

CHAPTER XII

PRINCIPLES OF DRAINAGE

IT has been pointed out that if all of the irri-
gated lands of the world were brought together in
a solid body, they would scarcely aggregate more than
an area 500 miles on a side, or 250,000 square miles.
But Professor Shaler estimates that in the United States
alone, east of the 100th meridian, there are more
than 100,000 square miles of swamp lands. Some of
these have been reclaimed by drainage, and the great
majority of them could be, if the expense of the
reclamation would be warranted by the returns which
would follow. In the Canadas, in Europe, and in
other portions of the world, also, there are vast areas
of land, when measured in the aggregate, which
must be drained before they can become agricul-
turally productive. Hence the principles of land drain-
age, like those of irrigation, must be clearly under-
stood by those who are concerning themselves with

the great world problems of better homes and all which these mean.

Further than this, on account of the fact that a large majority of swamp lands and lands which may be improved by drainage are not massed together, but are scattered broadly in small tracts, so related to the higher and better-drained lands that these must often be improved in order to work the others to the best advantage, the principles of farm drainage become a matter of great importance to a large proportion of the rural population, and through good roads to the people of cities as well.

THE NECESSITY FOR DRAINAGE

The first and most fundamental necessity for land drainage, as has been pointed out in discussing alkali soils, is the removal of the more soluble salts formed by the decay of rock and organic matter, because too strong a solution of salts in the soil water is fatal to the growth of vegetation, and gives rise to the alkali lands. So long as there is sufficient leaching to hold the soluble salts down to small percentages, so that neither plasmolytic nor toxic effects result, then the first imperative demand for thorough drainage in all soils is met.

The second imperative demand for drainage is to prevent a stagnation of the soil water, which means, to avoid the exhaustion of oxygen from the air in the soil water and in the spaces not occupied by water, because an abundance of free oxygen in the

soil is a fundamental necessity to plant life, and thorough drainage secures this.

The third demand for drainage is to render the soil sufficiently firm and solid to permit the field or road to be moved over without difficulty or inconvenience. If the spaces between the soil grains are completely filled with water, then there is no surface tension, and so only a slight friction to bind the grains together, and hence they move so easily upon one another as to be unable to sustain much weight, and the horse or wagon mires.

Everyone is familiar with the hard surface possessed by wet beach sand, from which the water has just withdrawn, and how yielding it is when under water and also when it becomes dry. In the first case, the sand grains are bound together by the thin films of water which surround them ; in the second case, there is no free water surface between the grains, and the sand tends simply to float and so moves easily ; while in the third case, when the sand is dry, the binding water films have either drained away or have been lost by evaporation, hence there is nothing to hold the grains together.

The hard, firm character of a clay soil when it loses its moisture is due to the fact that the grains are so small and so close together that the little material which is held in solution in the soil water cements them together when dry. Were the grains large like those of the sands, with few of the fine particles between them, the contact areas would be so few and so small that little binding could result.

▲▲

It must ever be kept in mind that an abundance of free oxygen in the soil is as indispensable to the life of the plant as it is to that of an animal. The germinating seeds must have it, or they rot in the soil ; the roots of plants must have it to enable them to do their work ; and the vast army of soil bacteria, which change the nitrogen of decaying organic matter into nitric acid, which is the chief nitrogen supply for most higher plants, must have it or they cannot thrive. Again, those very important germs which live on the roots of clover and other allied plants, and which are the chief source of the organic nitrogen of the world, must have an ample supply of both free oxygen and free nitrogen in the soil, or they are unable to accomplish their task.

Again, there lives in all fertile soils a class of germs which have the power of breaking down nitrates, or even organic matter, to supply themselves with oxygen whenever the conditions are such that the soil does not contain enough to meet their needs. But when these germs are forced to do this, as happens in a water-logged or poorly drained soil, the nitrogen of the soil nitrates and of organic matter is liberated in the form of free nitrogen gas, and hence the soil may thus be depleted of this most expensive ingredient of plant-food wherever proper drainage does not exist.

Finally, many purely chemical changes taking

place in the soil, which are essential to its fertility, demand both free oxygen and carbon dioxide, so that here is another need for good drainage, in order that air may enter the ground in abundance.

HOW DRAINAGE VENTILATES THE SOIL

Where standing water would be found in holes sunk 18 to 24 inches below the surface, capillarity would hold the pores of a fine soil so nearly full of water to the top of the ground that there would be little room left for air to enter; but when the ground water is permanently lowered three or four feet, as is done by underdraining, the roots of plants penetrate the soil more deeply, and, as they die and decay, leave passageways leading to the surface, into and out of which the air readily moves. Earthworms, ants, and other burrowing animals penetrate the ground more deeply, and open other ventilating flues of much larger magnitude than those left by the roots of plants, and so greatly increase soil ventilation as a result of drainage.

Then, again, when the deeper clays dry out, as they will after underdrainage, shrinkage checks form in them in great numbers, opening tiny fissures through which the air moves more freely with every change of temperature and pressure of the atmosphere above. With the deeper and more thorough penetration of soil-air, carrying with it the carbonic acid developed near the surface, there begins, through the agency of the soil water, a solution of

the lime which in its turn tends to force the fine clay particles into larger compound clusters, thus rendering the soil more open, and hence better drained, better ventilated, and at the same time better and more thoroughly occupied by the roots of plants.

But all of these changes, which result directly from lowering the ground-water surface, are only means which make underdrainage more effective in ventilating the soil. In an underdrained field, where lines of tile are laid 3 to 4 feet deep and 50 to 100 feet apart, there is provided a very effective system of soil ventilation as well as of drainage; for with every fall of the barometer and rise of soil temperature, some of the deeper soil-air expands and drains away through the lines of tile. Then, when the barometer rises again, or when the soil temperature falls, a volume of air equal to that which left the soil under the other conditions now enters it again, not only through the surface of the ground, but also through the tile drains. It is thus seen that a deep, well-laid system of tile drains permits the free oxygen of the air to reach the roots of plants both from above and below. Under these conditions, the roots of crops are better supplied with oxygen; nitrates develop faster and deeper in the soil; there is less occasion for denitrification to set in, and so larger yields result.

When deep underdrainage has permitted the roots of plants to penetrate the soil from 3 to 4 feet and there withdraw moisture, this action on their part becomes a means for drawing air into the ground,

both from the surface and through the tile drains, because the removal of the soil water by the roots leaves an open space, which must be filled with air so far as capillarity fails to do it with water, and hence deep root feeding means deep soil ventilation.

Then, again, when heavy rains fall which move downward through the soil, they displace both the air and the water previously there, crowding them forward into the drains, and then draw in after them a fresh supply from above. But only on well-drained soils is this action marked and helpful.

A word should be said here regarding the value of clover and alfalfa as soil ventilators, for by their thicker, stronger roots they set the soil aside more than most other cultivated crops do, and when these roots decay the soil is left better aërated and better drained. Further than this, the roots of these leguminous plants remove from the soil both free oxygen and free nitrogen, and in so far as they do this without returning an equal volume of another gas, their action tends to develop a vacuum which must be filled by bringing in a fresh supply from without.

TOO THOROUGH AËRATION OF THE SOIL

There may be too strong and rapid changes of soil-air, just as there may be too rapid and complete drainage. If the air enters a rich, damp soil too rapidly, there is so strong a development of nitrates that the humus and other organic nitrogen are quickly changed into the soluble forms, and rapidly leach

away. It is in this manner that coarse, sandy soils are impoverished, and their lack of productiveness is often due quite as much to too thorough ventilation as to too complete drainage; and in handling these soils the utmost care should be exercised to keep the content of humus high, the moisture plenty, and the winds from drifting away the finest dust particles, because all of these tend to close up the pores, giving the soil a texture which diminishes the amount of ventilation.

DRAINAGE INCREASES THE AVAILABLE SUPPLY OF
SOIL MOISTURE FOR CROPS

When soils are poorly drained during spring and early summer, the root system of the various crops is forced to develop near the surface, and if this is the case until the demands for moisture become large, the soil in which the roots are confined becomes very dry, because capillarity brings the water up from below too slowly to meet the demand.

It is a familiar fact that a damp cloth is much better to remove water from the floor than a dry one, and the same is true of soils; water rises by capillarity in them when quite moist much faster than when they become dry, and so it is a matter of the greatest moment to keep the surface soil, beneath the mulch, as damp as the best conditions for growth will permit. When the deeper soil in the spring and early summer is well drained, and the roots of the crop penetrate it, they not only find themselves closer

to the ground water supply, but not so many roots are forced to take the moisture near the surface, and hence for this reason capillarity is better able to hold the water content up to the saturation needed.

With the soil near the surface moist, where nitrates are mostly formed, a better supply of these is kept up, while at the same time there is moisture enough to hold them in solution and to enable the roots to obtain them. When other roots are deeper in the ground, these may chiefly draw water to meet the necessary evaporation which goes on in the leaves, and thus reserve the surface moisture for developing plant-food and giving it to the plant. In this way it happens that crops suffer less in times of drought on well-drained, heavy soils than they do on the same soils not drained.

SOIL MADE WARMER BY DRAINAGE

There is no cause so effective in maintaining a low temperature of the soil in the spring as the water which it contains, and which may be evaporating from its surface. One reason for this influence is found in the fact that more heat is required to change the temperature of a pound of water one degree than the same weight of almost any other substance. Thus, while 100 units of heat must be used to warm 100 pounds of water from 32° F. to 33° F., only 19.09 units are required to raise the temperature of the same weight of dry sand, and 22.43 units an equal weight of pure clay through the same range of

temperature. Stated in another way, the amount of sunshine which will warm a given weight of water 10° F. will raise the temperature of an equal weight of dry sand 52.38° F., clay 44.58° and humus 22.6°. It is plain, therefore, that very wet soils must warm in the sun more slowly because the water which they contain tends to hold the temperature down.

The chief cause, however, which makes a wet, undrained soil colder than the better drained one, is the cooling effect which results from the more rapid evaporation of water from the wetter soil surface. When the bulb of one of two similar thermometers is covered with .a jacket of muslin moistened with pure water, and the two are swung side by side in a dry air, it will often be observed that the bulb bearing the moist cloth will have its temperature lowered as much as 20° F. by the cooling effect of evaporating water. So, too, when water evaporates from any surface, no matter what, its temperature is lowered in proportion to the rate at which evaporation is taking place. The teakettle boiling over the hot fire has its temperature constantly held down to 212° by the rapid evaporation of water, although the heat of the fire playing upon it is very many degrees hotter.

It is the same way with a wet soil through which water is continually brought to the surface as rapidly as it can be evaporated in the heat of the sunshine. The loss of the water in this way necessarily holds the temperature down, and the lower the more rapidly the evaporation takes place. The following table*

*The Soil, p 227.

shows the observed difference in temperature of a drained and an undrained soil :

Date	Time	Condition of weather	Temp. of air	Temperature of drained soil	of undrained soil	Difference
April 24	3.30 to 4 p. m.	Cloudy, with brisk east wind	60.5° F.	66.5°	54.00°	12.50°
April 25	3 to 3.30 p. m.	Cloudy, with brisk east wind	64.0° F.	70.0°	58.00°	12.00°
April 26	1.30 to 2 p. m.	Cloudy, rain all the forenoon	45.0° F.	50.0°	44.00°	6.00°
April 27	1.30 to 2 p. m.	Cloudy and sunshine, wind S. W. brisk	53.0° F.	55.0°	50.75°	4.25°
April 28	7 to 8.30 a. m.	Cloudy and sunshine, wind N. W. brisk	45.0° F.	47.0°	44.50°	2.50°

The difference in the rate of evaporation from clayey soil and · sandy soil, when both are well drained, will often be enough to leave the clay soil 7° F. colder in the surface foot and 5° colder in the second and third feet below the surface.

IMPORTANCE OF SOIL WARMTH

Ebermayer concluded from his observations that relatively little growth can take place with most cultivated crops until after the soil temperature has been carried above 45° to 48° F., and the maximum results are reached only after a temperature of 68° to 70° has been attained.

Sachs showed that both pumpkin and tobacco plants wilted, even at night and with an abundance of moisture in the soil, when its temperature fell much below 55° F., the osmotic pressure being then too feeble to maintain a sufficient movement of soil moisture to keep the plant cells turgid. Phenomena

similar to this are often observed early in the spring, when leaves are just unfolding. A strong drying wind on a cool day, with the soil also cold, withers the leaves much as if they had been frosted.

The germination of seed is very much influenced by the temperature of the soil, maize requiring 16 days to appear above the ground when the soil temperature is 60° F., or below, when if the warmth is 72° or above, 3 days or less will do the same work, besides giving much stronger plants. These effects

Fig. 131.   Influence of soil temperature on the rate of germination of maize.

of soil temperature are clearly demonstrated in Fig. 131. Indeed, it will often happen that when seed of rather low vitality is planted in a soil a little too cold, germination will not take place at all, or if it

does, the plants are so much enfeebled that only a slow growth results afterward.

In the early part of the season, when ground is being fitted for seeding, it should ever be kept in mind that one of the chief objects of the early and thorough tillage is to develop an abundance of nitrates in the soil for the use of the crop. But this is done by making the soil warmer, and by introducing an abundance of air into it when there is a good supply of moisture associated ·with the humus upon which the niter germs feed. These germs cease to develop niter from humus when the soil temperature drops to 41° F. ; the action is only barely appreciable at 54° F., and it reaches its maximum rate only at a temperature of 98° F.

Now, the early, deep stirring of the soil in the spring prevents the moisture from coming up from below, and so lessens the rate of evaporation ; this allows the soil to become warmer. Besides the heat is not conducted as rapidly downward when the soil is loose ; this makes the stirred, well ventilated portion warmer also, so that for the germination of the seed and for the development of plant-food, deep early tillage is very important. It is plain, also, that the well-drained field not only can be tilled earlier and deeper, but will also have the soil warmer and richer, for the reasons just stated.

For the same reason that sugar dissolves faster in warm than in cold water, so the ash ingredients of plant-food are dissolved faster, and stronger solutions of them are formed in the warm than in the cold

soils, and hence land drainage may be beneficial to crop growth in this manner.

CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH LAND DRAINAGE
BECOMES DESIRABLE

It must be kept ever in mind that all lands, of whatever kind, require draining, but it is extremely fortunate that for most lands this is done by the natural methods of percolation and underflow of ground water.

The cases in which it becomes desirable to supplement the methods of natural drainage fall into five classes : first, those comparatively flat lands or basins upon which the surface waters from surrounding higher land frequently collect ; second, areas bordering higher lands, whose structure is such as to permit the underflow of the ground water from the adjacent regions to rise from beneath, thus keeping the soil too wet ; third, lands regularly inundated by the rise of the tides, or which would be if not shut off by dykes ; fourth, those extremely flat lands which are underlaid by considerable thicknesses of close, heavy beds of clay, through which water does not readily percolate, and which lie very close to the surface, so that the clays become the subsoil of the fields, and fifth, lands like rice-fields, water-meadows and cranberry marshes, to which water is applied by irrigation in excessive quantities. It may also be found desirable on some irrigated lands to introduce drainage to remove injurious salts, as described under alkalies.

THE ORIGIN OF GROUND WATER AND ITS
RELATION TO THE SURFACE

To understand the laws governing the flow of water into tile drains and ditches, it is necessary to know how the flow into streams and lakes takes place, and how the surface of the water in the ground is related to that in the streams and lakes into which it is continually draining.

The rains which fall upon the surface tend, first of all, to sink vertically downward until they reach the level at which the pores in the soil or rock are completely filled with water. There are no soils and very few rocks through which there can be absolutely no flow, but the downward percolation is very much slower in some than it is in others. This being true, everywhere beneath the land surface a place may be reached where the pores are filled with water, and the level at which this occurs is called the ground-water surface.

This ground-water surface is seldom horizontal, but usually rises and falls much as does that of the ground above it, but with gradients less steep. In Fig. 132 is represented a section of land adjoining a lake, where the differences in level of the surface are shown by means of contour lines passing through all places, having the height above the lake indicated by the number set in the line ; while in Fig. 133 the surface of the ground water for the same area is also indicated in like manner. The data for the levels of the ground water were procured by sinking wells

Fig. 132. Contours of the surface of the ground in the vicinity of a
tile-drained area.

Fig. 133. Contours of the level of the ground-water surface under the
locality represented in Fig. 132.

at the places designated by the small numbered circles.

Referring to the two figures, it will be observed that there is a marked tendency for the ground-water surface to stand highest where the level of the field is also highest, and that there are valleys in the ground-water surface beneath the valleys in the field. It will be seen that the water rises as the distance from the lake increases, and that in places it stands 10 and even 20 feet higher.

This distorted surface of the ground water cannot be a condition of rest, for gravity tends continually to force a flow from the higher toward the lower levels along the lines indicated by the arrows shown in Fig. 133. Since the further this water must travel through the soil to reach the lake the more resistance it must meet, it is plain that a greater pressure will be re-

Fig. 134.   Diagram of lines of flow of water in the drainage of a river valley.

quired to overcome this resistance, and hence the water must stand higher in the ground the farther the distance to the drainage outlet. The space enclosed by the rectangle in Fig. 133 is an area which required underdraining to fit it for farm crops, and the reason it did is clearly shown by the contours of the two

maps and by the arrows representing the lines of underflow, which concentrate from the surrounding higher lands to pass beneath this section so near the surface that the strength of capillarity was sufficient to over-saturate the soil above. The influence of the tile drains in lowering the surface of the ground water is plainly shown by the distance the contours are carried back from the lake shore, as seen along the line marked "tile drain."

In the case of streams winding through valleys, the water comes to them at every point along their course by slow seepage, entering the channel through the banks and bottom in the manner represented in the diagram, Fig. 134, where the heavily shaded portion represents the soil filled with water and the lines with arrow points the direction of flow.

In Fig. 135 is represented the surface of the ground water in the valley of the Los Angeles river, California. The data for the contours were procured by sinking wells at the points designated by the heavy dots. From the map it is clear that the water stands higher and higher above the bed of the stream as the distance back increases, and that there must be a steady flow down the valley and toward the river, thus draining the surrounding country. Indeed, in a distance of about 11 miles the measured growth of the Los Angeles river in 1898 was 60 cubic feet of water per second, and yet no visible streams entered, the supply coming by slow seepage along the banks and bottom of the entire length of the section measured.

BB

Fig. 135.   Contours of ground water in the Los Angeles river valley, California.   (U. S. Geol. Survey.)

It will be clear, therefore, from the cases cited, that wherever the moving sheet of ground water approaches within capillary range of the surface of the ground, there the soil is liable to be too wet for crops unless underdrained.

RATE AT WHICH THE GROUND-WATER SURFACE RISES AWAY FROM THE DRAINAGE OUTLET

In well 29 of Fig. 133, situated 150 feet from the lake, the water stood 7.214 feet above the level of the lake June 27, 1892, thus showing a rise of 1 foot in every 24.4 feet. At another place in the same locality, but not shown in the map, a well 1,250 feet from the lake shows the ground-water surface to stand 52 feet above, thus giving a gradient of 1 foot in 24 feet. Later in the season, when the ground had become dryer, the gradient at well 29 became 1 foot in 35.86 feet.

Between tile drains 33 feet apart and 4 feet deep, laid within the rectangle of Fig. 133, measurement showed the surface of the water to rise at the mean rate of 1 foot in 25 feet 48 hours after a rainfall of .87 inches, and the shape of the ground-water surface at the time in question is represented in Fig. 137. Of course, after the lapse of a longer interval of time the gradient here would have become less steep, just as was the case in the other instance cited.

The subsoil in which these gradients were observed was a fine sand, in some places with grains so small as to approach the character of quicksand, and they

represent conditions which are very common in localities where underdrainage is needed, and, therefore, furnish a good basis upon which to form a judgment regarding the distance apart tile should be laid.

DEPTH AT WHICH DRAINS SHOULD BE LAID

The depth to which water should be lowered by drainage need seldom exceed 4 feet for ordinary farm crops, and often the lowering of the water surface may be less.

It should be kept in mind that the level of the ground water changes with the season, and that many lands benefited by underdrainage are only too wet early in the spring, and if such lands are to be used for ordinary farm crops, it may only be needful to draw the water down so far as to make the surface dry enough to give good working conditions for the soil. In such cases, tiles placed $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 feet deep, rather than $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 feet, will usually be found sufficient. If the tiles are placed deeper than this, not only will there be a permanent lowering of the ground water, but the low stage will be reached so much earlier in the season that a smaller amount of the water flowing under the field may be used by the crop.

Where fields are underlaid by sandy subsoils, it is quite important not to draw the water down far into the sand, because the height to which the water can be lifted rapidly in these by capillarity is quite short. To carry the ground-water surface below this

limit not only lessens the amount of underflow which becomes available to the crop, but it also diminishes the amount of the heavy summer rains which the crop may use, because when the ground water is carried too low much of the water, in times of prolonged heavy rains, may pass below the limit of root feeding before the crop has time to avail itself of it.

DISTANCE BETWEEN DRAINS

There are three chief factors which determine the proper distance between underdrains : (1) the freedom with which water may flow through the subsoil toward the drains, (2) the depth at which the drains are placed, and (3) the interval of time between rainfalls sufficiently heavy to produce considerable percolation.

It should be clearly understood that it is the character of the subsoil, rather than that of the soil, which determines the rate at which water moves toward and into the drains, and it should be further understood that the subsoil which takes part in the lateral flow of the water may be several feet, even 10 or more, below the level at which the drains are laid.

If, for example, the field to be drained has a rather close clay surface soil underlaid with two, three or four feet of heavy clay, which in turn is underlaid by a stratum of sand, then the movement of water from the surface toward and into the drains will be such as is represented by the arrows in Fig.

136. That is, the water moves along the line of least resistance, no matter how circuitous or how long that may be.

Where the cavities through which the water must flow are those due to the diameter of the soil grains,

Fig. 136.   Movements of water toward tile drains where heavy clay
soils are underlaid with sand.

the influence of size of grain on the rate of flow is such that the amount of water passing a given section under otherwise like conditions is somewhat nearly proportional to the squares of the diameters. This being true, if the effective diameter of the grains in the clay is .004 m. m., while that of the grains in the stratum of underlying sands is .07 m. m., then their squares will be .0049 and .000016 respectively, in which the ratio is nearly as 300 to 1, so that the water would flow through the same length and section of sand about 300 times as rapidly as it would through the clay.

It is also true that the lengths of the soil pores through which water flows decrease the rate in a ratio nearly proportional to the lengths, so that the sand column in the case cited, or, what is the same thing, the distance between drains, could be 300 times as great as with the clay and yet leave the rate of flow just as rapid. It is plain, therefore, that the move-

ment of the water in cases like that represented in Fig. 136 will be chiefly straight down through the soil and clay until the sand is reached, when the movement will be sideways toward the drains and finally upward, the water entering them chiefly from the under side. That is to say, the flow sidewise through the clay toward the drains will be very slight indeed.

Since the resistance to flow of water increases as the soil texture becomes more close, it is clear that the more open the soil the farther apart the drains may be placed. It is common to place lines of tile in underdraining varying distances apart, from 30 feet to 100 and even 200 feet. The reasons for these wide differences will be better understood after considering the way the ground-water surface changes under a tile-drained field following a rain.

Fig. 137. The observed surface of the ground water in a tile-drained field 48 hours after a rainfall of .87 inches.

In Fig. 137 is represented the observed slope of the ground-water surface in a tile-drained field where the lines are placed 33 feet apart and between 3 and

4 feet below the surface. The conditions there shown
had developed 48 hours after a rainfall of .87 inches,
and the facts were obtained by sinking lines of wells
at right angles to the drains, there being 3 wells
between each pair. It will be seen that the height of
the water on the crest between the drains varies,
being much greater at 1 and 2 than elsewhere, and
this is where the soil is more clayey, and so closer in
texture.

In Fig. 138 is represented the heights of the
ground-water surface midway between the drains as
they occurred 2 days, $2\frac{2}{3}$ days and $5\frac{2}{3}$ days after the
same rain, and the differences in the steepness of the
slopes in the several cases should be understood as due
chiefly to differences in the size of the soil grains. It
will be seen that after a period of nearly 6 days the
surface of the ground water in the upper portion of

Fig. 138.   Changes in the level of the ground-water surface in tile-drained field.

the field has become quite flat, having fallen below the
level of the drains, and the gradient being reduced
to 1 foot in 175 feet, while at the lower end, where
the soil is heavier, the slope is still 1 in 27.

Taking these two cases, let it be assumed that it

is desired to place the lines of tile close enough together, so that after 6 days following an inch of rain the water shall nowhere stand within 3 feet of the surface, and that the tiles are placed 4 feet deep. Since in the sandy subsoil of the upper part of the

Fig. 139.   Diagram of influence of distance between drains on depth of drainage.

field the mean gradient is 1 foot in 175, the lines of tile may, under such conditions, be placed twice this distance apart, or 350 feet, for then halfway between them the water would only stand 1 foot above the drains and hence 3 feet below the surface. But in the lower part of the field, where the soil is finer and where the observed mean gradient is 1 in 27, the lines of tile could only be placed 54 feet apart to ensure the same conditions.

It was pointed out, in connection with Fig. 133, that the slope of the ground water toward the lake was at the rate of 1 foot in 24.4 early in the season, and later 1 foot in 35.86 feet, which would call for placing the lines of tile 50 to 72 feet apart. Refering to the diagram, Fig. 139, it will be readily understood that when there is a drain at A and C only, the soil undrained must be highest at B, but if an

intermediate line of tiles is placed at D, then the highest levels of the ground water would be found at E and F, farther below the surface, leaving the field better drained. It is very important that this principle be thoroughly grasped, because so many local conditions affect the depth and distance apart at which drains should be placed that no specific figures can be safely followed in all cases. It is generally true that in loose, loamy soils, and especially if underlaid by sand, good drainage will be secured with drains 100 feet apart and $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet deep. On heavier soils, they must be closer, and on more open ones they may be farther apart.

In regard to depths of drains, it should be understood that the deeper they are placed the better work they do as a rule. If one soil has had its non-capillary pores ·emptied to a depth of 4 feet, and another one only to a depth of 2 feet, the capacity of the former to store a heavy rain without over-saturation will evidently be greater than that of the latter, and hence the shallow drained fields will oftenest become over-wet in wet seasons. But the cost of digging 4 feet is much greater than $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet, the expense increasing faster than in proportion to the depth.

In cold climates the tiles must be placed as deep as 2 feet, to prevent their destruction by frost. Tiles are laid at a depth of 18 inches, but the practice is not only unsafe so far as destruction of the tiles is concerned, but not half the advantage can then be secured which they are capable of giving if laid deeper.

KINDS OF DRAINS

Drains are called closed or open, according as they ɐre covered or not. There are conditions under which open drains or ditches should and must be used, but the closed forms are always to be preferred where thorough drainage and facility in working the land are desired. In the earlier practice of underdraining, before tiles were invented and manufactured on a large scale, various means were adopted to provide waterways through which the water could more readily drain away from the field. An early method was to place in the bottom of a ditch bundles of faggots end to end and then fill in, expecting the water to flow through the spaces between the faggots. Three slender poles were often used, one laid upon two others, thus forming a waterway; or again, a single larger pole was split in two and these laid in the ditch side by side with the flat faces up. Two boards nailed together V-shaped and laid on the bottom of the ditch formed still another method of securing underground drains with wood.

Stones were also used in various ways for the same purpose; sometimes the bottom of the ditch was filled with small stones and then covered; two rows of flat stones placed on edge to form a V opening downward, was another common plan. Two flat stones on edge, with a cover, were extensively used, and some even went to the trouble of paving the bottom of the ditch with flat stones and forming a closed stone drain by adding sides and top, which,

when well done, was permanent and effective. Square blocks of peat have been grooved on one face and two of these placed together to form a tile, thus making a drain of another kind. Each of these methods of securing underdrainage involved much labor; gave channels in which the water flowed with great resistance; clogged easily, and while beneficial results invariably followed their use, they were neither wholly satisfactory nor permanent.

When the manufacture of tiles from burned clay was begun, various shapes were adopted and abandoned for the present cylindrical type, which when well made and laid, has been found entirely satisfactory for the construction of closed drains.

In more recent years an effort has been made to build a continuous line of tiles in the bottom of the ditch after it is dug and graded, using a concrete made from the best hydraulic cement, lime and sand. The mortar, when made, is fed through a simple machine, which determines the size and shape of the tile, making it continuous, cylindrical and smooth on the inside. A trowel is used to cut the tile through to near the lower side with sufficient frequency to permit the necessary percolation from the soil, thus securing a drain with all joints perfect. The system, however, has not been sufficiently long in use to enable one to say how meritorious it is.

Open surface drains, where they are permanent improvements, should, if possible, be made wide and with sides so gently sloping as not to be washed, and, if possible, so as to be grassed over and driven through

with mowing machine, both to keep it clean and to utilize the land for hay. In many flat prairie sections there are " runs," "draws," "sloughs " or natural waterways, through which the surface waters find their way, in the spring and at times of heavy rains, into drainage channels. Such drainage must usually be handled in surface drains, and even when the channel must in places have a depth of three feet, it will be cheaper and far better in the long run to make them with sloping sides not steeper than 1 in 2, or 12 feet wide at the top. If the work is done in the dry season, most of it can be accomplished with plow and scraper, and the earth moved back, smoothed down and seeded to grass so as to make it permanent, easily cared for, and not a serious obstruction.

Where turns must be made in such drains, they should have a large curvature to prevent the water cutting into the bank.

HOW WATER ENTERS TILE DRAINS

The flow of water into the tile drains takes place through the walls of the tiles and through the joints made by abutting the ends together. It is a common impression that considerable space should be left between the ends of the separate tiles, in order that the water shall have opportunity to enter, and that it is quite necessary that the lengths of the tile shall be short, in order that there shall be sufficient space left for the passage of the water.

The facts are, however, that there is so ready a movement through the walls of ordinary, tiles themselves, and through the joints when they are made as perfect as possible, that every precaution should be taken in laying tiles to make perfect joints, in order that the silt and soil may be excluded, to prevent clogging the drain.

A series of observations on 2-inch Jefferson, Wis., tiles, relating to the rate of percolation through the pores in the walls, showed that under a pressure of 23.5 inches the discharge per 100 feet into the tile was at the rate of 8.1 cubic feet during 24 hours. This occurred when the walls were surrounded by water only. When the tiles were covered with a fine clay loam, so that water had to flow through 3 inches of this soil to reach the tiles, the discharge was reduced to the rate of 1.62 cubic feet per 100 feet of tile in 24 hours. It is plain, therefore, that with this porosity and with the openings at the joints, there is ample opportunity for the water to find its way into the drains after reaching them, · and great pains should always be taken to make as close joints as possible.

The use of collars to keep sediment from entering the joints is not a good practice. They will not, as a rule, fit closely; they tend to encourage careless laying; they increase the first cost, and the soil, if it works under the collars so as to fill the space, will retard the entrance of water into the drain. Tile well made, with ends square and whole, if properly laid, make a sufficiently close joint.   ·

THE FALL OR GRADIENT FOR DRAINS

In most cases where drainage is required, the surface of the field is so flat that it is usually desirable to secure as much fall for the drains as it is practicable to get, and so a careful study of the field should be made with a view to learning where the lowest land is and along what line the greatest rate of fall may be secured. This is a matter of the greatest importance, and the less the fall is the greater should be the attention given to it. If a fall of 2 inches or more in 100 feet can be secured, the conditions are favorable for good results. It often happens that less fall than this must be accepted, but this should be done only after careful leveling has proved a greater one impracticable.

It will frequently happen that the line of lowest ground is quite tortuous, making the distance from the highest to the lowest point greater than to follow a straight line. When this is the case, and the fall very small, it may often be desirable to dig a little deeper in places, cutting off bends, and thus increase the fall.

It will generally be true, however, that the main drain should follow the lowest line in order to secure as much fall for the laterals as possible, and this point is made the more important because the axis of each lateral should reach the main above its center, in order that water in the main shall not set back into it.

Great pains should always be taken to get a per-

fectly uniform fall for the whole main or the whole
of any given lateral, and the greatest care should be
exercised to lay the tiles perfectly true to the grade
when that has been determined.   When this is done,
there is the least tendency for sediment to lodge and
clog the drain.

It will not be possible in all cases to maintain a
constant gradient, and when this is true it is best
always to change from a less fall to one which is
greater, because then any sediment which should be
carried in the upper part
of the drain will also be
carried when the fall is
increased ; but with the
reverse   conditions   the
lower fall must have a
tendency to cause the
drain to become clogged.

Where a change from
a larger fall to one less
must be made, and the
latter gradient is 3 inches
per 100 feet or less, it
will usually be prudent
to place a silt basin where
the change of grade oc-
curs, as represented in

Fig. 140.   Silt basin.

Fig. 140.   The silt basin, if the line of tiles is short
and small, may be made by sinking an 8-, 10- or 12-
inch tile below the level of the bottom of the ditch,
and then notching another section of the same size,

so that it may receive the drain from above and below. The sediment brought will then be dropped in the still water of the basin, and may be removed from time to time. To bring the silt basin to the top of the ground, it will be best to use one length of the glazed sewer tile, because this will not be injured by freezing. Where the line of tiles is large, and much sediment is likely to be moved, the silt basin should be dug larger and bricked up. Silt basins should be kept covered to avoid accidents, and especially in winter, to prevent injury to the tile by freezing.

SIZE OF TILE TO USE

It is not possible to give specific directions for selecting the sizes of tiles which are best, except where all the details regarding the field to be drained are known. It may be said, in general, that their capacity must be large enough to remove the excess of water of the heaviest rains which fall inside of 24 to 48 hours, but how much this excess may be will vary between wide limits.

If the tile are $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 feet deep, and the soil has been depleted of its moisture by a heavy crop, the cases are very exceptional when even a rainfall of 2.5 inches in 24 hours would produce much percolation into the drains. It is the rains in the spring of the year which will most tax the drains, but it should be understood that so long as the water is moving quite rapidly through the soil it is sucking fresh air in after it, and there is little danger

oo

to crops, and for this reason much smaller tiles are permissible than would otherwise be the case. It is when the ground water in a cultivated field becomes stagnant or stationary that poisonous principles are developed and suffocation for lack of air occurs.

The greater the gradient or fall of the line of tiles, the greater will be its capacity and the smaller it may be for a given area. The area of cross-section of tiles increases in the ratio of the squares of the diameters ; thus for diameters of tiles of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 inches, the areas will be 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, 64, and 81 square inches, and hence, when running full with the same velocity, their capacities would be in the relations of the second series of numbers. The friction on the walls of the tiles, and the eddies which the joints and other inequalities tend to set up, reduce the velocity in the small tiles more than they do in the large ones, hence doubling the . diameter of tiles considerably more than makes its capacity four times as great..

The longer the line of tiles the less it is able to discharge. when running full, but just how much the capacity is decreased by the length cannot be simply or accurately stated.

In speaking of the proper size of mains, C. G. Elliott* states : " For drains not more than 500 feet long, a 2-inch tile will drain two acres. Lines more than 500 feet long should not be laid of 2-inch tiles. A 3-inch tile will drain five acres, and should not be of greater length than 1,000 feet. A 4-inch

---

* Practical Farm Drainage, p. 57.

tile will drain 12 acres ; a 5-inch, 20 ; a 6-inch, 40 ; and a 7-inch tile 60 acres."

In the earlier practice of underdraining with cylindrical tiles, sizes as small as 1¼ inches were used for the laterals, leading the water into the mains, but the general tendency has been to abandon the smaller sizes and to use nothing less than 3 inches in diameter, even for the laterals. The labor of making the small sizes is nearly as great as that required for those 3 inches in diameter, thus leaving the difference in cost chiefly that of the extra amount of stock used in the manufacture. But the 3-inch size is so much safer to use than the smaller ones that the latter should generally be abandoned. The most serious objection to the small sizes is the great difficulty in laying them so exactly to grade as not to have them silt up.

The sizes of mains and sub-mains, the sizes of laterals, the lengths of each size used, and the distance between drains, can best be shown by citing a specific case where the conditions to be met have been considered in making the selections and adjustments. The case selected was laid out under the supervision of C. G. Elliott, C. E., and is an 80-acre farm in northern Illinois, where the soil is a deep, rich, black loam, approaching muck in its lowest places, and underlaid at a depth of 2.5 feet with a yellow clay subsoil. The fall of the main drains in this case is not less than 2 inches per 100 feet, and that of the laterals is more rather than less.

The diagram, Fig. 141, shows that the least distance

between laterals is about 150 feet ; an effort was not
made to secure perfect drainage, but rather so nearly
sufficient for ordinary crops as to make the increase
in yield pay a fair return for the money invested.

Fig. 141. Drainage system of 80 acres. Double lines represent mains ; single
lines are laterals. Numbers give length of drains and diameter of tile.
After C. G. Elliott.

The double lines represent the mains and sub-mains;
the single lines are laterals, and the numbers of three
or more figures express the number of feet of each
size used in the line against which they stand, while
the single figures under these show the inside diame-
ter of the tiles used.

It will be seen that the main begins with 1,000
feet of 7-inch tiles, carrying the water from 80 acres
of flat land surrounded by comparatively level fields ;
next follow 1,200 feet of 6-inch tiles, then 600 feet
of 5-inch, the line closing with 157 feet of 4-inch
tiles into which no laterals lead.

THE OUTLET OF DRAINS

Great pains should be taken to secure a clear fall at the outlet of a drain, placing it, if possible, where it will always be above water, as represented at A, Fig. 142, rather than as at B. If the outlet is beneath water, the checking of the velocity of outflow will cause sediment to be thrown down, and will soon clog the main. Care should also be taken to so guard the outlet from the trampling of animals that they shall

Fig. 142. Proper and improper outlet of drains. A, proper outlet ; B, improper outlet ; C, proper junction of lateral with main ; D, improper junction.

not break down the earth about it ; and against the effect of winter frosts and surface rains, tending to throw earth down over the mouth.

In cold climates it will not do to terminate the main with the ordinary drain tile, as the action of the frost will soon crumble it down. A common plan is to make a wooden outlet, 16 feet long, out of 2-inch lumber, thus holding the tile back beneath the surface sufficiently far to be safe against freezing. A much better termination of the main, however, and one which will be permanent, is glazed sewer tile, using not less than 10 feet of it. Lap-weld iron pipes

are also used for this purpose, but a section or two of the cast iron sewer pipe of the size of the main will be found better, because more durable.

Where the laterals are connected with the mains, an effort should be made to introduce the branch above the axis of the main, and where there is fall enough to permit of doing so the method used exten-

Fig. 143.   Method of connecting lateral with main drain.
After Jul. Kühn.

sively in Europe seems to be the best. This consists in perforating the top of the main and the bottom of the end tile of the lateral, placing the two openings together, as represented in Fig. 143, but first closing the ends of the tile with a stone and ball of clay. This arrangement allows the lateral to empty itself completely into the main, and prevents it from becoming clogged with sediment by the setting back of water into it.

Where connection is made direct with the side of the main, it should be done by approaching at an angle down stream, as shown at C, Fig. 142, rather than as at D.   This can be done, even if the lateral is at right angles to the main, by curving the ditch gently for a rod or more as the place of junction is approached.   With this mode of joining, the least interference is brought about when the two currents unite and there is the least tendency to clog.

OBSTRUCTIONS TO DRAINS

In all cases where water flows through the drain during any considerable portion of the growing season, care must be taken to avoid the presence of trees

Fig. 144. Showing roots of European larch removed from a 6-inch tile drain, which they had effectually clogged.

anywhere within three or four rods of the line of tile, otherwise the roots will find their way into the drain through the joints, and there branch out into a com-

plete mat of fine fibers, which will fill the whole drain
and by arresting the silt moving with the water, com-
pletely closes it.   In Fig. 144 are shown two bundles
of roots of the European larch which entered and
completely choked a 6-inch main lying 5 feet below
the surface, and where the trees were standing 15 feet
away from the line.   There are but few trees that
will grow in such places which can be trusted near
the drain, but the willow, elm, larch or tamarack, and
soft maple are among the worst.   It should be under-
stood that so long as the water in the drain is flowing
it is highly charged with air, and trees may even bet-
ter immerse their roots in this than in the more
stationary water between the soil grains, hence they
do so wherever opportunity is offered, unless the water
should be poisonous.

LAYING OUT SYSTEMS OF DRAINS

In preparing to drain a piece of ground of con-
siderable extent, careful study should always be given
to the best way of laying out the system so as to
secure the greatest fall and the most complete drain-
age with the least digging and the smallest number
of feet of tile at the lowest cost.   To do this, care
must be taken to avoid laying the lines so as to
bring their influence within territory already sufficiently
drained by another line ; to make the outlets and
junctions as few as possible ; to avoid the necessity
of the more expensive large sizes of tiles, and of dig-
ging more deeply than is required for good drainage.

In Fig. 145 are represented diagrammatically two ways of laying out a system of drains for the same piece of land. The area drained is about 14 acres, and with lines of tile laid 100 feet apart, system A requires 625 feet of 4-inch and 3,020 of 3-inch tiles, while that of B makes necessary only 550 feet

Fig. 145. Two systems of laying out drains.

of 4-inch and 2,830 feet of 3-inch tiles to drain equally well the same area.

Where long lines of tile must be laid in which more than one size will be required, three systems have been adopted, that represented in A, Fig. 145, already described; a second, A, Fig. 146, and a third, B, in the same figure. In the case of A, Fig. 146, covering a section 2,000 feet by 900 feet above the

line a a, there would be required 9,000 feet of 3-inch tiles and 9,000 feet of 4-inch tiles, with lines laid 100 feet apart; but following the second system, B, it would only be necessary to lay 3,000 feet of 4-inch tiles, with 15,300 feet of 3-inch. At 1 cent per foot for 3-inch and 1.6 cents for 4-inch tile, the difference between the purchase price of the two sets of tile would be $33 in favor of the system B. The saving grows out of the fact that one line of 4-inch tile has ample capacity to drain not only the strip of ground it traverses, but at the same time to discharge the water gathered by the three lines of 3-inch tile emptying into it from the upper half of the field.

Fig. 146. Two systems of laying out drains.

It will be observed that in both diagrams the nine lines of tile have been brought to one outlet in the stream, rather than to make them all separate, as might be done in A, or to make three outlets, as could readily have been done in the case of B. To have finished the system with three outlets would not have been a bad or expensive plan, but to have as many outlets as there are lines of tile is not generally to be recommended.

In actual practice, it will usually be found that no single system, such as has been represented, can be used alone, but rather a combination of them in various ways growing out of the irregularity of slopes and surface conditions.

INTERCEPTING THE UNDERFLOW FROM HILLSIDES

Cases are not infrequent where seepage from the high lands surrounding a flat area approaches so close to the surface at the foot of the rising ground that a single line of underdrains placed here at a good

Fig. 147. Structural conditions producing swamp lands by underflow, and methods of intercepting the underflow.

depth will so completely intercept the underflow as to make little other draining needed. The structural conditions which render underdrainage in such cases needful, the method of accomplishing it, and the underlying principle, are represented in Fig. 147.

In this case the comparatively impervious rock bottom of the valley holds up the water and forces

it to spread laterally and to underflow the low ground
through the sandy stratum covered by the closer
textured layer above, and to rise up through that
soil layer, both by hydrostatic pressure and by cap-
illarity, and thus keep it too wet for agricultural
purposes.   But when tiles are placed at A and B,
at the foot of the high lands on both sides, the water
can more easily escape into the drain than it can flow
on through the sand stratum, and the result is, the
pressure which before was forcing the water beyond
A to the left and beyond B to the right may now be
so nearly all absorbed by the flow of water into the
tile drains that no more water reaches the flat land
between them than is needed to meet the demands of
vegetation and surface evaporation.   The case is
exactly similar to what is shown in the lower portion
of the diagram; here it is plain that if water is
allowed to discharge at C and D nearly as fast as the
pipes can bring it from the reservoir, there would
be little left to pass on and escape through openings
beyond, while if C and D are closed, the full pressure
would operate to increase the discharge at lower
openings, as at E.

DRAINING SINKS AND PONDS

It frequently occurs that low places are entirely
surrounded by such high lands as to make it difficult
to provide an outlet for the surface water which col-
lects in them, especially during the winter and early
spring, keeping them too wet for agricultural purposes.

Where the water collecting in such places is largely from surface drainage, it is frequently possible to reclaim them by intercepting the water and diverting it around the sink in the manner suggested in Fig. 148, where A B represents a surface ditch taking the water from the higher land above.

It is frequently true that such low places without natural outlets are underlaid with well drained beds of coarse sand and gravel, and in such cases, if the volume of water is not very large and if the bed of sand and gravel

Fig. 148. Method of intercepting surface drainage. A, B, surface ditch.

beneath it is thick and only 10 to 15 feet from the surface, a well sunk into the sand and gravel and stoned or bricked up may serve as an outlet for under or surface drains.

Instead of curbing the well, it may be simply filled with loose stones to within 3 feet of the surface, covering these with smaller ones and finally with gravel and then sand, leaving the surface unobstructed.

Unless the approach to this drain is so gradual that there is no danger of fine silt being deposited over it, it would be better to have this in a shallow sink surrounded by a slightly higher border, grassed over

to hold back the water and throw down the sediment before reaching this place, as shown in Fig. 149, where a pit has been sunk into the porous gravel below and broadened at the surface to give more area for percolation through the finer material at the top. There are also represented lines of underdrains leading to the filter outlet, which might be needed in order to bring the land quickly into the best condition. If necessary, a line of such wells may be formed in a surface ditch or depression, and thus increase the capacity.

THE USE OF TREES IN DRAINAGE

In some instances where sinks without available outlets are to be drained, and where the method illustrated in Fig. 149 cannot be used, it is pos-

Fig. 149. Method of draining sinks.

sible to throw up lands of higher ground with deep, open ditches between them, in the lowest portion of the sink, into which the other ground may be drained, and then plant water-loving trees, like the willow or larch, on the sides of the ditches, where, by their

rapid growth and large evaporation of moisture through the foliage, considerable amounts of water will be removed. The most serious objection to the method is the fact that the trees will not render their greatest service early in the season, and may not fit the ground for early crops other than grass.

THE USE OF THE WINDMILL IN DRAINAGE

In such places as those under consideration in the last two sections, a good windmill may be made to drain a considerable area of ground where only the

Fig. 150. Method of draining sinks by wind power.

underflow must be handled, and where the lift need not be more than 20 feet.

If the water is to be raised to a level at which gravity will remove it, then a sump or reservoir should be sunk in the ground as near the place where the water is to be disposed of as practicable, deep enough to hold the drainage of two or three days when, for lack of wind, the mill may be idle.

In order that the mill may work during the winter also in cold climates, the pump may be placed in a

well, as in Fig. 150, into which the main drain, A, discharges, and from which there is an overflow, B, to the reservoir. The object of the well is to place the pump under conditions where it will not freeze in the severest weather, and thus prevent the ground from becoming over-saturated at any season. The water may be made to discharge through an under-ground drain connected directly with the pump, as at C, or a flume-box above ground may be used, as is most convenient.

It might even be practicable to have this drainage water discharged into a reservoir and used for irrigation at a lower level during the dry season of the year, or it would be practicable to discharge it into a series of tiles laid 2 feet below the surface on a section of higher ground which is naturally well drained, and thus sub-irrigate this at the same time the low place is being drained, the two systems caring for themselves continuously.

LANDS WHICH MUST BE SURFACE DRAINED

There are many ancient lake bottoms now constituting wide stretches of very flat country underlaid by heavy deposits of a very close lacustrian clay, through which water percolates with extreme slowness. Such lands must generally be surface drained, not only because it is difficult to find adequate fall for proper outlets for underdrains, but because the water would not reach underdrains quickly enough to meet the demands of crops unless the lines were laid closer together than could be afforded.

Even through a clay loam* it may require 24 hours for 1.6 inches of water to percolate through a stratum of soil 14 inches deep when the surface is kept under 2 inches of water, and since the rate of percolation is somewhat nearly proportional to the length of the column, 2 days would be required for the same flow through 28 inches, and about 13 days through 15 feet, the distance the water would have to travel with underdrains placed only 30 feet apart. But the sub-soils of the lands in question are much closer than the loam cited, so that the best which has yet been done for such soils is to plow them in narrow lands, with the dead furrows extending along the slope of the fields in such a way that the excess of water may be quickly led away into the streams or open ditches.

It is true that the tillage and heavy cropping of such soils, especially during dry seasons, tend to cause the clay subsoils to shrink into cuboidal blocks, and thus facilitate underdrainage; but the long years which some of those lands have been under such treatment without marked amelioration appear to leave little hope of ever bringing them under thorough drainage in this way.

There are other flat sections of country, with more open soils and subsoils, where sufficiently deep open ditches may be provided to serve as outlets for under-drains, and lands be thus thoroughly reclaimed. Such is the case in Illinois, and Fig. 151 represents six square miles of land treated in this way. In this figure the double lines represent deep open ditches, the single lines

---

* The Soil, p. 171.

DD

underdrains, and the small squares cover 40 acres each.

Another drainage system of this sort in the same state is found in Mason and Tazewell counties, where by a coöperative plan the open ditches have been dug

Fig. 151. Plan of drainage of lands of the Illinois Agricultural Company, Rontoul, Illinois. After J. O. Baker. The smallest squares are 40 acres; double lines show open ditches; single lines are tile drains.

and the expense divided among the landowners in proportion to the benefits derived. The work was begun in 1883, completed in 1886, and has 17.5 miles of main ditch 30 to 60 feet wide at the top and 8 to 11 feet deep. Leading into these mains there are five laterals 30 feet wide at the top and from 7 to 9 feet deep, the whole system embracing 70 miles of open ditch, excavated for the express purpose of providing outlets for underdrains after the manner of Fig. 151.

CHAPTER XIII

PRACTICAL DETAILS OF UNDERDRAINING

To do the best work in underdraining requires not only a thorough knowledge of the principles, but an extended practical experience in laying out systems of drains. The man who has a thorough grasp of this business, and is experienced in laying out work and in the use of precise instruments for leveling and establishing grades, can, with the aid of eye and instruments, determine rapidly and accurately in the field the best place for the mains and sub-mains without making a detailed survey; and where large areas are to be drained, especially if the fall must be small, it will usually be safer, better and cheaper to employ some man of experience who can be trusted to do the work of leveling, determining grades and accurately staking out ready for the ditcher both mains and laterals.

Indeed, if a considerable amount of work is to be done, it will in most cases be better and cheaper in the end to entrust the whole job to a man who makes underdraining his business, and who employs and superintends his own crew of trained men. The matter of ditching, even, is so much of an art that both intelligence and experience are required to do it well.

(467)

So true is this, that a good drainage engineer employs his men by the season or longer, if possible, and divides his work among them in such a way that each man does only one kind of digging. In this way each one becomes an expert in his place, doing more and better work with less effort than is possible in any other way. The man who finishes the bottom of the ditch and the man who lays the tiles must not only be skillful, but must be thoroughly trustworthy and patient, or faulty work will be done. The work is often so unpleasant, defects are so easily covered from inspection, and it will be so long before they could be discovered and the responsibility properly placed, that only men of peculiar fitness should ever be trusted with it. These men must be well paid, they must not be crowded, and there must be nothing else to take their attention. When the right sort of man has been secured for this work, and has been trained to it, he is far more to be trusted than almost any farmer, even for whom the work is to be done, because the farmer will have so many other things to take his attention, and he will be so anxious to have the job off his hands, that his patience will not permit him to take the necessary time to get every joint of the 100,000 *just right* before it is left. Important drainage work, then, should be left to expert men wherever practicable.

It is very important that the farmer who has land to drain should thoroughly appreciate these essential conditions for safe work, not only to prevent himself from undertaking what he cannot hope himself to do

well, but, what is more important, that he may be able to recognize the essential qualities in the man who will place the tiles, and satisfy himself that he possesses them.

It will often happen, however, that drainage experts cannot be had, and there may be small areas to drain, involving relatively but small expense, where the farmer may do his own work or supervise it.

METHODS OF DETERMINING LEVELS

Where the services of a man with instruments for determining levels for lines of drains cannot be had, there are various simple means for doing this work which may be employed where great accuracy is not required, and among these perhaps the safest is the water-level, represented in Fig. 152. This may be made of ¾-inch gas pipe, with two elbows and a T, as shown in the sketch, the standard being sharpened by a blacksmith or by inserting a wooden point. In the two elbows, which are about four feet apart, there are cemented short pieces of glass tube, or slender phials, ½-inch in diameter; with the bottoms broken out, and provided with corks. To use the instrument, the tube is filled with water colored with bluing or ink, so as to show in the two tubes of glass, when the arm is horizontal. By forcing the foot

Fig. 152.
Construction
of a
water-level.

of the instrument into the ground until it stands firmly, and removing the corks, the water will come to a level at once, so that if the operator stands back about four feet he may sight across the two surfaces to determine differences of level.   If one uses this instru-

Fig. 153.   Four forms of drainage levels, with target-rods.

ment with care, avoiding too long ranges, good work may be done with it.

A carpenter's level is sometimes mounted in a similar manner and used, but it is not as safe a device, because the level itself is liable to be in error

and there will be errors in deciding when it is set
exactly, whereas the water-level can never be in error,
and automatically adjusts itself at once, the only
chances for error being in taking the sights. Other
forms of drainage levels are represented in Fig. 153.

<div style="text-align:center;">LEVELING A FIELD</div>

If the field has but small fall, and is quite flat and
even, so that the inexperienced eye fails to detect the
direction of greatest slope, it will usually be safest to
check it into squares of 50 or 100 feet, driving short
stakes at the several corners, whose elevations may
then be determined. To do the leveling, set the
instrument at *a*, Fig. 155, midway between stations
I-1 and I-2, having first provided a notebook, ruled
as indicated in the table below. Turning the level
first upon I-1, its distance below the instrument is
read on the target-rod held upon that stake, and
the result, 4 feet, is recorded in the table in the
column headed "back-sight." The instrument is next
directed to I-2 and its distance below the level found
to be 3.8 feet, which shows that its elevation must be

$$4 \text{ ft.} - 3.8 \text{ ft.} = .2 \text{ ft.}$$

above that of station I-1. This reading of the target-
rod is entered in the column headed "fore-sight." In
the column headed "Elevation" the first station is
given arbitrarily a value of 10 feet, as is customary
to avoid minus signs, and on the same plan station

I-2 will have an elevation of 10.2 feet, as stated in the table.

Table giving data obtained in leveling field, Fig. 156

Station	Back-sight	Fore-sight	Difference	Elevation
I-1	4			10
I-2	4.2	3.8	.2	10.2
I-3	3.8	4	.2	10.4
I-4	4	3.6	.2	10.6
I-5	3.9	3.8	.2	10.8
I-6	4	3.7	.2	11
II-6	3.8	3.98	.02	11.02
II-5	3.9	3.995	.195	10.825
II-4	4	4.095	.195	10.63
II-3	4.1	4.19	.19	10.44
II-2	3.9	4.26	.16	10.28
II-1	3.8	3.98	.08	10.2
III-1	4	3.6	.2	10.4
III-2	3.9	3.96	.04	10.44
Il1-3	4.2	3.775	.125	10.565
III-4	4.1	4.045	.155	10.72
III-5	3.8	3.93	.17	10.89
III-6	4.1	3.625	.185	11.075
IV-6	4	4.185	.085	11.16
IV-5		3.84	.16	11

The level is now moved to *b* and the distance of I-2 below it again measured and found to be 4.2 feet, which is entered in the notebook under " back-sight," and the instrument turned upon I-3, where the reading is found to be 4 feet, and entered in the table. The difference between the fore- and back-sights, placed in the column headed " Difference," shows how much higher one station is than another, and when the first is added to the elevation above datum, 10

feet, at station I-1, it gives 10.2 feet, or the elevation of station I-2 above the same plane. The difference, .2 feet, between stations I-2 and I-3 added to the elevation of I-2, gives 10.4 feet, or that of station I-3. In this manner the instrument is moved forward step by step until measurements from *e* have been made, when the level is next set at *f*, and back- and fore-sights taken and entered, as shown in the table, so as to connect the observations of the first line with those of the second line of stations.

Proceeding to *g*, the steps described are repeated by moving back through *h, i, j, k* and *l* to *m*, and so on until the elevations of all the stations have been determined and entered in the table. It will be

Fig. 154. Method of leveling.

observed that when proceeding from higher to lower levels it is necessary to subtract the value in the column of differences from the elevation of the station preceding it, in order to obtain the elevation of the station for that difference.

In Fig. 154 is shown the method of leveling described where the different positions of the level and of the target along one line are shown in elevation.

LOCATION OF MAIN DRAINS AND LATERALS

After the notes of the field leveling have been obtained, and the elevations computed from them, these may be transferred to a diagram of the field, as

Fig. 155. Leveling for a contour map of field to be drained.

in Fig. 155, where they will show at a glance the slope of the surface, and where the mains must be placed in order to secure the greatest fall, both for them and for the laterals. It will be seen that station VI-6 is the highest point in the field, while I-1 is the

lowest, and that if a straight main were laid through these two points it would be given the course along which surface water would naturally flow, which is also the direction of steepest slope.

The dotted lines in the figure are contours, or

Fig. 156. Arranging drains to secure the maximum fall.

lines of equal elevation, and as in this case these are circumferences of circles with centers at station I-1, it is clear that the shortest distance between any two contours will be measured along their radii, and hence, that there also will be the greatest fall. Since the diagonal line from VI-6 and the lines I and 1

are each a radius of a circle from the same center,
I-1, the fall along each will be the same, namely, 2.4
inches per 100 feet ; hence, to drain this piece of
land, three mains may occupy the positions of these
three lines, meeting at station I-1.   But if laterals
are to be placed 100 feet apart, these could be given
about as great a fall if they were to connect with the
diagonal as a main, and take the positions indicated
by the two right-angle systems of lines in Fig, 155,
I, II, III, IV, V, representing laterals on the upper
side of the main, and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 on the lower.   If,
however, drains were to be placed 50 feet apart, then
the most rapid fall could be secured and the least
amount of tile would be required, by arranging the
laterals as shown in Fig. 156, where the same area
is represented with the contour lines drawn 100 feet
apart horizontally and .2 foot vertically, as they are
also in Fig. 155, and where the heavy ruling repre-
sents main drains and the light ones laterals.

STAKING OUT DRAINS

When the location of mains and laterals has been
determined, the next step in the practical work is
staking out the drains.   There are various methods of
doing this, but one of the best is as follows : Short
stakes, about 8 to 10 inches long, called grade pegs,
are provided, and another set upon which records can
be made with lead pencil, longer than the others, and
called finders. With a tape line or chain and hatchet,
the work begins by laying off along the main, begin-

ning at the outlet, intervals of 50 feet, at each of which a grade peg is set about 12 inches to one side of the center of the ditch, where they will not be disturbed, driving them down flush with the surface of the ground. About 6 inches farther back from the line of the ditch a finder is also set. Sub-mains and laterals are staked off in a similar manner, and when this is done the work of leveling for digging the ditches may begin.

DETERMINING THE GRADE AND DEPTH OF THE DITCHES

The determination of the levels of the grade pegs should begin at the outlet of the main, and proceed in the manner already described in leveling the field, entering the figures in a table prepared in the notebook, as shown below :

Table showing field notes for determining depth of ditch and grade of drain

Station	Back-sight	Fore-sight	Difference	Elevations	Grade line	Depth of ditch
Outlet	7	....	...	7	7	0
0	4	....	3	10	7	3
50	3.97	3.87	.13	10.13	7.12	3.01
100	4.2	3.83	.14	10.27	7.24	3.03
150	4.1	4.08	.12	10.39	7.36	3.03
200	3.95	3.99	.11	10.5	7.48	3.02
250	3.87	3.82	.13	10.63	7.6	3.03
300	4	3.69	.18	10.81	7.72	3.09
350	4.25	3.83	.17	10.98	7.84	3.14
400	4.08	4.1	.15	11.13	7.96	3.17
450	4.05	3.96	.12·	11.25	8.08	3.17
500	3.97	3.95	.1	11.35	8.2	3.15
550	3.75	3.97	...	11.35	8.02	3.03
600	....	3.74	.01	11.36	8.44	2.92

Referring to 157, which is a profile of the data in the table, A is the outlet of the drain; the first stake set is marked 0, the second 50, etc., up to 600, the numbers expressing the number of feet from the outlet. The datum plane is chosen 10 feet below the

Fig. 157.   Determining grade line and depth of ditch.

surface of the ground, at station 0, and the ground here is 3 feet above the bottom of the drain, which leaves the outlet 7 feet above datum, as stated in the table, which is also the elevation of the grade line at this place.

Referring to the table, in the column of elevations it will be seen that the surface of the ground at 600 feet from the outlet is 11.36 feet above datum plane, while the outlet is 7 feet above, making a total fall of

$$11.36 - 7 = 4.36 \text{ feet.}$$

If it is decided to give the drain a fall of .24 foot,

or 2.88 inches per 100 feet, it will be necessary to place
the bottom of the tile, at 600 feet from the outlet,

$$6 \times .24 = 1.44 \text{ feet}$$

higher than the outlet; that is,

$$7 + 1.44 = 8.44 \text{ feet}$$

above datum plane ; but as the surface of the ground
at the 600-foot station is 11.36 feet above this plane,
as given in the table, it is clear that the ditch must
be dug at this place

$$11.36 - 8.44 = 2.92 \text{ feet}$$

deep, as written on the finder stake in Fig. 157, and
as given in the table of field notes in the column
headed "depth of ditch."

Since the grade line rises .24 foot per 100 feet and
.12 foot per 50 feet, the data in the table under
"grade line" are obtained by adding .12 foot to 7
feet, the distance of the outlet above datum, for the
50-foot station ; twice .12 foot to the second or
100-foot station, etc.

The numbers in the column of differences are
obtained by subtracting the front-sight from the back-
sight, taken with each setting of the level, and these
differences, added to the height of the lower station,
give the elevation of the higher station above datum
plane, thus:

$$4 - 3.87 = .13 \text{ feet ;}$$

and this amount, added to the height of the back-
sight station, gives

$$10 + .13 = 10.13 \text{ feet}$$

as the elevation of the 50-foot station, and ·subtract

ing from this elevation that of the bottom of the proposed ditch at this place, there is obtained

$$10.13 - 7.12 = 3.01 \text{ feet},$$

or the depth which the ditch must be dug at this station, and it is the custom to write these depths on the finder stakes, to serve as the guide to the ditchers in digging, as represented in Fig. 157.

These values are given in feet and hundredths rather than in feet and inches, because it is much simpler to make the calculations in this way. The target-rod should be made to read in this way rather than in feet and inches, and if the farmer makes his own this may readily be done by first dividing the rod into feet and then, taking a pair of dividers, set them so as to space off ten equal divisions within each foot. The tenths of a foot may then be subdivided in the same manner into ten equal divisions, or hundredths of a foot.

Where a level without a telescope is used, the measuring rod should be provided with a sliding target, as shown in Figs. 153 and 158, which may be moved up and down by the target man, as directed, to mark the elevation indicated by the instrument. The best target is provided with an opening in front of the rod, which permits the figures to be seen at the junction of the cross lines of the target.

In taking the elevations, the target-rod should always be set upon the grade peg, and all subsequent measurements in digging should also be made from these pegs, which are driven in flush with the surface,

not only that they may represent its true level, but also to avoid danger of the pegs being disturbed.

MORE THAN ONE GRADE ON THE SAME DRAIN

It very frequently happens that the surface of the land to be drained is such as to make it impracticable to lay out the whole of a main or of a lateral with the same amount of fall throughout. Let it be supposed that at the end of the 600 feet represented in Fig. 157, the ground continued rising backward at a slower rate for 500 feet more, as the figures show it had begun to do, and that in the 500 feet the rise was only six inches. In order to avoid digging too deeply in some portions of the line, or of placing the tile too close to the surface at others, it is necessary to change the grade, and the new grade will be found by dividing the total fall .5 feet by 5, the number of 100 feet, which gives .1 foot, and half this amount instead of .12, is what would be added at each 50-foot station, in order to get the new grade line elevations.

DIGGING THE DITCH

It has been pointed out that practice is required in order to dig a ditch well, rapidly and easily. It is further necessary to have suitable tools for the purpose. First in importance is the ditching spade, two forms of which are represented in Fig. 158. These spades have blades 18 inches long, narrower than the common tool, and strongly curved forward, to give

EE

greater stiffness, and to permit them to be thin and light. The solid blade gives better satisfaction generally than the other form shown in the cut.

Besides the spade, there must also be the tile hoe, or scoop, for cleaning out and grading the bottom of

Fig. 158. Some drainage tools.

the ditch, fitting it for the tile, different widths being used for different tiles, as shown in the cut. Some of these scoops are made with adjustable handles, permitting the blade to be set at any desired angle, so as to be used from the last spading of earth in the ditch or from the top.

Fig. 159. Commencing a ditch.

Fig. 160. Removing the last two spadings from the ditch.

Fig. 161.   Bringing the ditch to grade line with tile hoe.

Fig. 162.   Placing tile with tile hook.

When digging begins, a strong line is stretched about 4 inches back from the side of the ditch and a narrow cutting made, seldom necessarily more than 12 inches wide, as shown in Fig. 159, the effort being to remove as little earth as possible. The sides are cut true to line to begin with, and maintained so to the bottom, in order that a straight bed may be finished to receive the tiles. When the ditch is deeper than 4 feet, it is necessary to make it a little wider at the top but not much, as will be seen in Figs. 160 and 161, where the first shows the men in line cutting a ditch 4.5 to 5 feet deep, while the second figure shows another man following with the tile hoe, working from the top, cleaning out the bottom and bringing it to grade line. The line which is seen in Fig. 161, stretched along the ditch, is placed parallel with the grade line some whole number of feet above it, and is used by the man to measure from when finishing the bottom. The line is a slender but strong cord, which may be stretched tightly, so as not to sag. In the case in question, the man determined his depths with the measuring rod in the foreground, his long experience enabling him to dispense with a sliding arm, which is generally used, forming a right angle with the rod and long enough to reach the grade line. In Fig. 162, the last man is using the tile hook, shown second from the right in Fig. 158, to lay the tile in place. This ditch, although for 6-inch tile, laid 4.5 to 5 feet deep, is scarcely more than 15 inches wide at the top, as the length of the tile placed across the ditch for a scale shows.

These men never get into the bottom of the ditch, and yet the tile are laid with great accuracy and turned about with the hook until close fitting joints are secured.

It is preferred by some to lay the tile by hand, the operator standing on the tile, which are covered with earth 4 to 6 inches deep as rapidly as placed, using the wet clay last thrown out, or some taken from the side of the ditch, which is thoroughly worked in about the tile, care being taken not to get them out of alignment. By whatever method the tile are laid, the greatest care must be observed in securing close joints and in covering them, to see that they do not become displaced.

The work should begin at the outlet with the laying of the main, and proceed backward to the first lateral, when this should be started and the junction made at once, laying two or three tile of the lateral before proceeding further with the main. If junction tile are not used, the opening through the walls for the connection is made with a small tile pick with a sharp point, and great care should be taken to make a close connection by shaping and fitting both pieces together and covering the joint with stiff clay, well packed about it.

If for any reason the line of tile is left, as at night or over Sunday, the open upper end should be plugged with a bunch of grass or covered with a board, to prevent dirt being washed into the line in case of rain. When the end of the line is reached, the opening of the last tile should be closed with a brick or stone.

It is very important to get the dirt well filled in about the tile and at the same time well packed, in order that large open water channels may not exist through which streams of water may flow in sufficient volume to carry silt into the tile through the joints, and also in order that open channels may not exist outside and under the tile along which streams may gather and flow. The clay soil, usually last taken out of the ditch, is the best for this purpose.

Fig. 163. The start and finish of tile draining.

Various methods of filling the ditch, after the first covering of the tile, are in use, and Fig. 163 represents one, where a plow is drawn by a team working

on a long evener. Where a road scraper is available,
this makes a good tool for finishing up with after
the line is filled enough to cross with the team.
Another method of filling, where the work is done by
hand, is to tie a rope to the handle of a broad scoop,
which is worked by a man across the ditch, while
another guides the shovel as though not assisted by
the man with the rope. In this way the dirt is filled
in rapidly.

Still another method is to use a team on a wide
board scraper provided with handles, drawing it toward
the ditch, the team being attached by means of a long
rope and working on the opposite side of the ditch,
the filling being done by driving forward and then
backing, the man holding the scraper pulling the tool
back.

When quicksand is encountered in laying tile, it
may be necessary to brace the sides of the ditch to
prevent caving, when digging. This may be done by
driving sticks in between two pieces of board, thus
holding them against the opposite sides of the
ditch. It is occasionally true that the bottom is so
soft from quicksand that the tile cannot be laid to
grade, and in such cases a fence board may be
placed on the bottom and the tile laid upon this.
In other cases the ditch may be dug a little below
grade line, and the bottom covered with clay, if that
is available, so as to form a foundation upon which
to place the tile. It will sometimes be true that a
quicksand spot will become sufficiently firm to lay
across if it is permitted to drain three or four days,

and the level of the ground water be thus lowered. The reason for this is that the quicksand character is due to the water being forced up through the fine sand, which has little adhesion between its grains, and the water tends to float the sand, thus causing it to run with unusual freedom ; but when the water is given time to drain away, so that the sand is no longer full of it above the bottom of the ditch, it becomes firm, and the tile may then be laid.

COST OF UNDERDRAINING

It is not possible to give the cost of draining land without knowing all of the details which go to make up the total expense ; but certain general statements may be made, which will enable any one to compute for himself what the cost is likely to be.

In the case represented by Figs. 159 to 163, the work was done by a professional drainage engineer at an average cost of $3 per 100 feet for digging and laying the tile, and 30 cents per 100 feet for filling the ditches, thus making the labor after the tile had been placed upon the ground $3.30 per 100 feet, including the board of the men. The ground drained in this case was such as to represent about average conditions, where the spade may be readily put into the soil with the pressure of the foot, where no stones or quicksands are encountered, and where the main has a depth of 3 to 5 feet, and the laterals an average depth of 3 feet. In the case represented in Fig. 141, Mr. Elliot gives the cost of the different items as expressed in the table which follows:

Cost of main drains per 1,000 feet

No. of feet	Size	Depth	Tile	Digging, laying and filling	Total	Cost per rod
1,000	7 in.	5 ft.	$60.00	$37.20	$97.20	$1.60
2,700	6 in.	5 ft.	40.00	36.60	206.82	1.26
850	5 in.	4 ft.	30.00	24.20	46 07	.89

Cost of lateral drains

8,280	4 in.	3.5 ft.	$20.00	$20.00	$331.20	$0.66
7,030	3 in.	3 ft	13.20	20.00	233.40	.55
Total.					$914.69	

It will be seen from this table that the cost of draining 80 acres, as represented in the figure, averaged $11.43 per acre where everything was counted. It will be seen that the cost of mains was from two to three times as much as laterals of 3-inch tile, and hence, that the larger and longer the mains must be made the more expensive relatively the draining will be.

Cost of mains per 100 feet

	Depth of ditch	Cost of digging and laying	Cost of tile	Cost of filling ditch	Total cost per 100 feet
5-inch	3 feet	$1.50	$3.00	$0.30	$4.30
	4 feet	2.00	3.00	.42	5.42
	5 feet	3.00	3.00	.60	6.60
	6 feet	4.50	3.00	.75	8.25
6-inch	3 feet	1.50	4.00	.30	5.80
	4 feet	2.10	4.00	.42	6.52
	5 feet	3.00	4.00	.66	7.66
	6 feet	5.10	4.00	.78	9.88
7-inch	3 feet	1.80	6.00	.36	8.16
	4 feet	2.40	6.00	.48	8.88
	5 feet	3.00	6.00	.72	9.72
	6 feet	5.70	6.00	.90	12.60
8-inch	3 feet	1.92	8.50	.42	10.84
	4 feet	2.58	8.50	.54	11.62
	5 feet	3.90	8 50	.78	13.18
	6 feet	6.00	8.50	1.00	15.52

We quote this table regarding the cost of mains, as estimated by Mr. Elliot, where the price paid for good ditchers is $2 per day; but in this estimate the board of the men is not included, neither is the cost of hauling the tile from the station to the field.

This same writer estimates the cost of 3-inch laterals, placed 3 to 3.5 feet deep, at $2 per 100 feet for the digging, laying and filling, and tile at the present writing would add another dollar, making $3 per 100 feet, not including board or hauling the tile.

The cost per acre will, of course, vary with the distance between lines of tile, and will increase very nearly in proportion to the number of feet of tile used.

PEAT LANDS

There are many marshes underlaid by beds of peat not yet well rotted; peat so free from silt and so fibrous in texture that when dry it could be used for fuel. Where fields are underlaid by such beds having a depth of three or more feet, they are not likely to become at once productive if well drained. On the other hand, where the peat deposit is only from 6 to 18 inches deep, there are likely to be better returns from thorough drainage.

In the first class of cases referred to, underdraining is not usually to be recommended as the first step toward improvement. The difficulty lies in the fact that when peat beds are drained they shrink greatly in volume, thus lowering the surface in a

marked degree, and if underdrains were laid at once, the lines of the tile would ultimately be found too close to the surface. It is, therefore, usually better in such cases to drain first with open ditches, plac- ing them where ultimately they may be deepened and converted into underdrains. The surface ditch- ing will dry out the marsh to a considerable extent, and permit the needed decay and shrinkage of the peat to take place, although several years may be required for this.

If the peat is very coarse and thick, and if little vegetation grows upon it, it may be well to burn it over several times when not too dry, in order to increase the silt and ash in the soil and to hasten the shrinkage. The ash thus formed will so much improve the texture of the surface as to very mate- rially assist in getting a crop started upon the area.

It is very important to get a crop started upon the soil as soon as practicable, because this greatly facili- tates and hastens the rate of decay. This should be done, even though it may not be remunerative in any other way than that of improving the texture of the soil.

INDEX

AUG 2 6 1920

The following pages contain advertisements of a few of the Macmillan books on kindred subjects

CYCLOPEDIA OF
AMERICAN AGRICULTURE

Edited by L. H. BAILEY

With 100 full-page plates and more than 2,000 illustrations in the text; four volumes; the set, $24.00; half morocco, $36.00.

Vol. I—Farms   Vol. III—Animals
Vol. II—Crops   Vol. IV—The Farm and the Community

This is unquestionably the most important agricultural cyclopedic work published in this country. The leading experts in the United States and Canada, both investigators and practical farmers, contribute to its chapters, which are arranged not alphabetically, but topically, each subject being treated in its various aspects by men especially familiar with it. It contains advice for the city man who is seeking a home in the country, as well as for the professional farmer. The book is strictly new and up-to-date in its methods and advice, thoroughly readable, and a standard work of reference. It is profusely illustrated, about one-third of the total space being assigned to illustrations—all original.

"Indispensable to public and reference libraries . . . readily comprehensible to any person of average education."—*The Nation.*

"The completest existing thesaurus of up-to-date facts and opinions on modern agricultural methods. It is safe to say that many years must pass before it can be surpassed in comprehensiveness, accuracy, practical value, and mechanical excellence. It ought to be in every library in the country."—*Record-Herald, Chicago.*

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

BOOKS ON AGRICULTURE

ON SELECTION OF LAND, ETC.
E. W. Hilgard's Soils: Their Formation, and Relations to
Climate and Plant Growth $4 00

ON TILLAGE, ETC.
Elwood Mead's Irrigation Institutions 1 25
William E. Smythe's The Conquest of Arid America 1 50
H. Snyder's Chemistry of Plant and Animal Life 1 50
H. Snyder's Soil and Fertilizers. Third edition 1 25

ON PLANT DISEASES, ETC.
A. S. Packard's A Text-book on Entomology 4 50
L. R. Hesler and H. H. Whetzel's Manual of Fruit Diseases . 2 00

ON PRODUCTION OF NEW PLANTS
L. H. Bailey's The Survival of the Unlike 2 00
W. S. Harwood's New Creations in Plant Life 2 00

ON GARDEN-MAKING
Bailey and Hunn's Practical Garden Book 1 10
A. French's Book of Vegetables 1 75
A. French's Beginner's Garden Book 1 00

ON FRUIT-GROWING, ETC.
L. H. Bailey's The Pruning-Book 2 00

ON THE CARE OF LIFE-STOCK
W. H. Jordan's The Feeding of Animals 1 75

ON DAIRY WORK, FARM CHEMISTRY, ETC.
Harry Snyder's Dairy Chemistry 1 00
W. D. Frost's Laboratory Guide in Elementary Bacteriology . 1 60
Lincoln and Malton's Elementary Quantitative Chemical
Analysis 1 50

ON ECONOMICS AND ORGANIZATION
Henry C. Taylor's Agricultural Economics 1 25
S. E. Sparling's Business Organization 1 25
In the Citizen's Library. Includes a Chapter on Farming
L. H. Bailey's The State and the Farmer 1 25

ON EVERYTHING AGRICULTURAL
L. H. Bailey's Cyclopedia of American Agriculture. Com-
plete in four royal 8vo volumes, with over 2,000 illus-
trations. Price of sets: Cloth, $24; half morocco . . . 36 00

For further information as to any of the above, address the publishers

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
PUBLISHERS   64-66 Fifth Avenue   **NEW YORK**

RURAL SCIENCE SERIES

Edited by L. H. BAILEY

Each volume illustrated   *Cloth, 12mo*

A series of practical books for farmers and gardeners, sold as a set or separately. Each one is the work of a competent specialist, and is suitable for consultation alike by the amateur or professional tiller of the soil, the scientist or the student. Illustrations of marked beauty are freely used, and the books are clearly printed and well bound.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

The Potato

By ARTHUR W. GILBERT, Ph.D.

Professor of Plant Breeding, Cornell University, assisted by

MORTIMER F. BARRUS, Ph.D.

Professor of Plant Pathology, Cornell University, and

DANIEL DEAN

Formerly President of the New York State Potato Association

With illustrations, $1.50

The authors of this book are especially fitted to write upon the potato. Professor Gilbert, as professor of plant breeding at Cornell, has made a careful study of potato breeding and varieties for several years. Formerly teacher of farm crops and director of agricultural extension work at the University of Maine, he has become thoroughly familiar with potato growing in the East, particularly in the famous Aroostook County region. Dr. Barrus is considered one of the best authorities on potato diseases in the country. The chapters he has contributed to the work deal with disease and its control. Mr. Dean wrote the chapters on field practice. He is a successful commercial grower, and has given much attention to the different systems of potato growing throughout the United States.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers   64-66 Fifth Avenue   New York

A Manual of Fruit Diseases

By LEX R. HESLER

Assistant Professor of Plant Pathology in the New York State College of
Agriculture at Cornell University, and

HERBERT H. WHETZEL

Professor of Plant Pathology in the New York State College of Agriculture
at Cornell University

With illustrations; cloth, 12mo, $2.00

This manual contains a presentation of the known
facts and data with respect to the common diseases of
fruits. It has been prepared primarily for the modern
agriculturist, the farmer, the thinking fruit grower, but
it should also be of service to anyone who has an inter-
est in plant diseases. The fruits are taken up in alpha-
betical order. The discussion of the diseases proceeds
in order of their importance and prominence in the
United States. Particular attention is paid to the de-
scription and illustration of the symptoms, to the cause
and to the generally accepted measures of control.
Technical details are omitted as far as possible though
the effort has been made to present the matter in as
popular a fashion as possible.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers   64-66 Fifth Avenue   New York

Strawberry Growing

By S. W. FLETCHER
Professor of Horticulture at the Pennsylvania State College

24 full-page plates and 22 figures in the text, $1.75

The strawberry occupies a position among North American fruits second only to the apple in commercial importance. Professor Fletcher here gives a clear outline of present commercial practice, taking up all the important points in considerable detail — location of beds, most desirable soils, planting, rotation of crops, treatment with fertilizers, tillage and irrigation, the training of the plant, mulching, pollination, picking, packing, marketing, varieties of berries, insects, diseases, and statistics on acreage, production, and value.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
Publishers   64-66 Fifth Avenue   New York

DO NOT REMOVE

OR

MUTILATE CARD